

Record of Consultation for the Côté Gold Project Provincial Individual EA



Prepared for:
IAMGOLD Corporation

Prepared by:
AMEC Environment & Infrastructure

Project Number:
TC121522



CÔTÉ GOLD PROJECT
PROVINCIAL INDIVIDUAL ENVIRONMENTAL
ASSESSMENT
RECORD OF CONSULTATION

Submitted to:
IAMGOLD Corporation
401 Bay Street, Suite 3200
Toronto, Ontario
M5H 2Y4

Submitted by:
AMEC Environment & Infrastructure,
a Division of AMEC Americas Limited
160 Traders Blvd., Suite 110
Mississauga, Ontario
L4Z 3K7

July 2013

TC121522

FORWARD LOOKING INFORMATION

This document contains "forward-looking information" as defined in applicable securities laws (referred to herein as "forward-looking statements"). Forward looking statements include, but are not limited to, statements with respect to the cost and timing of the development of the Côté Gold Project, including the exercise of the economic parameters of the Project; the success and continuation of exploration activities; estimates of mineral resources; acquisitions of additional mineral properties; the future price of gold; government regulations and permitting timelines; estimates of reclamation obligations that may be assumed in connection with the exercise of the economic parameters of the Project; requirements for additional capital; environmental risks; and general business and economic conditions. Often, but not always, forward-looking statements can be identified by the use of words such as "plans", "expects", "is expected", "budget", "scheduled", "estimates", "suggests", "continues", "forecasts", "projects", "predicts", "intends", "anticipates" or "believes", or variations of, or the negatives of, such words and phrases, or statements that certain actions, events or results "may", "could", "would", "should", "might" or "will" be taken, occur or be achieved. Inherent in forward-looking statements are risks, uncertainties and other factors beyond the Company's ability to predict or control. These risks, uncertainties and other factors include, but are not limited to, the assumptions underlying the document not being realized, future gold prices, changes in cost of labour, supplies, fuel and equipment, changes in equity markets, actual results of current exploration, changes in Project parameters, exchange rate fluctuations, title risks, regulatory risks and uncertainties with respect to obtaining necessary surface rights and permits or delays in obtaining same, and other risks involved in the gold exploration and development industry, as well as those risk factors discussed in the section entitled "Description of Business-Risk Factors" in IAMGOLD Corporation' 2012 Annual Information Form. Forward-looking statements are based on a number of assumptions which may prove to be incorrect, including, but not limited to, the availability of financing for the Company's exploration and development activities; the timelines for the Company's exploration and development activities on the IAMGOLD Property; the availability of certain consumables and services; assumptions made in mineral resource estimates, including geological interpretation grade, recovery rates, and operational costs; and general business and economic conditions. Forward looking statements involve known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other factors which may cause the Company's actual results, performance or achievements to be materially different from any of its future results, performance or achievements expressed or implied by forward-looking statements. All forward-looking statements herein are qualified by this cautionary statement. Accordingly, readers should not place undue reliance on forward-looking statements. The Company undertakes no obligation to update publicly or otherwise revise any forward-looking statements whether as a result of new information or future events or otherwise, except as may be required by law.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

		PAGE
1.0	INTRODUCTION.....	1-1
2.0	STAKEHOLDER AND ABORIGINAL PARTICIPANTS.....	2-1
2.1	Identification of Stakeholders and Aboriginal Participants.....	2-1
2.2	Community and Business Organizations, and Non-Governmental Organizations	2-1
2.3	Aboriginal Communities and Organizations	2-2
2.4	Municipal Government.....	2-7
2.5	Provincial (Ontario) Government	2-7
2.6	Federal Government.....	2-8
3.0	SUMMARY OF CONSULTATION ACTIVITIES ON THE DRAFT TERMS OF REFERENCE (BETWEEN MAY 10 AND JUNE 9, 2013)	3-1
3.1	Overview of Public and Stakeholder Consultation Activities	3-1
3.1.1	Stakeholder Meetings and Presentations	3-2
3.1.2	Public Open Houses	3-2
3.1.3	Notifications.....	3-2
3.1.4	Site Tours.....	3-3
3.1.5	Draft Terms of Reference Document Review	3-3
3.2	Overview of Aboriginal Group Consultation Activities	3-4
3.2.1	Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Land Use Studies	3-5
3.2.2	Participation in Environmental Baseline Studies.....	3-5
3.2.3	Impact Benefit Agreement.....	3-5
3.2.4	Other Activities	3-5
3.3	Summary of Comments.....	3-6
3.3.1	Summary of Comments – Public and Stakeholders.....	3-6
3.3.2	Summary of Comments – Aboriginal Groups.....	3-7
3.3.3	Summary of Comments – Government Agencies.....	3-7
4.0	SUMMARY OF CONSULTATION ACTIVITIES PRIOR TO THE DRAFT TERMS OF REFERENCE (BEFORE MAY 10, 2013).....	4-1
4.1	Early Consultation Goals	4-1
4.2	Stakeholders and Aboriginal Groups Engaged	4-1
4.3	Overview of Public and Stakeholder Consultation Activities	4-2
4.3.1	Stakeholder and Community Meetings / Presentations	4-3
4.3.2	Public Open Houses	4-5
4.3.3	Site Tours.....	4-5
4.3.4	Project Newsletter	4-5

4.3.5	Other Activities	4-6
4.4	Overview of Aboriginal Group Consultation Activities	4-6
4.4.1	Aboriginal Community Leadership Meetings / Presentations.....	4-6
4.4.2	Aboriginal Community Presentations	4-7
4.4.3	Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Land Use Studies	4-7
4.4.4	Aboriginal Group Site Tours.....	4-7
4.4.5	Elders and Youth Consultation.....	4-7
4.4.6	Impact Benefit Agreement.....	4-8
4.4.7	Other Activities	4-8
4.5	Summary of Comments	4-9
4.5.1	Summary of Comments – Public and Stakeholders.....	4-9
4.5.2	Summary of Comments – Aboriginal Groups.....	4-11
4.5.3	Summary of Comments – Government Agencies.....	4-13
5.0	OVERVIEW OF ONGOING AND PROPOSED CONSULTATION ACTIVITIES	5-1
6.0	REFERENCES.....	6-1

ASSOCIATED DOCUMENT

Proposed Terms of Reference

LIST OF TABLES

Table 2-1:	First Nations and Métis Groups Engaged in the Project.....	2-5
Table 3-1:	Newspaper Publication Schedule	3-3
Table 3-2:	Public and Stakeholder Comments and IAMGOLD Response on the Draft ToR	3-8
Table 3-3:	Aboriginal Comment and IAMGOLD Response on the Draft ToR	3-10
Table 3-4:	Government Agency Comment and IAMGOLD Response on the Draft ToR	3-11
Table 4-1:	Public and Stakeholder Comments and IAMGOLD Response Prior to the Release of the Draft ToR	4-16
Table 4-2:	Aboriginal Comment and IAMGOLD Response Prior to the Release of the Draft ToR	4-18
Table 4-3:	Government Agency Comment and IAMGOLD Response Prior to the Release of the Draft ToR	4-20

LIST OF APPENDICES

- Appendix A: Stakeholders and Aboriginal Records from May 10, 2013 to June 9, 2013
- Appendix B: Comments and Responses on the Côté Gold Project from May 10, 2013 to June 9, 2013
- Appendix C: Correspondence and Meeting Notes from May 10, 2013 to June 9, 2013
- Appendix D: Summary Report: May 2013 Public and Stakeholder Open Houses
- Appendix E: Summary Report: May 2013 Aboriginal Community Open Houses
- Appendix F: Draft Terms of Reference Document Direct Recipients
- Appendix G: Stakeholders and Aboriginal Records before May 10, 2013
- Appendix H: Comments and Responses on the Côté Gold Project before May 10, 2013
- Appendix I: Correspondence and Meeting Notes before May 10, 2013
- Appendix J: Summary Report: November 8, 2012 Gogama Open House
- Appendix K: Summary Report: February 2013 Public and Stakeholder Open Houses
- Appendix L: Draft Project Description Document Direct Recipients
- Appendix M: Summary Report: February 2013 Aboriginal Community Open Houses

GLOSSARY

AANDC	Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada
AANTC	Algonquin Anishinabeg Nation Tribal Council
Aboriginal	In the context of the Côté Gold Project, includes both First Nation and Métis people
the Agency	Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency
BFN	Beaverhouse First Nation
BHFN	Brunswick House First Nation
CEAA, 2012	<i>Canadian Environmental Assessment Act, 2012</i>
EA	environmental assessment
FPFN	Flying Post First Nation
IAMGOLD	IAMGOLD Corporation
IBA	Impact Benefit Agreement
MCFN	Missanabie Cree First Nation
MFN	Mattagami First Nation
MTFN	Matachewan First Nation
MNDM	Ontario Ministry of Northern Development and Mines
MNO	Métis Nation of Ontario
MNR	Ontario Ministry of Natural Resources
MRA	Mine Rock Area
MOE	Ontario Ministry of the Environment
Project	Côté Gold Project
PD	Project Description
RoC	Record of Consultation
TK	Traditional Knowledge
TLU	Traditional Land Use
ToR	Terms of Reference
TMF	Tailings Management Facility
Trelawney	Trelawney Mining and Exploration Inc.
WTC	Wabun Tribal Council

1.0 INTRODUCTION

The Côté Gold Project (the Project) is located in the Chester and Neville Townships, District of Sudbury, in Northeastern Ontario, approximately 20 km southwest of Gogama, 130 km southwest of Timmins, and 200 km northwest of Sudbury. Trelawney Mining and Exploration Inc. (Trelawney) had been exploring the Côté Gold property since 2009. IAMGOLD Corporation (IAMGOLD) acquired the property in 2012 with the objective of developing an open pit gold mine and mill. IAMGOLD has continued to explore mineral potential at the Project site and has undertaken or commissioned environmental, hydrogeological, geotechnical, mineralogical, engineering, logistics and economic studies related to potential property development.

IAMGOLD proposes to construct, operate and eventually rehabilitate a new open pit gold mine and is currently conducting engineering studies to further confirm and determine its technical and economic aspects. IAMGOLD currently owns and operates six mines in Canada and abroad and is in the process of developing four additional projects, one being the Côté Gold Project.

In consultation with the local regulatory agencies, IAMGOLD has entered into a Voluntary Agreement with the Ontario Ministry of the Environment (MOE) to conduct an environmental assessment (EA) for the Project in accordance with the requirements of the Ontario *Environmental Assessment Act*. The first step in preparing the EA is preparation and approval of a Terms of Reference (ToR) to guide what is to be assessed in the EA.

The ToR provides a framework to guide the preparation of the EA, which requires approval by the Minister of the Environment. The Provincial ToR (if approved) and the Federal Environmental Impact Statement Guidelines (part of the required Federal EA process) will together define the content requirements for the EA document.

A Record of Consultation (RoC) is required under Section 6(3) of the Ontario *Environmental Assessment Act*, whereby a "description of the consultations by the proponent and the results of the consultations" in preparation of the Proposed ToR, must accompany the ToR submitted to the Minister of the Environment. This RoC summarizes Project-related consultation activities before and after the Notice of Commencement of the ToR as required by the *Code of Practice: Preparing and Reviewing Terms of Reference for Environmental Assessments in Ontario* (MOE, 2009).

Stakeholder and Aboriginal Consultation Plans have been prepared and appended to the Proposed ToR document (under separate cover) to guide consultation activities in the preparation and review of the EA.

This RoC has been prepared to provide an overview of the consultation activities undertaken by IAMGOLD and the outcomes of those activities, and includes:

- an overview of stakeholders and Aboriginal communities, how they were identified and who they are (Section 2.0);
- a summary of consultation activities during the Draft ToR review period (from May 10 to June 9, 2013) including comments received and responses provided (Section 3.0);
- summary of consultation activities prior to the issuing of the Draft ToR (i.e., before May 10, 2013) including comments received and responses provided (Section 4.0); and
- an overview of ongoing and proposed consultation activities (Section 5.0).

2.0 STAKEHOLDER AND ABORIGINAL PARTICIPANTS

2.1 Identification of Stakeholders and Aboriginal Participants

Key stakeholders and Aboriginal (First Nation and Métis) groups who are expected to have an interest in the Project going forward were identified during the early consultation efforts and can be considered in the following categories:

- community and business organizations, and non-governmental organizations;
- Aboriginal communities and organizations;
- Municipal Government;
- Provincial (Ontario) Government; and
- Federal Government.

Interested stakeholders were identified using the following criteria:

- proximity to the Project and potential for being affected by the Project activities;
- Aboriginal groups with traditional lands and harvesting rights encompassing the Project site and its related proposed infrastructure;
- past or current interest in other relevant projects or developments in the region;
- direction from government agencies, specifically with regards to Federal and Provincial consultation; and
- demonstrated previous or current interest in potential environmental effects of the Project.

The stakeholders list is anticipated to grow through the Project stages but may also change to reflect varying levels of interest and issues over time.

2.2 Community and Business Organizations, and Non-Governmental Organizations

IAMGOLD has identified the following organizations that will likely have an interest in the Project:

Business and Community Organizations:

- Cambrian College;
- Gogama Area Citizens Committee;
- Gogama Area Chamber of Commerce;
- Gogama Recreation Committee;
- Gogama Snowmobile Club;

- Greater Sudbury Chamber of Commerce;
- Greater Sudbury Development Corporation;
- Laurentian University;
- Mattagami Region Conservation Authority;
- Mesomikenda Cottagers Association;
- Mesomikenda Lake Cottagers;
- Northern College;
- Sudbury Area Mining Supply and Service Association;
- Timmins Chamber of Commerce;
- Timmins Economic Development Corporation;
- Local land and resource users (e.g., trapline permit holders);
- Adjacent or local mining rights holders;
- Local small business owners; and
- Local tourism operators.

Environmental Non-Government Organizations:

- Mining Watch Canada;
- Northwatch; and
- Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society (Wildlands League).

Non-Government Organizations:

- Northern and Outdoor Tourism Ontario;
- Ontario Mining Association;
- Ontario Prospectors Association;
- Porcupine Prospectors and Developers Association; and
- Sudbury Prospectors and Developers.

2.3 Aboriginal Communities and Organizations

An understanding of the potential Aboriginal communities interested in the Côté Gold Project was developed through advice from the Ministry of Northern Development and Mines (MNDM) to Trelawney in a letter dated August 19, 2011 and through advice from the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (the Agency) based on information provided by Aboriginal

Affairs and Northern Development Canada (AANDC). Considering the previous advice from regulators, the proposed footprint of the current Project and through discussion with local communities, IAMGOLD has made a preliminary assessment of potentially affected Aboriginal communities.

IAMGOLD has received direction from both Federal and Provincial Crown agencies on the potentially affected communities. On March 6, 2013 the Federal Crown (the Agency) informed IAMGOLD that the following communities should be consulted with respect to the Project:

- Mattagami First Nation;
- Flying Post First Nation;
- Brunswick House First Nation;
- Métis Nation of Ontario – Region 3; and
- Algonquin Anishinabeg Nation Tribal Council.

It was noted that as the Federal EA progresses, the Agency will notify the:

- Chapleau Ojibway First Nation;
- Matachewan First Nation; and
- Beaverhouse First Nation.

On May 23, 2013, IAMGOLD received direction from the Provincial Crown, through MNDM, to consult the following communities:

- Mattagami First Nation;
- Flying Post First Nation;
- Brunswick House First Nation;
- Métis Nation – Region 3; and
- Matachewan First Nation.

The Mattagami First Nation is a member of Wabun Tribal Council and has reserve land closest to the Côté Gold Project. Based on discussions with the Mattagami First Nation and the Wabun Tribal Council, the following First Nations (and members of the Wabun Tribal Council) may have Aboriginal and treaty rights that could be affected by the Project.

- Mattagami First Nation;
- Flying Post First Nation;

- Matachewan First Nation; and
- Beaverhouse First Nation.

The Côté Gold Project could potentially affect Métis harvesting rights. Most of the Métis peoples in Ontario are organized through the governance structure of the Métis Nation of Ontario (MNO), represented at the local level by MNO Charter Community Councils, located in Sudbury, Timmins and Chapleau.

The Algonquin Anishinabeg Nation Tribal Council (AANTC) had contacted IAMGOLD with respect to their interests in the Côté Gold Project. Subsequently, the AANTC informed IAMGOLD that they will not be making an assertion for the Côté Gold Project. They recommended that IAMGOLD contact Wahgoshig and Abitibiwinni First Nations to confirm their non-participation status.

IAMGOLD contacted Wahgoshig First Nation; the First Nation identified that they have no comments on the Project and that the Project was not within their territory.

IAMGOLD contacted Abitibiwinni First Nation to determine their interests in the Project. To date, no response has been received regarding the Côté Gold Project, however, IAMGOLD will be following up with this initial contact. IAMGOLD is also in discussions with Abitibiwinni First Nation regarding a Quebec-based operation (unrelated to the Côté Gold Project).

M'Chigeeng and Serpent River First Nations, from the Robinson Huron Treaty area, contacted IAMGOLD to discuss their harvesting rights in relation to the Côté Gold Project. IAMGOLD has contacted these First Nations to set a mutually agreeable date for a meeting.

To-date, consultation has focused on Mattagami First Nation, Flying Post First Nation, and their governance organization, the Wabun Tribal Council. IAMGOLD has initiated consultation with other Wabun Tribal Council members, including Matachewan, Brunswick House, and Beaverhouse First Nations. IAMGOLD met with the MNO - Region 3 Consultation Committee to discuss the Project and consultation protocols.

Subsequent Aboriginal consultation activities will involve persons identified/delegated by the respective organizational decision-makers. IAMGOLD will remain open to consideration of additional assertions of claim over the areas potentially affected by the Project.

A brief description as well as contact details for the First Nations and Métis groups consulted to date on the Project is provided in Table 2-1.

Table 2-1: First Nations and Métis Groups Engaged in the Project

First Nation/Métis Organization	Governance Organization	Reserve near Project	Brief Description	Contact Information
CONSULT				
—	Algonquin Anishinabeg Nation Tribal Council	—	The Tribal Council provides support on aboriginal advice issues and assistance in advisory and technical services to its member communities. The Tribal Council represents Algonquin First Nations located in Quebec. They have asserted a rights claim that extends into Ontario.	Chief Alice Jerome 81 Kichi Mikan, Maniwaki, QC J9E 3C3 Email: info@anishinabestation.ca Phone: 819-449-1225 Fax: 819-449-8064
Brunswick House First Nation	Wabun Tribal Council	Mountbatten 76A Indian Reserve Duck Lake 76B Indian Reserve	Brunswick House is a First Nation with an on-reserve population of 111. They are affiliated with the Wabun Tribal Council. They are signatories to Treaty #9. Their original community was on Missinaibi Lake.	Chief Andrew Neshawabin P.O. Box 1178, Chapleau, ON P0M 1K0 Email: n/a Phone: 705-864-0174 Fax: 705-864-1960
Flying Post First Nation	Wabun Tribal Council	Flying Post 73	Flying Post is a small First Nation with a population of 162, none of whom live on reserve. They are a signatory to Treaty #9. Their reserve is located near Smooth Rock Falls. They are affiliated with Wabun Tribal Council.	Chief Murray Ray Box 1027, Nipigon, ON P0T 2J0 Email: flypost@shawbiz.ca Phone: 807-887-3071 Fax: 807-887-1138
Matachewan First Nation	Wabun Tribal Council	Matachewan 72	Matachewan is a signatory to Treaty #9. They are affiliated with the Wabun Tribal Council. They have a small population living on reserve (41) with a much larger population living off reserve (645).	Chief Elenore Hendrix P.O. Box 160, Matachewan, ON P0K 1M0 Email: chief@mfnrez.ca Phone: 705-565-2230 Fax: 705-565-2311
Mattagami First Nation	Wabun Tribal Council	Mattagami 71	The Mattagami First Nation is a signatory to Treaty #9. They are affiliated with the Wabun Tribal Council. Approximately 168 people live on reserve while a larger number live off reserve (350).	Chief Walter Naveau P.O. Box 99, Gogama, ON P0M 1W0 Email: walternaveau@knet.ca Phone: 705-894-2072 Fax: 705-894-2887
Métis Nation of Ontario	—	—	The Métis Nation is the governance organization that represents many Métis communities in the Province of Ontario. It is organized into regional councils that provide information on local rights, practices and interests.	Andy Lefebvre 347 Spruce Street, Timmins, ON P4N 2N2 Email: AndyL@metisnation.org Phone: 705-264-3939 Fax: n/a
NOTIFY				
Conseil de la Première Nation Abitibiwinni (Abitibiwinni First Nation)	Algonquin Anishinabeg Nation Tribal Council	Abitibi 70	The Abitibiwinni First Nation is located in Quebec. They are affiliated with the Algonquin Anishinabeg Nation Tribal Council. There are 999 members of the Abitibiwinni First Nation, 557 of whom live on reserve.	Chief Bruno Kistabish 45 Rue Migwan, Pikogan, QC J9T 3A3 Email: administration@pikogan.com Phone: 891-732-6591 Fax: 819-732-1569
Beaverhouse First Nation	Wabun Tribal Council	No Reserves; Settlement	Beaverhouse First Nation is a small, non-status Indian settlement. They are affiliated with Wabun Tribal Council and are seeking Indian Band status and treaty rights.	Chief Marcia Brown Martel P.O. Box 1022, Kirkland Lake, ON P2N 3L1 Email: n/a Phone: 705-567-2022 Fax: 705-567-1143

First Nation/Métis Organization	Governance Organization	Reserve near Project	Brief Description	Contact Information
Chapleau Ojibwe First Nation	Wabun Tribal Council	Chapleau 74A	Chapleau Ojibwe is a small First Nation with an on-reserve population of 33. They are affiliated with the Wabun Tribal Council and signatories to Treaty #9.	Chief Anita Stephens P.O. Box 279, Chapleau, ON P0M 1K0 Email: info@chapleauojibwe.ca Phone: 705-864-2916 Fax: 705-864-2911
SELF-IDENTIFIED				
M'Chigeeng First Nation	United Chiefs & Councils of Mnídoo Mnising	M'Chigeeng 22	The M'Chigeeng have a registered population of 2,496 with 931 living on reserve. The M'Chigeeng are signatories to the Robinson Huron Treaty.	Chief Joseph Hare P.O. Box 333, M'Chigeeng, ON P0P 1G0 Email: keshkawogon@circletrail.com Phone: 705-348-0179 Fax: 705-377-4980
Serpent River First Nation	Mamaweswen, The North Shore Tribal Council Secretariat	Serpent River 7	The Serpent River First Nation is a signatory to the Robinson Huron Treaty.	Chief Isadore Day P.O. Box 14, Cutler, ON P0P 1B0 Email: Isadore_day@hotmail.com Phone: 705-844-2418 Fax: 705-844-2757
Wahgoshig First Nation	—	Abitibi 70	Wahgoshig First Nation is a signatory to Treaty #9. They have 303 members with 131 living on reserve	Chief David Babin RR3, Matheson, ON P0K 1N0 Email: ibacoordinator@wahgoshig.com Phone: 705-273-2055 Fax: 705-273-2900
—	Wabun Tribal Council	—	The Wabun Tribal Council is community driven and receives its direction from and is accountable to the Chiefs of Wabun's six First Nation communities, who make up the organization's Board of Directors. The Council also represents the communities' interests in dealings with municipal, provincial and federal government programs and initiatives, such as land use planning, Local Health Integration Networks (LHIN) and other issues as directed by the Chiefs of the communities.	Shawn Batise 313 Railway Street, Timmins, ON P4N 2P4 Email: sbatise@wabun.on.ca Phone: 705-268-9066 Fax: 705-266-4969

Note: — = not applicable

2.4 Municipal Government

Three municipalities are expected to be influenced by the Project. To date IAMGOLD has presented Project information to these municipal governments and will continue to consult them throughout the EA preparation. These municipal governments include:

- Community of Gogama (Gogama Local Services Board);
- City of Greater Sudbury; and
- City of Timmins.

2.5 Provincial (Ontario) Government

A list of potentially interested Provincial government ministries and agencies are expected to include:

- Infrastructure Ontario;
- Mattagami Region Conservation Authority;
- Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs;
- Ministry of Economic Development and Trade;
- Ministry of Energy;
- Ministry of Infrastructure;
- Ministry of Labour;
- Ministry of Municipal Affairs and Housing;
- Ministry of Natural Resources;
- Ministry of Northern Development and Mines;
- Ministry of the Environment;
- Ministry of Tourism, Culture and Sport;
- Ministry of Transportation;
- Ontario Energy Board and Ontario Power Authority;
- Ontario Provincial Police; and
- Provincial Parliament representatives.

2.6 Federal Government

A list of potentially interested Federal agencies was identified that will continue to be notified of milestones and provided with ongoing information and reports for input and review. These include:

- Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada;
- Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency;
- Environment Canada;
- Federal Parliament representative;
- Fisheries and Oceans Canada;
- Health Canada;
- Major Projects Management Office;
- Natural Resources Canada; and
- Transport Canada.

The Federal agencies are coordinated by the Agency. As the Project design progresses, some of these departments may determine that their involvement is not required.

3.0 SUMMARY OF CONSULTATION ACTIVITIES ON THE DRAFT TERMS OF REFERENCE (BETWEEN MAY 10 AND JUNE 9, 2013)

The development and submission of the Draft ToR was a voluntary step which IAMGOLD opted to take to proactively seek feedback from all interested stakeholders and Aboriginal communities. To guide consultation activities during the preparation of the ToR, IAMGOLD issued a Draft RoC and Draft ToR document for a 30-day public review period that ran from May 10 to June 9, 2013. Consultation activities undertaken during this timeframe to consult on the Draft ToR were outlined in the Proposed Stakeholder and Aboriginal Consultation Plans appended to the Draft ToR document. Documentation of those activities and their outcomes are detailed in this section.

3.1 Overview of Public and Stakeholder Consultation Activities

IAMGOLD informed and involved stakeholders, the public and Aboriginal groups in a variety of ways. The focus of consultation during review of the Draft ToR (between May 10 and June 9, 2013) was to share information about the Project, provide information about the Draft ToR and RoC and to seek feedback. Further details of the IAMGOLD consultation activities are contained in Appendices A and B. Correspondence and meeting notes are contained in Appendix C.

Consultation activities completed on the Draft ToR include:

- distributing the Notice of Commencement of the ToR in local newspapers;
- distributing the Notice of Public Open Houses to invite Aboriginal communities, stakeholders and local citizens to learn more about the Project, EA process, the Draft ToR, alternatives, and to gather their feedback about important environmental factors that should be considered in the EA;
- distributing copies of the Draft ToR and RoC to key stakeholders, Aboriginal communities and the Government Review Team (including the Notice of Commencement; listing of publication / posting locations) for 30-day review;
- providing a copy of the Draft ToR and RoC in public review locations;
- posting the Draft ToR and RoC on the Project website;
- updating the Project website to include information about the EA processes;
- holding meetings with Aboriginal, government and other stakeholder organizations (as requested) to discuss the Project, Draft ToR and RoC;
- holding public open houses in Timmins, Gogama and Sudbury to provide information about the Draft ToR and gather broad community feedback on environmental factors that should be considered in the EA; and
- holding First Nation community open houses in Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation.

The following subsections describe these consultation activities.

3.1.1 Stakeholder Meetings and Presentations

During the Draft ToR review period, IAMGOLD continued to connect with stakeholders, groups and organizations as part of the baseline data collection process including contacting economic development groups, school boards, real estate boards, tourism outfitters and other resource users.

IAMGOLD met with Provincial and Federal governmental agencies regarding the Draft ToR and RoC on May 23, 2013.

3.1.2 Public Open Houses

IAMGOLD organized and conducted open houses for the general public to share information about the Project and the Draft ToR and RoC. These open houses consisted of poster board displays containing information about the Draft ToR, baseline studies, alternatives assessments, and consultation activities. At the open houses, attendees had opportunities to view and hear about Project information and question Project representatives. These open houses were conducted on the following dates and locations:

- May 21, 2013 in Sudbury;
- May 22, 2013 in Gogama; and
- May 23, 2013 in Sudbury.

Notices for the sessions were advertised in advance through advertisements in local newspapers and through direct mail to individuals on the Project mailing list. Further details about these sessions are provided in the summary reports contained in Appendix D.

3.1.3 Notifications

A Notice of Commencement of the ToR as well as a Notice of Open Houses were posted in local newspapers as presented in Table 3-1. Additionally, the notices were attached to the Draft ToR and RoC documents issued for review to stakeholders and at document review locations. The notices were also mailed to individuals on the Project mailing list and posted on the Project website.

Table 3-1: Newspaper Publication Schedule

Notice	Newspaper	Publication Date
Notice of Commencement of Terms of Reference	Sudbury Star	May 10, 2013
Notice of Commencement of Terms of Reference	Timmins Daily Press	May 10, 2013
Notice of Commencement of Terms of Reference	Timmins Times	May 9, 2013
Notice of Open House	Sudbury Star	May 10, 2013 May 17, 2013
Notice of Open House	Timmins Daily Press	May 10, 2013 May 17, 2013
Notice of Open House	Timmins Times	May 9, 2013 May 16, 2013

Copies of the notices are presented in Appendix D.

Notifications of the Open Houses were provided in advance to the community of Flying Post First Nation by the Flying Post First Nation Band Office to their membership. Notifications were provided to the Mattagami First Nation membership through mail and postings in the community. Copies of these notices are presented within Appendix E.

3.1.4 Site Tours

IAMGOLD continued to offer site tours for interested stakeholders, including, Aboriginal groups and Provincial and Federal government agencies. Site tours that occurred during the draft ToR review period include:

- May 17, 2013 – a site tour was provided to the Nickel Belt MP Claude Gravelle and MP France Gelinias; and
- June 5, 2013 – a site tour was provided to members of the Gogama Area Citizens Committee.

3.1.5 Draft Terms of Reference Document Review

The Draft ToR was made available for public review by direct mail, courier, or hand delivery, downloading from the Project website, at open houses and at public viewing locations during the review period from May 10 to June 9, 2013. All documents were made available by May 10, 2013. A list of individuals who received a copy of the Draft ToR and RoC is presented in Appendix F.

A hard copy of the Draft ToR and RoC was also provided for public viewing/review at the following locations:

Gogama

IAMGOLD Corporation
Côte Gold Project
3 Mesomikenda Lake Road
P.O. Box 100
Gogama, ON P0M 1W0
T: 705-269-0010

Gogama

Gogama Public Library
3 Low Avenue
P.O. Box 238
Gogama, ON P0M 1W0
T: 705-894-2448

Timmins

Timmins Public Library
320 Second Avenue
Timmins, ON P4N 8A4
T: 705-360-2623

Sudbury

Greater Sudbury Public Library
74 Mackenzie Street
Sudbury, ON P3C 4X8
T: 705-673-1155

Toronto

IAMGOLD Corporation
401 Bay Street, Suite 3200
P.O. Box 153
Toronto, ON M5H 2Y4
T: 416-360-4710

Toronto

Ministry of the Environment
Environmental Approvals Branch
2 St. Clair Avenue West, Floor 12A
Toronto, ON M4V 1L5
T: 416-314-8001/1-800-461-6290

Hard copies of the Draft ToR and RoC were also provided to the following Aboriginal communities:

- Flying Post First Nation;
- Mattagami First Nation; and
- MNO, Region 3.

3.2 Overview of Aboriginal Group Consultation Activities

IAMGOLD provided the Draft ToR and RoC to Aboriginal groups to seek their input. Consultation activities included:

- letters, telephone calls and emails to First Nations and the MNO to inform them of opportunities to be involved in the Draft ToR and to discuss how and if they would like to be consulted;
- open houses in the communities of Flying Post First Nation and Mattagami First Nation to share information about the Draft ToR, baseline studies, alternatives and consultation activities and to encourage comments (a summary of these open houses is presented in Appendix E);

- a meeting with the MNO Community Council to present the Draft ToR and RoC, baseline studies, alternatives and consultation activities and seek feedback;
- providing hard copies of the ToR and RoC as requested to the Flying Post First Nation and Mattagami First Nation, their negotiation lead and the MNO for review; and
- notification of the Draft ToR and RoC to other Aboriginal communities and inviting comment.

3.2.1 Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Land Use Studies

IAMGOLD continued to support Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Land Use (TK/TLU) studies with the Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation. The communities requested that an Impact Benefit Agreement (IBA) was initiated prior to advancing the TK/TLU studies.

IAMGOLD discussed the methodology for proceeding with these studies and supported the hiring of a third party consultant to conduct the studies which began in the Spring of 2013. A cultural heritage day is planned for Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation at the end of July to share information about and gather feedback on the TK/TLU and archaeology studies underway.

3.2.2 Participation in Environmental Baseline Studies

IAMGOLD actively involved members of the Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation in gathering socio-economic information about their communities through the hiring of 1 researcher from each community to interview key community service providers or other representatives for relevant baseline information. In addition, Mattagami First Nation members have been actively involved in archaeology field crews for the last 3 years. These efforts have built member capacity, provided employment and improved knowledge of the types of information required for the EA report.

3.2.3 Impact Benefit Agreement

An IBA is being negotiated with the Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation through their designated representative, the Wabun Tribal Council. The IBA is expected to better define the mitigation measures agreed upon to address any potential effects on their Treaty rights and in particular, the communities' participation in the Project, the conduct and inclusion of TK/TLU studies, participation in environmental studies, as well as other financial and non-financial benefits such as employment and business opportunities.

3.2.4 Other Activities

IAMGOLD participated in the Timmins *Big Event Northern Mines and Exploration Expo and Conference* on May 28-30, 2013 in Timmins. The ToR documents were available for review

and IAMGOLD staff were on hand to discuss the Project and the ToR at their booth. IAMGOLD has also made numerous financial donations and/or sponsorships to local Aboriginal community groups and events such as:

- Mattagami First Nation Pow Wow;
- Mattagami First Nation Annual Baseball Tournament;
- Mattagami First Nation Annual Walleye Fishing Derby;
- Cross Cultural Training;
- Wabun Tribal Council Annual Golf Tournament;
- Mattagami Wellness Program;
- Hockey Kids of Mattagami First Nation;
- Mary Jane Memorial School Mattagami First Nation;
- Mattagami First Nation Kids Christmas Party;
- Flying Post First Nation Kids Christmas Party and Community Feast;
- Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation Education Dollars;
- Mattagami First Nation Turkey Donations;
- Mattagami Annual Beaverfest Sponsorship;
- Mattagami Eagles Little NHL Sponsorship;
- Mattagami High School Students Sponsorship; and
- Mattagami Right to Play.

3.3 Summary of Comments

3.3.1 Summary of Comments – Public and Stakeholders

Comments received from the public and stakeholders about the Project during the Draft ToR review period (May 10 to June 9, 2013) were primarily regarding:

- water quality and use (such as water intake and discharge, use of cyanide);
- effects on the local water system;
- effects on fish and wildlife habitat;
- effects on land uses such as fishing, canoe route and forestry; and
- location and function of the Mine Rock Areas (MRAs) and Tailings Management Facility (TMF).

Comments were gathered through the consultation activities outlined in Section 3.1 and summarized in Table 3-2. Detailed comments and responses are presented in Appendix B.

IAMGOLD provided responses, or will respond to stakeholders concerns through direct communications and/or additional information through their website, fact sheets, and newsletters. Relevant interests and issues shared either in writing or by other means will be addressed in the EA processes and a summary response will be provided in the EA documentation.

3.3.2 Summary of Comments – Aboriginal Groups

Comments received from Aboriginal groups about the Project during the Draft ToR review period (May 10 to June 9, 2013) were primarily regarding:

- water quality and removal of Côté Lake;
- effects on wildlife habitat and abundance;
- effects on land uses such as fishing, camping, trapping and hunting; and
- effects on Highway 144.

These were gathered through the consultation activities outlined in Section 3.1 and summarized in Table 3-3. Detailed comments and responses are contained in Appendix B.

3.3.3 Summary of Comments – Government Agencies

Comments received from government agencies about the Project during the Draft ToR review period (May 10 to June 9, 2013) were primarily regarding:

- terminology and technical guidance on the Draft ToR and baseline studies;
- alternative assessments;
- effects assessment;
- water quality and use;
- effects on the local water system;
- effects on fish and wildlife habitat;
- effects on land and resource users; and
- effects on socio-economic conditions.

These comments were gathered through the consultation activities outlined in Section 3.1 and summarized in Table 3-4. Detailed comments and responses are contained in Appendix B.

Table 3-2: Public and Stakeholder Comments and IAMGOLD Response on the Draft ToR

Topic	Comment Summary	IAMGOLD Response	Outstanding Issues/Actions	ToR Reference
Fisheries and Aquatic Resources	Effects on fish and fish habitat in Mesomikenda Lake and the Mollie River system.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Construction of the open pit will require removal of Côté Lake and realignment of the Mollie River system and portion of Bagsverd Creek. These realignments and changes in lake elevations will compensate for any loss in habitat. Habitat compensation planning is underway. Detailed effects on fish and fish habitat will be addressed in detail in the EA. It is currently anticipated that the Project will need to discharge periodically. Any such discharges to the environment would be of a quality such that no negative impacts to fish and fish habitat are expected. The EA will assess this issue in detail and will identify suitable monitoring and mitigation measures, as required. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Continue to develop and discuss habitat compensation plans with DFO. Carry out detailed impact assessment and identify monitoring and mitigation measures, as required. 	Sections 4.1 and 5.3.9
Land and Resource Use	Potential loss of the 4-M canoe route.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Portions of the 4-M canoe route will be removed as a result of the Project. This effect cannot be avoided and will be noted as a residual effect of the Project in the EA Report. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess in the EA report. 	—
	Loss of fishing around the Project site.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Fishing in some water bodies directly overprinted by the Project cannot be avoided. IAMGOLD is carrying out baseline data collection to determine the fishing use in the local area lakes. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Document fishing use in local water bodies impacted by the Project. Assess in the EA report. 	—
	Access to the area in the vicinity of the Project for timber harvesting.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IAMGOLD will restrict access to active mining areas, but will not restrict access to other commercial land users such as forestry companies. IAMGOLD will work with local forestry license holders to ensure access to active forestry management areas is maintained. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IAMGOLD will work with local forestry license holders to ensure access to active forestry management areas is maintained. 	—
Mine Rock Area	Effects of seepage and runoff from mine rock areas into surface and ground water.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Seepage and runoff from the mine rock area will be collected. The collected water will be pumped to the tailings management facility. Water will be recycled as much as possible. Periodically, surplus water may need to be discharged. Any such discharges to the environment would be of a quality such that no negative impacts to fish and fish habitat are expected. The EA will assess this issue in detail and will identify suitable monitoring and mitigation measures, as required. Based on current available information, the mine rock is not expected to generate acid, therefore, the quality of the runoff or seepage from the mine rock area should be of good quality. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess in the EA report. Continue geochemical characterization of mine rock. 	Section 6.4.3
	Location of the mine rock areas being too close to cottagers.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Based on feedback received from stakeholders as well as on-going engineering studies, the mine rock area is now located south of the open pit. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No action required. 	Figure 2
Tailing Management Facility	Location of the TMF.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> A multiple accounts analysis was completed to determine the optimal location of the TMF. This analysis included economical, technical, environmental and social aspects. Effects of the TMF will be assessed in the EA. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess in the EA report. 	Appendix C

Topic	Comment Summary	IAMGOLD Response	Outstanding Issues/Actions	ToR Reference
Water Resources	Quality of water discharge.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is currently anticipated that the Project will need to discharge periodically. Any such discharges to the environment would be of a quality such that no negative impacts to water users are expected, including drinking water. The EA will assess this issue in detail and will identify suitable monitoring and mitigation measures, as required. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess in the EA report. 	Section 5.3.9
	Effect of cyanide use on surface water.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Cyanide levels in any discharges will be so low that it would not negatively affect lake water quality. Effects of the Project on water bodies will be assessed in the EA Report. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess in the EA report. 	Section 5.3.6
	Water intake and discharge locations.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Alternatives for water intake and discharge are still being evaluated and will be finalized for the EA report. At this time, Mesomikenda Lake is understood to be the most appropriate water source due to its volume of water and thus its ability to effectively manage water needs for the Project. Effects on water resources including Mesomikenda Lake will be assessed in the EA report. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Determine appropriate water intake and discharge locations. 	Sections 5.3.8 and 5.3.9

Table 3-3: Aboriginal Comment and IAMGOLD Response on the Draft ToR

Topic	Comment Summary	IAMGOLD Response	Outstanding Issues/Actions	ToR Reference
Flying Post First Nation				
Water Resources	Effects on local water quality.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> It is currently anticipated that the Project will need to discharge periodically. Any such discharges to the environment would be of a quality such that no negative impacts to water users are expected, including drinking water. The EA will assess this issue in detail and will identify suitable monitoring and mitigation measures, as required. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess in the EA report. 	Section 5.3.9
Mattagami First Nation				
Fisheries and Aquatic Resources	Effects of Côté Lake removal on fish, eagle's nest and wildlife.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The effects of moving Côté Lake on aquatic resources including fish and eagles will be assessed in the EA report. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess in the EA report. 	—
Wildlife	Effects of the Project on wildlife and bird migration patterns.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The effects of the Project on migratory birds, fish, mammals and their habitat will be assessed in the EA report. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess in the EA report. 	—
Land and Resource Use	Effect of the Project on land and resource use such as fishing, camping, hunting, and trapping.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Traditional and non-traditional land use studies are currently on-going to assess the effects of the Project on fishing, camping, hunting and other land uses. Land and resources that will be overprinted by the Project site and infrastructure will be affected and cannot be avoided. IAMGOLD will discuss potential effects and appropriate mitigations with stakeholders, Aboriginal communities and government agencies. This information will be presented in the EA report. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Finalize TK/TLU studies Assess in the EA report. 	—
Indigenous Rights and Title	Effect of the Project on traplines.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> As part of the environmental assessment, changes in migration patterns will be considered. This will allow an assessment of Project effects on local hunting and trapping. IAMGOLD will contact the trapline owners to determine potential effects on harvesting and quotas. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Assess in the EA report. Ongoing consultation and data collection. 	—
Transport	Effect of the Project on local roads.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IAMGOLD will discuss potential impacts on highway infrastructure with the MTO and document appropriate mitigation/management measures in the EA Report. 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> IAMGOLD will discuss potential impacts on highway infrastructure with the MTO. Assess in the EA report. 	—

Table 3-4: Government Agency Comment and IAMGOLD Response on the Draft ToR

Agency / Group / Stakeholder	Comment Summary	IAMGOLD Response	Outstanding Issues/Actions	ToR Reference
MOE – Ellen Cramm	Informs that the principles of land use compatibility should be reflected in the Terms of Reference and addressed during the EA Process. Comments also request additional information with regards to the study areas and land tenure.	The ToR will be revised to include a description of the human environment study area. The EA will consider the comments regarding land tenure.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend the ToR to reflect comments. Consider comments in the land use impact assessment. 	Section 6.1
MOE – Heather Gardiner	Comments focus around the fact that the Project is located in the Mattagami river watershed upstream of the City of Timmins water supply and that Draft Mattagami Region Source Protection Plan should be considered for the EA and Project Design.	The comments do not require any changes in the ToR or the EA. IAMGOLD will continue to involve the Source Protection Programs Branch in the subsequent submission of the Proposed ToR and the Environmental Assessment.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No changes required. 	—
MOE – Jodie Horihan	Provides additional air quality guideline to be referred to when carrying out the EA.	ToR will be revised accordingly and the guidelines will be considered in the EA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend the ToR as appropriate. Consider in the EA. 	Section 7.2.2
MOE – Shannon Innis	Minimum expectations for baseline studies for mining developments have been provided.	Comments will be considered in the baseline data processing and in the EA preparation.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Review baseline reports to ensure that requested data is included. 	—
MOE – David Lee	The comments focus on EA requirements for the on-site landfill, and the fact that the MRA and the TMF do not require an ECA.	Should an on-site landfill be considered the preferred alternative, additional studies (such as hydrogeological investigations) would be carried out and proper permits and environmental assessment, as required, would then be applied for.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend the ToR as appropriate. Consider in the EA. 	Section 2.1
MOE – Steve Momy	Provides clarification that Ontario Regulation 102/94, Part IV and Part V for large construction and demolition projects would apply, for building with a total floor area of more than 2,000 square meters.	No changes in the ToR or EA required. The comment will be considered when preparing construction permits.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> No changes required. 	—
MOE – Ed Snucins	Request to add several indicators for the assessment of alternatives and to clarify the approach to assessing impacts on surface waters and aquatic resources. In addition the logic of the impact assessment methodology is questioned.	The requested indicators will be added as requested and additional information on the assessment of impacts of surface waters will be provided. The impacts assessment methodology has been revised.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Use additional indicators in the assessment of alternatives part of the EA. 	Chapter 5
MOE – Enoch Tse	Additional documents/guidelines for assessing noise impacts are provided.	These guidelines will be considered in the EA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Consider in the noise impact assessment. 	Section 6.3.3
MOE – Wesley Wright	Comments focus on the EA process, indicators used for the assessment and alternatives and the relationship between the EA and the engineering studies. It is also requested that a 'do nothing' alternative scenario be included in the EA. The MOE emphasizes that consultation is a key component of the EA. Additional requests and comments include the following: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> a clearer description of study areas; additional information regarding habitat compensation; ownership of and additional alternatives for the 230 kV transmission line; a definition of 'environment'; the inclusion of data sources for the indicators to be used in the assessment of alternatives; and the completeness of the record of consultation. 	In general, these comments have been accepted and the ToR will be revised, where applicable, to reflect these comments. Where relevant, the comments will also be considered in the EA.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Amend the ToR as appropriate. Consider in the EA. 	Chapter 5 Section 6.1 RoC

Agency / Group / Stakeholder	Comment Summary	IAMGOLD Response	Outstanding Issues/Actions	ToR Reference
MNR	<p>Key comment focus on the following aspects:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • more information to be provided with regards to discharge frequency and quantity; • measures to prevent introduction of unwanted species when dewatering Mesomikenda Lake; • more information about groundwater monitoring; • closure details about the open pit, transmission line, the aggregate pits and equipment removal at closure; • consideration of effects on bait harvesters and bear management areas; • the fact that under the LRIA Bagsverd Creek would be 'diverted' and not 'realigned'; • suggests a better location for the transmission line cross-country alternatives to cross Kenogamissi Lake; • include consideration for SAR post-closure; • requests additional information regarding identification of potential bat hibernacula; • provides additional information on threatened species status of several bird species; and • request more information about how bathymetry data was collected in the Project area. 	<p>Most of the information requested will be provided with the EA. IAMGOLD will use terminology with LRIA definition when submitting LRIA permits. Additional information of lake bathymetry data will be provided to the MNR in a separate email.</p>	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend the ToR as appropriate. • Consider in the EA. • Provide information regarding transmission line routing to engineering team. • Verify that baseline investigations and reports cover the requested data. • Provide bathymetry data details. 	Chapter 5
Infrastructure Ontario	Request to avoid impacts and appropriately mitigate impacts to Infrastructure Ontario lands.	If any IO managed lands are identified, IAMGOLD will engage strategies to avoid, where possible, effects on such lands, otherwise suitable mitigation measures will be planned and implemented.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No action required. 	—
MAA	MNDM will be identifying the list of Aboriginal communities for consultation for this Project and MAA will be supporting this work internally.	No action or response required.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No changes required. 	—
MEDTE/MRI	The feedback provided states that the Project will be a significant contributor to the long-term economic vitality of northeastern Ontario, and, in particular, will support the centres of excellence in mining equipment and services in Greater Sudbury and Timmins.	No action required.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No changes required. 	—
MNDM	Key comments focus on the accommodations area. Also, a suite of additional groups to be consulted are suggested.	The EA will provide details with regards to construction, operation and closure of the accommodations camp. The list of stakeholders will be expanded as suggested.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Amend the ToR as appropriate. • Consider in the EA. 	Section 9.1
MTCS	Comments focus on revising terminology and use of additional indicators for the assessment of alternatives. In addition, more information on tourism facilities is requested.	Terminology will be revised to use terms suggested and additional indicators will be used. Tourism facilities will be shown on the ToR Figure.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Use additional indicators in the assessment of alternatives part of the EA. 	Chapter 5 Figure 5

Agency / Group / Stakeholder	Comment Summary	IAMGOLD Response	Outstanding Issues/Actions	ToR Reference
MTO	Key comments focus on potential impacts of the Project on the highway and highway traffic.	It is expected that road volume and loads will be within the current road designs. If engineering work determines otherwise, effects on roads will be assessed in the EIS. IAMGOLD will consult the Ontario Ministry of Transportation on the potential weight of vehicles hauling material to and from the Project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Consider in the EA. • Verify that baseline investigations and reports cover the requested data. 	—
SDHU	Comments focus of Project effects on air quality and water quality during all Project phases. In addition it is requested that climate change be considered.	The EA will consider impacts on water quality and air quality. In addition, the EA will assess impacts of climate change on the Project.	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • No changes required. 	—

4.0 SUMMARY OF CONSULTATION ACTIVITIES PRIOR TO THE DRAFT TERMS OF REFERENCE (BEFORE MAY 10, 2013)

4.1 Early Consultation Goals

The goal of the consultation undertaken by IAMGOLD prior to issuing the Draft ToR (before May 10, 2013) for public and Aboriginal review was to:

- introduce IAMGOLD to area stakeholders and Aboriginal groups;
- understand Aboriginal interests in the area likely to be affected by the Project;
- establish positive working relationships with stakeholders and Aboriginal groups; and
- share information about and gather feedback on a Draft Project Description (PD).

4.2 Stakeholders and Aboriginal Groups Engaged

Stakeholders and Aboriginal groups engaged by IAMGOLD prior to the Notice of Commencement and issuance of the Draft ToR (before May 10, 2013) included:

Business and Community Interests:

- Cambrian College;
- First Resource Management Group Inc;
- Gogama Area Citizens Committee;
- Greater Sudbury Chamber of Commerce;
- Greater Sudbury Development Corporation;
- Laurentian University;
- Local land and resource users (trapline permit holders);
- Local small business owners;
- Mattagami Region Conservation Authority;
- Mesomikenda Cottagers Association;
- Northern College; and
- Timmins Economic Development Corporation.

Aboriginal Groups:

- Algonquin Anishinabeg Nation Tribal Council;
- Brunswick House First Nation;
- Flying Post First Nation;
- Matachewan First Nation;

- Mattagami First Nation;
- Métis Nation of Ontario;
- Missanabie Cree First Nation; and
- Wabun Tribal Council.

Municipal Government:

- City of Greater Sudbury;
- City of Timmins; and
- Gogama Local Services Board.

Provincial (Ontario) Government:

- Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs;
- Ministry of Natural Resources;
- Ministry of Northern Development and Mines;
- Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities; and
- Ministry of the Environment.

Federal Government:

- Aboriginal Affairs and Northern Development Canada;
- Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency (and other departments through their lead); and
- Industry Canada.

Stakeholders involved in the Project during this period included those with a direct interest in the Project, or those who will be further consulted to provide data for baseline studies such as Municipal and Provincial government department representatives, community-based service providers, economic development agencies, tourism outfitters and similar groups.

Appendix G and H provide a summary of all the consultation activities that was undertaken by IAMGOLD before May 10, 2013.

4.3 Overview of Public and Stakeholder Consultation Activities

IAMGOLD informed and involved the stakeholders, the public and Aboriginal groups in a variety of ways. The focus of early consultation (before May 10, 2013) was to introduce the Company, to inform citizens of the status of activities at the Project site, to provide information about the

Project (Draft PD) and to identify the need for future EAs and associated consultation opportunities. Further details of the IAMGOLD consultation activities are contained in Appendix G and H. Correspondence and meeting notes are contained in Appendix I.

4.3.1 Stakeholder and Community Meetings / Presentations

IAMGOLD actively engaged with local stakeholders, groups and organizations. The following presents a listing of these meetings.

- May 3, 2012 meeting with local Federal government representative, Claude Gravelle;
- May 3, 2012 meeting with representatives from Industry Canada;
- June 27, 2012 meeting with representatives from MNDM;
- July 26, 2012 meeting with representatives of the Timmins Chamber of Commerce, Timmins Economic Development Corporation, MNDM, City of Timmins, and Northern College;
- September 24, 2012 meeting with Gogama Local Services Board;
- October 2, 2012 meeting with representatives from Northern College, Laurentian University, and Cambrian College;
- October 25, 2012 meeting with representatives from Ministry of Natural Resources (MNR);
- October 25, 2012 meeting with representatives from MOE;
- October 31, 2012 meeting with the City of Sudbury, Cambrian College, Laurentian University, Greater Sudbury Chamber of Commerce, and Greater Sudbury Development Corporation;
- November 15, 2012 meeting with representatives from the Agency, MNDM, MNR, MOE, and Mattagami Region Conservation Authority;
- November 19, 2012 meeting conducted with representatives from MNDM;
- December 13, 2012 meeting conducted with representatives from AANDC;
- December 19, 2012 meeting conducted with representatives from the Ontario Ministry of Aboriginal Affairs and MNDM;
- January 7, 2013 presented to the Timmins City Council;
- January 29, 2013 presented to members of the Timmins Chamber of Commerce and business community;
- January 31, 2013 presented to members of the Sudbury Chamber of Commerce and business community;
- February 12, 2013 meeting conducted with representatives from the Ontario Ministry of Training, Colleges and Universities;

- February 27, 2013 meeting with the Gogama Area Citizens Committee, Gogama Local Services Board;
- March 25, 2013 meeting with the local Provincial government representative, Michael Mantha;
- March 27, 2013 meeting with representatives from Human Resources and Skills Development Canada;
- March 27, 2013 meeting with local Federal representatives, Charlie Angus and Claude Gravelle;
- April 8, 2013 meeting with local Provincial government representative, France Gélinas;
- April 8, 2013 meeting with local Provincial government representative, Bill Mauro;
- April 16, 2013 meeting with representatives from the City of Timmins, Timmins Economic Development Corporation, and Northern College;
- April 17, 2013 meeting with representatives from MNDM;
- April 18, 2013 meeting with representatives from MNDM;
- April 24, 2013 meeting with local Provincial representatives, Gilles Bisson and John Vanthof;
- April 25, 2013 meeting with the MOE; and
- April 30, 2013 meeting with MNDM and MNR.

Prior to those activities undertaken by IAMGOLD, the previous owner of the Project site, Trelawney, also consulted with local citizens and stakeholders at the following events:

- April 4, 2012 meeting with the Gogama Area Citizens Committee;
- April 4, 2012 meeting with the Gogama Local Services Board; and
- May 9, 2012 meeting with the Gogama Local Services Board.

Further details of the IAMGOLD meetings are presented in Appendix G and H.

4.3.2 Public Open Houses

IAMGOLD organized and conducted open houses for the general public so that they could share information about the Project. These open houses consisted of poster board displays and formal presentations with information from the Draft PD. At the open houses, attendees had opportunities to view and hear Project information and to discuss the Project and ask questions of Project representatives. These open houses were conducted on the following dates and locations:

- November 8, 2012 in Gogama;
- February 26, 2013 in Timmins;
- February 27, 2013 in Gogama; and
- February 28, 2013 in Sudbury.

Notices for the sessions were advertised in advance through advertisements in local newspapers and through direct mail to individuals on the Project mailing list. Further details about these sessions are provided in Appendices J and K.

A list of individuals who received copies of the Draft PD is presented in Appendix L.

4.3.3 Site Tours

IAMGOLD coordinated site tours with interested parties as requested. The following site tours have occurred before May 10, 2013.

- July 23, 2012 a site meeting was conducted with representatives from Mattagami First Nation;
- October 30, 2012 a site meeting was conducted with the MNR and MOE;
- November 22, 2012 a site tour with Wabun Tribal Council's Minerals Advisor; and
- November 27, 2012 a site tour with Mesomikenda Cottagers Association representative.

4.3.4 Project Newsletter

A community newsletter was prepared and distributed in March 2013 to provide area residents, stakeholders and Aboriginal groups a summary of the information gathered through the Draft PD open houses and other consultation activities; as well as providing Company and EA process information.

4.3.5 Other Activities

IAMGOLD has made numerous financial donations and/or sponsorships to local community groups including:

- Laurentian Lacrosse; and
- Gogama Kids Christmas Party and Community Feast.

4.4 Overview of Aboriginal Group Consultation Activities

Prior to the issuance of the Draft ToR, consultation activities focused primarily on the Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation, as they are likely to be most affected by the Project. IAMGOLD is building on the relationship that was initiated by the previous owner, Trelawney. IAMGOLD has signed an Exploration Agreement with the Mattagami First Nation and amended the agreement to include the Flying Post First Nation. Both First Nations coordinate their consultation and negotiation activities through the Wabun Tribal Council. Details of all consultation activities are documented in Appendix G and H. Correspondence and meeting notes are contained in Appendix I.

4.4.1 Aboriginal Community Leadership Meetings / Presentations

IAMGOLD met with the Mattagami First Nation, Flying Post First Nation, and the Wabun Tribal Council executive director to discuss protocols for working with the communities, setting up IBA negotiations, and discussing Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Land Use (TK/TLU) studies. They presented the Draft PD to the Chiefs and Councils of the communities, answered questions, and discussed concerns and interests for input into the document.

In September 2012, IAMGOLD, and the Mattagami First Nation and the Flying Post First Nation community leadership representatives, participated in a cross-cultural training session to better understand Aboriginal culture and the communities. IAMGOLD invited community representatives to attend the Aboriginal Mining Summit in Thunder Bay, Ontario to learn more about how mining projects are affecting Aboriginal communities and Aboriginal business ventures. IAMGOLD supported First Nation leadership's attendance at the Canadian Aboriginal Minerals Association and Prospectors and Developers Association Canada conferences in Toronto to facilitate their knowledge and understanding of mining.

IAMGOLD met with the MNO, and Region 3 councillors to introduce the team, as well as the Project. IAMGOLD presented the Draft PD and explained the elements of the Project and discussed the MNO consultation protocols in February 2013.

IAMGOLD initiated consultation with the Brunswick House, Matachewan, and Beaverhouse First Nations. The Missanabie Cree First Nation was contacted to determine their interest in the Project. The AANTC was contacted to seek further direction on consultation with their member communities.

4.4.2 Aboriginal Community Presentations

IAMGOLD conducted community open houses with the Flying Post First Nation and the Mattagami First Nation on February 13 and 20, 2013 (respectively) to present the Project. The community open houses were based around the Draft PD. Open houses included a series of poster boards describing elements of the Project and proposed environmental studies. Each open house also included a presentation that described the Project. IAMGOLD staff responded to questions and comments about the Project and comments were also solicited through feedback forms available at the sessions. Details of these open houses are contained in Appendix M.

IAMGOLD met with the MNO and the Region 3 councillors on February 22, 2013. The MNO presented their consultation protocol to IAMGOLD. IAMGOLD presented the Draft PD, answered questions about the Project and discussed concerns.

4.4.3 Aboriginal Traditional Knowledge and Traditional Land Use Studies

IAMGOLD discussed conducting TK/TLU studies with the Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation. The communities requested that the IBA was initiated prior to advancing the TK/TLU studies.

IAMGOLD discussed the methodology for proceeding with these studies which began in the Spring of 2013.

4.4.4 Aboriginal Group Site Tours

IAMGOLD provided a tour of the Project site to the Chief and a Councillor from Mattagami First Nation on July 23, 2012. IAMGOLD representatives discussed their vision for the Project, timelines for the EAs and IBA. IAMGOLD also provided a site tour to the Wabun Tribal Council's Minerals Advisor on November 22, 2012.

IAMGOLD is committed to providing sites tours to any interested community members upon request.

4.4.5 Elders and Youth Consultation

Members of the Mattagami First Nation and the Flying Post First Nation suggested that it is important to present Project information to the elders in the community and to seek their input. Both communities are also concerned about providing education and employment opportunities for youth as well as understanding their perspectives on the Project.

To further engage these community members, IAMGOLD is organizing discussion forums for elders to discuss the Project and provide their advice and feedback.

IAMGOLD will also seek ways to understand youth perspectives by providing opportunities for them to engage through site tours, Mining Matters workshops, or other youth and mining educational opportunities. IAMGOLD will also work with local educational institutions to consult with youth about mining and the Project.

4.4.6 Impact Benefit Agreement

An IBA is being negotiated with the Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation through their designated representative, the Wabun Tribal Council. The IBA is expected to better define the mitigation measures agreed upon to address any potential effects on their Treaty rights and in particular, the communities' participation in the Project, the conduct and inclusion of TK/TLU studies, participation in environmental studies, as well as other financial and non-financial benefits such as employment and business opportunities.

4.4.7 Other Activities

IAMGOLD has made numerous financial donations and/or sponsorships to local Aboriginal community groups and events such as:

- Mattagami First Nation Pow Wow;
- Mattagami First Nation Annual Baseball Tournament;
- Mattagami First Nation Annual Walleye Fishing Derby;
- Cross Cultural Training;
- Wabun Tribal Council Annual Golf Tournament;
- Mattagami Wellness Program;
- Hockey Kids of Mattagami First Nation;
- Mary Jane Memorial School Mattagami First Nation;
- Mattagami First Nation Kids Christmas Party;
- Flying Post First Nation Kids Christmas Party and Community Feast;
- Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation Education Dollars;
- Mattagami First Nation Turkey Donations;
- Mattagami Annual Beaverfest Sponsorship;
- Mattagami Eagles Little NHL Sponsorship;
- Mattagami High School Students Sponsorship; and
- Mattagami Right to Play.

IAMGOLD's community relations team spends over 70% of their time in the communities, whether it's giving a hand with different activities, visiting the schools and elders or learning and practicing the traditional ways of the Ojibwe communities.

4.5 Summary of Comments

4.5.1 Summary of Comments – Public and Stakeholders

The following is a summary of the comments received from the public and stakeholders about the Project before May 10, 2013. These comments were gathered through the consultation activities outlined in Section 4.3. Detailed comments and responses are presented in Appendix H.

Key questions received about the Project were primarily about the scope of the Project, what consultation has been done and what employment and business opportunities the Project would bring. The following is a summary of comments by topic area.

4.5.1.1 Acid Rock Generation Comments

Members of the public wanted to know about the results of any acid rock generation studies and how this would be managed. IAMGOLD indicated that additional studies will need to be conducted to further refine the results.

4.5.1.2 Adjacent Land User / Noise Comments

Cottagers on Mesomekinda Lake have expressed concerns about the potential for noise effects from the Project on the cottagers and potential loss in property value. IAMGOLD is committed to ongoing discussions with these stakeholders about Project effects and appropriate mitigation, accommodation and management measures.

Local hunters, a trapline holder, and forestry company identified concerns about current and future access to the area. These land users were concerned about the potential effect of the Project on the local wildlife (e.g., ability to meet trapping quotas) and current and future access to the area. IAMGOLD will discuss access issues with local land users so that access to areas not affected by the mine development will be maintained as much as possible.

4.5.1.3 Consultation Process Comments

Discussions with regional and local stakeholders focused on appropriate ways to involve them in the Project, and also about partnerships with regional education institutions to build capacity in, and to develop training programs for mining sector careers. Many useful suggestions were gathered and will be considered in the Consultation Plans. One individual indicated that open houses were a good way to inform and involve them in the Project. A number of members of the public inquired into the opportunity to participate in site tours. IAMGOLD will consider all

comments about appropriate ways to meaningfully inform and involve the public and stakeholders and, where possible, incorporate them into Consultation Plans.

4.5.1.4 Current Site Activity Comments

There were a few questions about what activities are currently happening on the site and what facilities are operating there. Company representatives indicated that the site is an exploration site at the moment, with associated drilling activities and a small work camp/office complex.

4.5.1.5 Economic Development Comments

At all of the public information sessions, attendees seemed encouraged by the possibility of a mine development in the region. There was a general sentiment at the Gogama sessions that employment opportunities had been decreasing steadily with the loss of the CN rail station, forestry sector downturn, and with the decrease in local MNR staff. Many indicated that the Project development would provide additional clientele for local tourism/outfitter lodge operators and the local restaurants.

A few people mentioned that IAMGOLD is the third mining company to indicate that the Project would move forward and hoped they would finally develop the property. Company representatives indicated that IAMGOLD is a mid-tier, global mining company who has made a significant commitment by purchasing this mining property and intends to develop the property into a mine if it is feasible and permits are obtained. Representatives stated that mining activities would provide economic stimulus through employment, as well as from contractors needing temporary accommodations and other services close to the IAMGOLD site during the construction phase of the Project.

4.5.1.6 Education and Training Comments

Initial discussions between IAMGOLD, the local Members of Provincial and Federal Parliament, City of Sudbury, Cambrian College, Northern College, College Boreal, Laurentian University, Greater Sudbury Chamber of Commerce, Greater Sudbury Development Corporation, Timmins Chamber of Commerce, and Timmins Economic Development Corporation highlighted the need to increase labour and training capacity in the region and the need to integrate education, immigration services, and the business community in order to try and attract people and investment to Sudbury and the development of a larger skilled work force in mining. In response, IAMGOLD pledged \$1.25 million in January 2013, to Laurentian University to fund Canada's first research chair in open-pit mining over a five-year period.

4.5.1.7 Mine Rock Area and Tailings Management Comments

Members of the public identified concerns about the plans to store mine rock and tailings specifically related to acid rock generation, location, and size. IAMGOLD identified preliminary plans for tailings and mine rock management and that they would be locating and designing facilities to prevent significant effects.

4.5.1.8 Water Resources Comments

A number of comments were made about the effects of the Project on Côté Lake and Mesomikenda Lake. Specifically, local people asked about where the Tailings Management Facility (TMF) would be located and how far it would be from Mesomikenda Lake. They asked about using a liner in the TMF to manage seepage into groundwater resources. Related to potential concerns about water, a local cottager pointed out that there is a canoe route on Mesomikenda Lake. People were interested to know what kind of fish were in Mesomikenda Lake. IAMGOLD representatives indicated that Côté Lake will need to be drained to mine the ore. Potential effects to surface waters, including Mesomikenda Lake, will be assessed and mitigation / management measures will be identified as part of the environmental assessment process.

A number of individuals identified a concern over the potential effects on water given the proposed location of the TMF water discharge location with specific reference to drinking water that is sourced by Timmins from this watershed. Local cottagers and the Ontario Power Generation identified concerns related to the fact that Mesomikenda Lake is a controlled lake and that in the winter the water level drops considerably.

4.5.1.9 Wildlife Comments

Members of the public wanted to know the specific effects the Project may have on the wildlife. Questions were raised about the potential for effects on Species at Risk (such as Blanding Turtle). IAMGOLD responded that a number of terrestrial and aquatic field surveys have been completed and additional studies are to be completed this year.

IAMGOLD provided responses, or will respond, to stakeholders concerns through direct communications and/or additional information through their website, fact sheets, and newsletters. Relevant interests and issues shared either in writing or by other means will be addressed in the EA processes, and a summary response will be provided in the EA documentation.

An overview of public and stakeholder comments and responses as well as where they are addressed in the Proposed ToR are provided in Table 4-1.

4.5.2 Summary of Comments – Aboriginal Groups

The following is a summary of the comments received from Aboriginal groups about the Project before May 10, 2013. These comments were gathered through the consultation activities outlined in Section 4. Detailed comments and responses are presented in Appendix H.

4.5.2.1 Traditional Knowledge, Land Use and Agreement Comments

The Mattagami First Nation raised a concern that Traditional Knowledge / Traditional Land Use (TK/TLU) studies focused on current use of the Project and that the questions posed within the study could be considered consultation. The Wabun Tribal Council, Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation therefore initiated negotiation of an IBA to address potential effects of the Project on their treaty rights. They indicated that an IBA with IAMGOLD should be in place prior to proceeding with future studies or engaging the community further about the Project. They also indicated that employment, training and business opportunities should form part of the IBA. In response to these comments, IAMGOLD initiated IBA negotiations with the Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation. These negotiations are ongoing. IAMGOLD proposed to negotiate an IBA in parallel to the studies being conducted and will need to rely on the studies in support of the environmental assessment and any regulatory requirements to ensure their input would be received as part of the environmental assessment process.

4.5.2.2 Effects on Water Quality and Water Bodies Comments

Members of the Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation raised concerns about the effects of the Project on water. They wanted to know whether a lake, similar to the Côté Lake, had ever been drained. They raised concerns about dykes and draining the Mollie River. In response, IAMGOLD identified that Côté Lake would need to be drained to access the ore body. They stated that the loss would be compensated at a different location.

Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation raised concerns about unintended tailings releases and potential water contamination. IAMGOLD indicated that they will design and manage the Project using proven and effective systems for containment and storage to avoid unintended releases.

Members of the Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation asked for information about how tailings would be managed, the size of the tailings management area, and the potential for affecting surface water. IAMGOLD responded that the majority of the cyanide is recycled or destroyed. The TMF is expected to cover an area of approximately 900 hectares at the end of the operations phase. The final design of the TMF is still in progress but the intent is to recycle water to reduce demands on water systems and to limit discharges to the environment.

4.5.2.3 Lake and Fish Comments

Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation wanted to know what kind of fish were in Côté Lake, how the fish would be moved, and whether they would be monitored after the move. IAMGOLD responded that aquatic studies have revealed that Côté Lake has northern pike, perch, whitefish, suckers, and pickerel. The fish will be transferred in a staged draw down process. The habitat will be recreated at the new site and then breeding populations of fish will be transferred to a new lake, which has not yet been determined. The fish will be monitored on an ongoing basis in this new lake.

4.5.2.4 Mine Closure Comments

The Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation also enquired about whether IAMGOLD has experience in mine closure and what assurances were in place for rehabilitation of the mine site. They wanted to know what the Project site would look like after closure and whether they could be involved in reclamation planning. IAMGOLD responded that they do have experience in closure planning in Quebec and other areas of the world. Before the start of construction IAMGOLD must file Closure Plans and financial bonds with the Provincial authorities so the funds are available for closure and reclamation, if required. IAMGOLD indicated that they are still in an early phase of Project planning and that as the Project evolves, that information regarding Project effects/components would be provided and discussed with the First Nations. IAMGOLD committed to further discussions about closure once closure concepts are developed.

4.5.2.5 Business, Training and Employment Comments

The Métis, Mattagami First Nation and Flying Post First Nation are interested in potential training, employment, and educational opportunities. IAMGOLD will continue to discuss these issues and to seek mutually beneficial arrangements.

An overview of Aboriginal comments and responses as well as where they are addressed in the Proposed ToR are provided in Table 4-2.

4.5.3 Summary of Comments – Government Agencies

The following is a summary of the comments received from government agencies about the Project before May 10, 2013. These comments were gathered through the consultation activities outlined in Section 4. Detailed comments and responses are presented in Appendix H.

4.5.3.1 Acid Rock Generation Comments

Members of the Gogama Area Citizens Committee wanted to know about the results of any acid rock generation studies and how this would be managed. IAMGOLD indicated that additional studies will need to be conducted to further refine the results and that the results would be shared when available.

4.5.3.2 Consultation Process Comments

Recommendations were made to involve groups downstream of the Project through the use of a communications strategy and to start engaging environmental non-governmental organizations (ENGOs), including Northwatch and Mining Watch.

It was suggested that the Mattagami Region Conservation Authority would engage the Upper Mattagami River Water Management Committee and that IAMGOLD should look into the Source Water Protection Plan (and provide MOE contact). IAMGOLD will engage the

Conservation Authority to discuss the Project and potential effects on source water protection plans.

4.5.3.3 EA Process Comments

Recommendations were made to undertake a single EA process (coordinate the Provincial and Federal EA processes). IAMGOLD is proposing to conduct a Voluntary EA rather than Provincial Class EAs. As much as possible, the Provincial and Federal EA processes will be coordinated.

4.5.3.4 Land Use Permit Comments

The MNR identified that, depending on Project layout, land use permits may be required if IAMGOLD does not hold the surface rights. The MNR also identified that other permits may be required. IAMGOLD will ensure adequate coordination with the MNR to ensure the necessary permits are acquired.

4.5.3.5 Tailings Management Comments

The MOE inquired if there are alternative tailings disposal methods being considered (i.e., paste, thickened, conventional, stacked). IAMGOLD indicated that to date, only potential tailings areas have been identified. Alternative tailings disposal methods will be considered as the Project develops.

4.5.3.6 Transmission Line Comments

For a new route for the power transmission line, the MNR identified that it would require public values to be assessed; consideration of different options for power; advantages/ disadvantages of different routes; identification of effect(s) on Navigable Waters and cottagers. The MNR identified that IAMGOLD may also need to contact Transport Canada regarding interference of wires on floatplanes. As part of the EA process IAMGOLD will assess the effects of the transmission line routes and alternatives. Transport Canada will participate in the EA review and thus comment on potential transmission line – aircraft interference.

4.5.3.7 Waste Management Comments

The MOE identified that the placement of Project's domestic waste in local landfills must be planned ahead of time to ensure that landfills have the capacity to manage this. IAMGOLD identified that domestic waste is currently being transported to the Timmins landfill but that they are considering permitting a landfill on-site for construction and operations purposes.

4.5.3.8 Water Resources Comments

A number of comments were made about the effects of the Project on Côté Lake and the local water network, as well as the quantity of water at the site location. IAMGOLD is completing the

necessary studies to determine potential water effects and develop appropriate management measures. This information will be documented as part of the EA report.

Inquiries were also made into potential surface water quality anomalies. IAMGOLD identified that there has been nothing out of the ordinary found during the last two years of baseline studies. The MOE identified that they are pleased with the data collection to date and that more data is always better. The MOE recommended the installation of flow stations for continuous flow monitoring as it provides better information for permit decision-making.

With respect to discharging into a water body (i.e., Mesomikenda Lake), IAMGOLD will need to consider mixing zone(s) and what (biota) could be affected. Environment Canada will be interested in the water bodies being overprinted. IAMGOLD will continue to discuss baseline water resources studies to share information and address feedback on methods, potential effects and mitigation / management measures.

4.5.3.9 Wildlife Comments

The MNR noted that bat studies are required to determine if there is White Nose Syndrome and associated population decline in this area. IAMGOLD will conduct bat studies and have their wildlife biologists contact the MNR for any clarification.

An overview of public and stakeholder comments and responses as well as where they are addressed in the Proposed ToR are provided in Table 4-3.

Table 4-1: Public and Stakeholder Comments and IAMGOLD Response Prior to the Release of the Draft ToR

Topic	Comments	IAMGOLD Response	Outstanding Issues/Actions	ToR Reference
Air Quality/Climate	What is the impact of noise and dust?	These concerns will be looked at during detailed EA studies.	Determine effects as part of EA process.	Table 5-4; Section 7.2; Table 7-1
Aquatic Resources/Fisheries	What kind of fish are in the waters?	Northern pike, pickerel, white fish, and suckers.	None.	Table 6-2
Land and Resources Use	There is a 4 kilometre canoe route in the area.	Thank you for this information. Project effects on recreation uses including canoeing will be assessed and the Project will be designed / managed to have the least possible negative effects on canoe routes in the region.	Determine effects as part of EA process.	Table 5-5; Section 7.2; Table 7-1
	Trapline holder identified concerns about access and impacts on trapping quotas.	IAMGOLD identified that the Chester/Domtar Road would remain open to public for the foreseeable future and hunting and trapping in the area would continue. Project effects on trapping will be assessed and the Project will be designed / managed to avoid unnecessary effects on trapping. IAMGOLD will continue to discuss the Project with affected trappers to determine appropriate management or compensation measures.	Discuss trapping effects with trapline permit holders. Determine effects as part of EA process.	Table 5-5; Section 7.2; Table 7-1
	Hunters inquired if they will still be able to hunt in the area.	IAMGOLD identified that the Chester/Domtar Road would remain open to public for the foreseeable future and hunting in the area would continue. Project effects on hunting will be assessed and the Project will be designed / managed to avoid unnecessary effects on hunting. IAMGOLD will continue to discuss the Project with affected outfitters and/or hunters to determine appropriate management or mitigation measures.	Discuss hunting effects with outfitters and hunters. Determine effects as part of EA process.	Table 5-5; Section 7.2; Table 7-1
	Will there be future access to the area?	IAMGOLD identified that the Project access will be Sultan Road via Chester Domtar Road in future.	None.	n/a
Noise/Vibration	What is the impact of noise and dust?	These concerns will be looked at during detailed engineering studies.	Determine effects as part of EA process.	Table 5-4; Section 7.2; Table 7-1
Process Water	Is fresh water required for process from Mesomikenda Lake?	Current studies will determine how much water will be required for process and how much will be available from capturing directly on site. Because Mesomikenda Lake is the largest body of water close to the site then it will be a good candidate for makeup water. The Project will try to recycle as much water as possible in the process.	Determine effects as part of EA process.	Table 5-4; Section 5.3.1.7; Section 7.2
Schedule	What is the schedule for the Project?	IAMGOLD outlined the Project timelines and steps to process. Construction is projected to end of 2016 and then go into production.	None.	n/a
Socio-economic	How will Gogama benefit from the Project?	Gogama could benefit from workers moving into the community and the related effects on businesses, economic development, and houses built.	Determine positive effects including employment and business opportunities as part of EA process.	Table 5-5; Section 5.3.1.10; Section 7.2; Table 7-1; Section 8.0
	Gogama identified concern regarding their sewage treatment system capacity capability.	IAMGOLD identified that they may be able to assist.	Determine effects on community services and infrastructure as part of EA process.	Table 5-5; Section 5.3.1.12; Section 7.2; Table 7-1

Topic	Comments	IAMGOLD Response	Outstanding Issues/Actions	ToR Reference
Stakeholder Consultation	Will tours of the site be conducted?	IAMGOLD will conduct site tours if requested by stakeholder organizations.	None.	n/a
	Have local Aboriginal communities been consulted about their traditional territories?	IAMGOLD is working with local First Nation and Métis communities to effectively consult with them.	Continue to consult Aboriginal groups to determine effects on traditional land uses as part of EA process.	Section 9-2; Appendix E; Record of Consultation
	Will there be community open houses?	IAMGOLD will conduct community open houses for the general public in Gogama as well as Timmins and Sudbury.	Ongoing public consultation planned as part of the EA process.	Section 9-1; Section 9-3; Appendix D
Mine Rock Area	What are the plans to store and treat tailings and mine rock in the area.	IAMGOLD have a number of conceptual plans for tailings and mine rock storage areas that were currently under stringent review. They would be graded from most desirable to least. However, plans are still preliminary and IAMGOLD will try and have more information on this for the next open house.	Provide more information about tailings and mine rock storage in future public and stakeholder meetings.	Figure 2; Section 5.3.1.3; Section 5.3.1.6
Water Resources	How large is Côté Lake? Has the draining been approved? Will it be drained or redirected?	The lake is 200-300 metres in diameter and averages 10-12 feet in depth (deepest is 20 feet). Approval has not been received. The current plan is to drain and redirect portions.	Determine effects of draining the lake and habitat compensation requirements.	Figure 2; Table 5-4; Section 5.3.1.9; Section 7.2; Table 7-1
Wildlife	Are there any Species at Risk at the Site?	A number of terrestrial and aquatic field surveys/studies have been completed (or are on-going) to identify any SARs and to characterize the area flora and fauna, and found no SARs have identified to date.	If SAR are present, determine effects from the Project on SAR as part of EA process.	Table 5-4; Section 5.3.1.4; Section 6.7.1; Section 6.7.3; Section 6.7.4; Section 6.7.4.1; Section 6.7.4.5; Section 6.7.4.6; Section 7.2; Table 7-1; Table 11-1; Table 11-2;
	Are there Blanding's Turtles?	During the numerous rounds of turtle-specific surveys no Blanding's Turtles were identified.	None.	n/a

Table 4-2: Aboriginal Comment and IAMGOLD Response Prior to the Release of the Draft ToR

Topic	Aboriginal Group Comments	IAMGOLD Response	Outstanding Issues/Actions	ToR Reference
Training, Employment, and Business Opportunities	Will there be training, employment and business opportunities?	IAMGOLD has been and will continue to discuss training, business opportunities and employment based on the interests of the communities. These are currently part of the Impact Benefit Agreement discussions which are on-going. IAMGOLD employs a number of First Nation community members and uses local, Aboriginal contractors for current site activities. IAMGOLD is currently planning to employ First Nations community members in upcoming archaeological field work, biophysical studies (fisheries and vegetation), and socioeconomic interviews.	Continue to work with Aboriginal communities to identify training, employment and business opportunities. Assess potential positive effects as part of the EA process.	Table 5-5; Table 7-1; Section 8.0
Fisheries	What kind of fish are in Côté Lake? Concern about preserving fish in Côté Lake, the Mollie River and other streams and lakes that may be affected by the Project.	The fish in Côté Lake are perch, whitefish, pike, suckers and pickerel. The proposed environmental studies will assess the effects on fish and fish habitat. Fish in Côté Lake will be moved to a new location in a staged drawdown approach and will be monitored after transfer to ensure survival and health.	Determine Project effects on fish and fish habitat as part of EA process.	Table 5-4; Section 5.3.1.9; Section 7.2; Table 7-1;
Environmental Assessment Process and Management	Communities have requested capacity assistance with report and study review.	IAMGOLD has provided a letter offer of capacity support (technical assistance) for consideration by the Wabun Tribal Council, the Flying Post First Nation, and the Mattagami First Nation on February 26, 2013.	None.	n/a
Effects of the Environment on the Project	Do you plan for high rainfall and snow amounts?	Yes, we are building to 100 year standards.	None.	n/a
Project Components and Mining	How do you treat the water that runs off? (Tailings management).	There is very little potentially acid generating rock at the site according to initial studies but further studies are ongoing. IAMGOLD will be responsible to monitor and control water. All seepage will be monitored as well and if not of acceptable quality it must be collected and treated. We are unsure how the design will look at this stage (ditching or pumping stations).	Determine Project effects on receiving water bodies as part of EA process.	Section 4.2.3.2; Section 4.2.3.3; Table 5-4; Section 5.3.1.3; Section 5.3.1.5; Section 5.3.1.6;
	How high are the stockpiles? Are you rehabilitating them one at a time?	Yes it will be one pile at a time. The piles will be 100 m high. Rehabilitation will be continuous.	None.	Section 5.3.1.3; Table 7-1
	Is there any way that you can economically build the mine without moving Côté Lake?	No. The ore is close to the surface and for economic and safety reasons we need to construct an open pit mine. We can't go underground.	None.	Section 4.2.3.1; Section 5.3.1.1
Traditional Land Use and Culture	Interest in assessing traditional land use and traditional knowledge (TK/TLU).	IAMGOLD is in discussions with First Nation and Métis communities about conducting TK/TLU studies. IAMGOLD will provide capacity support to communities to document TK / TLU related to the Project. Any effects to current TLU will be addressed in the EA.	Determine Project effects on TK/TLU as part of EA process.	Table 5-5; Table 7-1; Section 8.0; Appendix E
Archaeology	Interest in archaeological sites that may be affected by the Project.	Archaeological studies have been initiated and members of the Mattagami First Nation have been participating in the field assessments. Effects on archaeological sites will be assessed in the EA report.	Determine Project effects on heritage resources as part of EA process.	Table 5-5; Table 7-1

Topic	Aboriginal Group Comments	IAMGOLD Response	Outstanding Issues/Actions	ToR Reference
Water Resources	Concern about chemicals, such as ammonia, entering surface and ground water, which has been a problem with older mines close to the Mattagami First Nations.	There will be containment at the site. Water will not be released unless it is of good quality and meets standards. If systems fail there are pumping stations around that will confine the water to the site. The EA Report will further assess effects on surface and ground-water resources in the established regional study area.	Determine Project effects on receiving water bodies as part of EA process.	Section 4.2.3.2; Section 4.2.3.3; Table 5-4; Section 5.3.1.2; Section 5.3.1.3; Section 5.3.1.5; Section 5.3.1.6; Table 7-1
	With respect to water regulation, how often do you expect unintended releases? One time per week? One time per month?	Never hopefully. We are going to build storage in case the water is not to acceptable standards. We realize that we must manage the water and we take this very seriously. We have policies on health, safety, and community that we adhere to and a zero harm policy.	Determine potential effects of accidents and malfunctions as part of the EA process.	Section 7.4; Section 8.0
Closure Plans	Concern about financial support to complete closure; interest in closure planning.	IAMGOLD is required to provide financial bonds to regulatory agencies prior to construction to ensure closure financing is available. IAMGOLD will develop a closure plan and seek community input.	Development of closure plan with community input and provision of financial bonds to regulatory agencies.	Section 4.2.3; Section 5.2.2.6; Table 5-6; Section 5.3.1.14; Table 7-1; Section 8.0; Table 11-1

Table 4-3: Government Agency Comment and IAMGOLD Response Prior to the Release of the Draft ToR

Topic	Comments	IAMGOLD Response	Outstanding Issues/Actions	ToR Reference
Acid rock generation	Members of the Gogama Area Citizens Committee wanted to know about the results of any acid rock generation studies and how this would be managed.	IAMGOLD indicated that additional studies will need to be conducted to further refine the results.	Determine Project effects from ARD as part of EA process.	Section 4.2.3.2; Section 4.2.3.3; Table 5-4; Sections 5.3.1.2; Section 5.3.1.3; Section 5.3.1.6; Table 7-1; Section 8.0
Consultation	Recommendations were made to involve groups downstream of the Côté Gold Project through the use of a communications strategy and to start engaging environmental non-governmental organizations (ENGOS), including Northwatch and Mining Watch.	IAMGOLD has developed consultation plans that are appended to the Draft ToR. As part of the consultation process, IAMGOLD will engage numerous stakeholders and organizations including the identified ENGOS.	Suggestions will be implemented as part of Project consultation.	Section 9.1; Appendix D
	The Mattagami Region Conservation Authority would like the Upper Mattagami River Water Management Committee to be consulted and that IAMGOLD should look into the Source Water Protection Plan (and provide MOE contact).	IAMGOLD will engage the Committee and also contact the MOE regarding the Source Water Protection Plan.	Engage the Committee and contact MOE regarding the Source Water Protection Plan.	n/a
EA Process	Recommendations were made to undertake a single EA process (combine to the extent possible the Provincial and Federal EA processes).	IAMGOLD is working with both the Provincial and Federal government agencies to coordinate the EA to the extent possible.	None.	Section 2.0
Land Use	The MNR identified that, depending on Project layout, land use permits may be required if IAMGOLD does not hold the surface rights. The MNR also identified that other permits may be required.	IAMGOLD will ensure that it coordinates with the MNR to ensure the necessary permits are acquired.	IAMGOLD will continue to engage MNR to ensure compliance with permit requirements.	Section 9.1; Table 11-1
Tailings Management	The MOE inquired if there are alternative tailings disposal methods being considered (i.e., paste, thickened, conventional, stacked).	IAMGOLD states that to date, only potential tailings areas have been identified. Alternative tailings disposal methods will be considered as the Project develops.	Alternative tailings disposal methods will be considered as the Project is developed.	Section 5.3.1.6; Table 5-7
Transmission Line	For a new route for the power transmission line, the MNR identified that it would require "values" to be assessed; consideration of different options for power; advantages/ disadvantages of different routes; identification of effect(s) on Navigable Waters and cottagers. The MNR identified that IAMGOLD may also need to contact Transport Canada regarding interference of wires on floatplanes.	IAMGOLD is currently evaluating the two proposed transmission lines (new route and existing corridor route) to determine the most appropriate option. These comments will be included in the evaluation.	Two power transmission line route alternatives will be assessed as part of the EA.	Section 5.3.1.13; Table 5-7; Table 7-1
Waste Management	The MOE identified that placement of domestic waste in local landfills must be planned ahead of time to ensure that these small landfills have the capacity.	IAMGOLD identified that their domestic waste is currently transported to the Timmins landfill but that they are considering permitting a landfill on-site for construction and operations purposes.	Determine solid waste requirements for the Project, discuss any off-site landfill options with municipalities as required. Determine effects of the Project on community infrastructure and services.	Section 4.1; Section 4.2.2; Section 5.3.1.12; Table 7-1; Table 11-1

Topic	Comments	IAMGOLD Response	Outstanding Issues/Actions	ToR Reference
Water Resources	A number of comments were made about the effects of the Côté Gold Project on Côté Lake and the local water network, as well as the quantity of water at the site location.	IAMGOLD is completing the necessary studies to determine potential water effects and develop appropriate management measures.	Assess potential effects on water bodies as part of the EA.	Table 5-4; Section 5.3.1.2; Section 5.3.1.3; Section 5.3.1.5; Section 5.3.1.6; Table 7-1
	Inquiries were also made into potential surface water quality anomalies.	IAMGOLD identified that there has been nothing out of the ordinary found during the last two years of baseline studies.	None.	Section 6.6.1
	The MOE identified that they are pleased with the data collection to date and that more data is always better. The MOE recommended the installation of flow stations for continuous flow monitoring as it provides better information for permit decision-making.	IAMGOLD will evaluate additional data collection methods to help support their applications.	Review additional data needs and continue to discuss baseline studies with government agencies to gather feedback on methods, findings and appropriate management options.	Table 7-1; Section 8.0; Section 9.1; Table 11-1
	With respect to discharging into a water body (i.e., Mesomikenda Lake), IAMGOLD will need to consider mixing zone(s) and what (biota) could be affected. Environment Canada will be interested in the water bodies being overprinted.	IAMGOLD will include these comments as part of their studies and evaluations.	Assess potential effects on water bodies as part of the EA.	Section 4.1; Section 4.2.2; Section 5.3.1.8; Table 5-7; Table 7-1; Section 8.0
Wildlife	The MNR noted that bat studies are required to determine if there is White Nose Syndrome and associated population decline in this area.	IAMGOLD identified that the wildlife biologists will be informed and contact the MNR for any clarification.	Continue to discuss baseline studies with government agencies to gather feedback on methods, findings and appropriate management options.	Section 6.7.4.6; Table 7-1; Section 8.0

5.0 OVERVIEW OF ONGOING AND PROPOSED CONSULTATION ACTIVITIES

IAMGOLD is committed to ongoing consultation with interested persons as the Project progresses through construction, operation, and decommissioning/closure. An overview of the ongoing and proposed consultation activities is outlined in the Stakeholder and Aboriginal Consultation Plans appended to the Proposed ToR document.

6.0 REFERENCES

Ministry of the Environment. 2009. Code of Practice: Preparing and Reviewing Terms of Reference for Environmental Assessments in Ontario.