

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

Plaintiff,

v.

PACIFIC GAS AND ELECTRIC
COMPANY,

Defendant.


No. CR 14-0175 WHA

**FURTHER QUESTIONS TO BE
ANSWERED BY PG&E BY
DECEMBER 19**

By **DECEMBER 19 AT NOON** PG&E shall please answer these questions:

- 7. What was the purpose of the hold-down anchor on the Adjacent Tower?
- 8. Was that hold-down anchor used on the Caribou-Palermo Transmission Line? If so, was there any support between the C-hook that lost the anchor and the C-hook that failed?
- 9. In what possible ways did the failure of the hold-down anchor on the Adjacent Tower contribute to the failure of the C-hook on the Incident Tower?
- 10. Generally, what factors exacerbate the gouging of the C-hooks (like sway, vibration, weight) and to what extent were these factors known by PG&E before the Camp Fire?

Dated: December 6, 2019.


WILLIAM ALSUP
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE