



Tableau Software, Inc.
1621 North 34th Street
Seattle, Washington 98103

NOTICE OF ANNUAL MEETING OF STOCKHOLDERS

The 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders of Tableau Software, Inc. will be held:

Date: Tuesday, May 22, 2018
Time: 11:00 a.m. local time
Place: Tableau Software, Inc.
1621 North 34th Street
Seattle, Washington 98103

The items of business are:

1. To elect the three Class II directors named in the accompanying proxy statement.
2. To approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of Tableau's named executive officers.
3. To ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as Tableau's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.
4. To transact any other business properly brought before the meeting.

These items of business are more fully described in the accompanying proxy statement.

Stockholders of record of Tableau Class A common stock (NYSE: DATA) and Class B common stock as of the close of business on March 26, 2018, the record date of the Annual Meeting, are entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting or any adjournment thereof. Please see the accompanying proxy statement for a list of requirements for admission to the Annual Meeting.

By Order of the Board of Directors,

Keenan Conder
Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Seattle, Washington
April 10, 2018

Important Notice Regarding the Availability of Proxy Materials for the Stockholder Meeting to be Held on May 22, 2018. The proxy statement and our 2017 annual report to stockholders are available at www.proxyvote.com.

Whether or not you intend to be present at the meeting, please vote as promptly as possible by using the Internet or telephone or by signing, dating, and returning the Proxy Card mailed to those who receive paper copies of this proxy statement.

PROXY STATEMENT — TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Page
QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING	1
Proxy Materials	1
Voting Information	1
Attending the Annual Meeting	5
DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE	6
Directors and Executive Officers	6
Board Composition and Leadership	9
Board Meetings and Committees	10
Director Selection and Nominations	12
Corporate Governance and Board Matters	13
Director Independence and Related Person Transactions	14
SECURITIES OWNERSHIP	16
Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management	16
Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance	18
DIRECTOR COMPENSATION	19
Board Compensation for Non-Employee Directors	19
Director Compensation for 2017	20
EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION	22
Compensation Discussion and Analysis	22
Compensation Tables	34
Equity Compensation Plan Information	41
AUDIT	42
Audit and Non-Audit Fees	42
Audit Committee Report	43
ITEMS TO BE VOTED ON	44
Proposal 1 – Election of Directors	44
Proposal 2 – Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation	45
Proposal 3 – Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2018 Fiscal Year	47
OTHER INFORMATION	48
Householding of Proxy Materials	48
Stockholder Proposals	48

QUESTIONS AND ANSWERS ABOUT THE PROXY MATERIALS AND THE ANNUAL MEETING

Proxy Materials

Why am I receiving these materials?

We are furnishing the proxy materials, including this proxy statement and our annual report to stockholders (Annual Report), which includes our Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, in connection with the solicitation of proxies by the Board of Directors (the Board) of Tableau Software, Inc. (also referred to as Tableau, the Company, we, us, our, ours, and similar terms) for use at our 2018 Annual Meeting of Stockholders (the Annual Meeting) to be held on May 22, 2018, at 11:00 a.m., local time, at the Company's offices located at 1621 North 34th Street, Seattle, Washington 98103, including at any adjournments or postponements of the meeting.

Why did I receive a notice regarding the availability of proxy materials on the Internet?

In accordance with the rules of the Securities and Exchange Commission (SEC), we have elected to provide access to the proxy materials primarily via the Internet instead of mailing the full set of printed copies. Most stockholders will not receive printed copies of the proxy materials unless they request them. On or about April 10, 2018, we mailed to our stockholders the Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials (the Notice) that contains instructions on how to access our proxy materials on the Internet, how to vote at the Annual Meeting, and how to request printed copies of the proxy materials and Annual Report. We encourage stockholders to take advantage of the availability of the proxy materials on the Internet to help reduce the environmental impact of our annual meetings.

Voting Information

What am I voting on?

There are three proposals on the agenda:

1. Election of the three Class II directors named herein to serve on our Board for a three-year term;
2. Approval, on an advisory basis, of the compensation of our named executive officers, as described in this proxy statement; and
3. Ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

The Board unanimously recommends that you vote "For" each of these proposals.

Who can vote at the Annual Meeting?

Only stockholders of record at the close of business on March 26, 2018, the record date of the Annual Meeting (the Record Date), will be entitled to vote at the meeting. On the Record Date, there were 67,903,518 shares of Class A common stock and 13,631,046 shares of Class B common stock outstanding and entitled to vote.

Stockholder of Record (Shares Registered in Your Name) – If on the Record Date your shares were registered directly in your name with our transfer agent, American Stock Transfer & Trust Company, LLC, then you are a stockholder of record for purposes of voting at the Annual Meeting. As a stockholder of record, you may vote in

person at the meeting or vote by proxy over the telephone, through the Internet, or by using a proxy card that you may request or that we may elect to deliver at a later time. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we urge you to vote by proxy to ensure your vote is counted.

Beneficial Owner (Shares Registered in the Name of a Broker or Bank) – If on the Record Date your shares were held not in your name, but rather in an account at a brokerage firm, bank, dealer, or other similar organization, then you are the beneficial owner of shares held in “street name” and the Notice is being forwarded to you by that organization. The organization holding your account is considered to be the stockholder of record for purposes of voting at the Annual Meeting. As a beneficial owner, you have the right to direct your broker or other agent regarding how to vote the shares in your account. You are also invited to attend the Annual Meeting. However, since you are not the stockholder of record, you may not vote your shares in person at the meeting unless you request and obtain a valid proxy from your broker or other agent.

What if another matter is properly brought before the meeting?

The Board knows of no other matters that will be presented for consideration at the Annual Meeting, and the deadline for stockholder proposals and nominations has passed. Nonetheless, if any other matters are properly brought before the meeting, it is the intention of the persons named in the accompanying proxy to vote on those matters in accordance with their best judgment.

How do I vote?

You may either vote “For” all the nominees to the Board or you may “Withhold” your vote for any nominee you specify. For your advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers and the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm, you may vote “For” or “Against” or abstain from voting. The procedures for voting are as follows:

Stockholder of Record (Shares Registered in Your Name) – If you are a stockholder of record, you may vote by proxy over the telephone, through the Internet, or by using a proxy card that you may request or that we may elect to deliver at a later time, or you may vote in person at the Annual Meeting. Whether or not you plan to attend the meeting, we urge you to vote by proxy to ensure your vote is counted. You may still attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person even if you have already voted by proxy; only your last vote before the close of voting will be counted.

Phone: To vote over the telephone, dial toll-free 1-800-690-6903 and follow the recorded instructions. You will be asked to provide the company number and control number from the Notice. Your telephone vote must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time on May 21, 2018, to be counted.

Internet: To vote through the Internet, go to www.proxyvote.com to complete an electronic proxy card. You will be asked to provide the company number and control number from the Notice. Your Internet vote must be received by 11:59 p.m., Eastern Time on May 21, 2018, to be counted.

Proxy Card: To vote using the proxy card that may be delivered to you, simply complete, sign, and date the proxy card and return it promptly in the envelope provided. If you return your signed proxy card to us before the Annual Meeting, we will vote your shares as you direct.

In Person: To vote in person at the Annual Meeting, provide a form of photo identification and request a ballot when you arrive.

Beneficial Owner (Shares Registered in the Name of Broker or Bank) – If you are a beneficial owner of shares registered in the name of your broker, bank or other agent, you should have received a Notice containing voting

instructions from that organization rather than from us. Simply follow the voting instructions in the Notice to ensure that your vote is counted.

In Person: To vote in person at the Annual Meeting, you must obtain a valid proxy from your broker, bank or other agent. Follow the instructions from your broker or bank included with the proxy materials, or contact your broker or bank to request a proxy form.

Internet proxy voting is being provided to allow you to vote your shares online, with procedures designed to ensure the authenticity and correctness of your proxy vote instructions. However, please be aware that you must bear any costs associated with your Internet access, such as usage charges from Internet access providers and telephone companies.

How many votes do I have?

On each matter to be voted upon, you have one vote for each share of Class A common stock and ten votes for each share of Class B common stock you owned as of the Record Date. The Class A common stock and Class B common stock will vote together as a single class on all proposals described in this proxy statement.

What happens if I do not vote?

Stockholder of Record (Shares Registered in Your Name) – If you are a stockholder of record and do not vote by telephone, through the Internet, by completing the proxy card that may be delivered to you, or in person at the Annual Meeting, your shares will not be voted.

Beneficial Owner (Shares Registered in the Name of Broker or Bank) – If you are a beneficial owner and do not instruct your broker, bank or other agent how to vote your shares, the question of whether your broker or nominee will still be able to vote your shares depends on whether the New York Stock Exchange (NYSE) deems the particular proposal to be a “routine” matter. Brokers and nominees can use their discretion to vote “uninstructed” shares with respect to matters that are considered to be “routine,” but not with respect to “non-routine” matters. Under the rules and interpretations of the NYSE, “non-routine” matters would include proposals involving elections of directors (even if not contested) and executive compensation (including any advisory stockholder votes on executive compensation). Accordingly, your broker or nominee may not vote your shares on Proposals 1 and 2 without your instructions, but may vote your shares on Proposal 3, even in the absence of your instruction.

What if I return a proxy card or otherwise vote but do not make specific choices?

If you return a signed and dated proxy card or otherwise vote without marking voting selections, your shares will be voted, as applicable, “For” the election of each of the three nominees for director, “For” the advisory approval of named executive officer compensation, and “For” the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018. If any other matter is properly presented at the meeting, your proxyholder (one of the individuals named on your proxy card) will vote your shares using his or her best judgment.

Who is paying for this proxy solicitation?

We will pay for the entire cost of soliciting proxies. In addition to these proxy materials, our directors and employees may also solicit proxies in person, by telephone, or by other means of communication. Directors and employees will not be paid any additional compensation for soliciting proxies. We may also reimburse brokerage firms, banks and other agents for the cost of forwarding proxy materials to beneficial owners.

What does it mean if I receive more than one Notice?

If you receive more than one Notice, your shares may be registered in more than one name or in different accounts. Please follow the voting instructions on each of the Notices to ensure that all of your shares are voted.

Can I change my vote after submitting my proxy?

Stockholder of Record (Shares Registered in Your Name) – You can revoke your proxy at any time before the final vote at the Annual Meeting. If you are the record holder of your shares, you may revoke your proxy in any one of the below ways. Your most current proxy card or telephone or Internet proxy is the one that is counted.

- Submit another properly completed proxy card with a later date.
- Grant a subsequent proxy by telephone or through the Internet.
- Send a timely written notice that you are revoking your proxy to our Corporate Secretary at 1621 North 34th Street, Seattle, Washington 98103.
- Attend the Annual Meeting and vote in person. Simply attending the meeting will not, by itself, revoke your proxy.

Beneficial Owner (Shares Registered in the Name of Broker or Bank) – If your shares are held by your broker or bank as a nominee or agent, you should follow the instructions provided by your broker or bank.

How are votes counted?

Votes will be counted by the inspector of election appointed for the meeting, who will separately count:

- “For,” “Withhold” and broker non-votes for Proposal 1; and
- “For” and “Against,” abstentions and broker non-votes for Proposals 2 and 3.

What are “broker non-votes”?

As discussed above, when a beneficial owner of shares held in “street name” does not give instructions to the broker or nominee holding the shares as to how to vote on matters deemed by the NYSE to be “non-routine,” the broker or nominee cannot vote the shares. These unvoted shares are counted as “broker non-votes.”

How many votes are needed to approve each proposal?

The following table summarizes the minimum vote needed, assuming that a quorum is present, to approve each proposal and the effect of abstentions and broker non-votes.

Proposal Number	Proposal Description	Vote Required for Approval	Effect of Abstentions or Withhold Votes	Effect of Broker Non-Votes
1	Election of three Class II Directors	The three nominees receiving the most “For” votes will be elected	None	None
2	Advisory approval of the compensation of the Company’s named executive officers	“For” votes from the holders of a majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter	Against	None
3	Ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company’s independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018	“For” votes from the holders of a majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the matter	Against	None

What is the quorum requirement?

A quorum of stockholders is necessary to hold a valid meeting. A quorum will be present if shares representing a majority of the aggregate voting power of shares of Class A common stock and Class B common stock (voting together as a single class) entitled to vote are present at the Annual Meeting in person or represented by proxy. On the Record Date, there were 67,903,518 shares of Class A common stock and 13,631,046 shares of Class B common stock outstanding and entitled to vote. Thus, the holders of shares representing an aggregate of 102,106,990 votes must be present in person or represented by proxy at the Annual Meeting to have a quorum.

Your shares will be counted towards the quorum only if you submit a valid proxy (or one is submitted on your behalf by your broker, bank or other nominee) or if you vote in person at the meeting. Abstentions and broker non-votes will be counted towards the quorum requirement. If there is no quorum, the holders of shares representing a majority of the voting power present at the Annual Meeting in person or represented by proxy may adjourn the Annual Meeting to another date.

How can I find out the results of the voting at the Annual Meeting?

Preliminary voting results will be announced at the meeting. In addition, final voting results will be published in a current report on Form 8-K that we expect to file within four business days after the meeting. If final voting results are not available to us in time to file a Form 8-K within four business days after the meeting, we intend to file a Form 8-K to publish preliminary results and, within four business days after the final results are known to us, file an amended Form 8-K to publish the final results.

Attending the Annual Meeting

How do I attend the Annual Meeting?

Only stockholders as of the Record Date and persons holding proxies from stockholders as of the Record Date may attend the Annual Meeting. If you are a stockholder of record and your shares are registered in your name, you must bring a form of photo identification to the Annual Meeting. If you are a beneficial owner and your shares are held in the name of a broker, trust, bank or other nominee, otherwise known as holding in “street name,” you must bring a proxy or letter from that broker, trust, bank or other nominee that confirms you are the beneficial owner of those shares as of the Record Date, together with a form of photo identification, to the Annual Meeting. If you are a representative of an entity that owns shares, you must bring a form of photo identification, evidence that you are the entity’s authorized representative or proxyholder, and, if the entity holds the shares in street name, proof of the entity’s beneficial ownership to the Annual Meeting. If you are a proxyholder, you must bring a valid legal proxy and a form of photo identification to the Annual Meeting. Use of cameras and recording devices will not be permitted at the Annual Meeting. Information on how to vote in person at the meeting is discussed above.

DIRECTORS, EXECUTIVE OFFICERS AND CORPORATE GOVERNANCE

Directors and Executive Officers

The names of our directors and executive officers and their ages, positions, and biographies as of March 12, 2018, are set forth below. Our executive officers are appointed by, and serve at the direction of our Board. There are no family relationships among any of our directors or executive officers.

Name	Age	Position(s)
Adam Selipsky	51	President, Chief Executive Officer and Director
Christian Chabot	46	Co-founder and Chairman of the Board of Directors
Chris Stolte	45	Co-founder, Technical Advisor and Director
Patrick Hanrahan	62	Co-founder, Chief Scientist and Director
Billy Bosworth	48	Director
Elliott Jurgensen, Jr.	73	Director
Hilarie Koplrow-McAdams	54	Director
John McAdam	67	Director
Gerri Martin-Flickinger	55	Director
Brooke Seawell	70	Director
Damon Fletcher	39	Interim Chief Financial Officer
Dan Miller	55	Executive Vice President, Worldwide Field Sales, Services and Support
Andrew Beers	46	Chief Development Officer
Elissa Fink	54	Chief Marketing Officer
Keenan Conder	55	Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary

Adam Selipsky has served as our President, Chief Executive Officer, and Director since September 2016. Prior to joining us, Mr. Selipsky served as Vice President, Marketing, Sales and Support for Amazon Web Services, Inc. (AWS), a subsidiary of Amazon.com, from 2005 until September 2016. Prior to AWS, Mr. Selipsky held various roles at RealNetworks, Inc., a provider of Internet streaming media delivery software and services, from 1999 until 2005, including most recently Vice President, SuperPass and RealPlayer. From 1993 until 1999, Mr. Selipsky served as a Principal at Mercer Management Consulting, Inc. (now Oliver Wyman), a management consulting firm. Mr. Selipsky holds an M.B.A. and a B.A. from Harvard University. Mr. Selipsky was chosen to serve on our Board because of his extensive experience in the technology industry and because he is our President and Chief Executive Officer.

Christian Chabot is one of our co-founders and has served as Chairman of our Board since our inception in 2003. Mr. Chabot also served as our President and Chief Executive Officer from our inception in 2003 until September 2016. Prior to joining us, Mr. Chabot served as an Associate Partner at Softbank Venture Capital, a venture capital firm. Prior to Softbank, Mr. Chabot was the President and co-founder of BeeLine LLC, a visualization software company. He holds an M.B.A. from Stanford University, an M.Sc. from the University of Sussex and a B.S. from Stanford University. Mr. Chabot was chosen to serve on our Board because he is a co-founder, a significant stockholder and has a deep understanding of our products and technology.

Chris Stolte is one of our co-founders, has served as a member of our Board since our inception in 2003 and has been our Technical Advisor since August 2016. Dr. Stolte served as our Chief Development Officer from May 2010 until August 2016 and, prior to that, served as our Vice President of Engineering. Prior to joining us, Dr. Stolte was the Chief Technology Officer and co-founder of BeeLine LLC. Dr. Stolte holds a Ph.D. in Computer Science from Stanford University and a B.S. from Simon Fraser University. Dr. Stolte was chosen to serve on our

Board because he is a co-founder, a significant stockholder and has a deep understanding of our products and technology.

Patrick Hanrahan is one of our co-founders and has served as a member of our Board and our Chief Scientist since our inception in 2003. Dr. Hanrahan is also currently the CANON Professor of Computer Science and Electrical Engineering at Stanford University, where he teaches computer graphics and is researching visualization, image synthesis, and graphics systems and architectures. Dr. Hanrahan is a part-time employee of Tableau, with approximately 20% of his professional time currently dedicated to Tableau. Prior to joining us, Dr. Hanrahan served as a senior scientist at Pixar Animation Studios. Prior to joining Stanford, Dr. Hanrahan was an Associate Professor at Princeton University. Dr. Hanrahan holds a Ph.D. in Biophysics and a B.S. from the University of Wisconsin-Madison. Dr. Hanrahan was chosen to serve on our Board because he is a co-founder, a significant stockholder and, as our Chief Scientist, has a deep understanding of our products and technology.

Billy Bosworth has served as a member of our Board since May 2015. Mr. Bosworth has served as the President and Chief Executive Officer and as a member of the board of DataStax, Inc., a provider of open-source and big-data database technology, since May 2011. Prior to joining DataStax, Mr. Bosworth held positions at Quest Software, a provider of systems management software, from June 2005 to May 2011, where his most recent role was Vice President and General Manager of the database business unit. Mr. Bosworth holds a B.S. in Information Science and Data Processing from the University of Louisville. Mr. Bosworth was chosen to serve on our Board due to his experience in the database industry, and in particular, his extensive background in product management and development.

Elliott Jurgensen, Jr. has served as a member of our Board since September 2012. Mr. Jurgensen retired from KPMG LLP, an international public accounting firm, in January 2003 after 32 years, including 23 years as an audit partner. During his public accounting career at KPMG, he held a number of leadership positions, including Managing Partner of the Bellevue, Washington office from 1982 to 1991 and Managing Partner of the Seattle, Washington office from 1993 to 2002. Mr. Jurgensen also serves on the board of a privately held technology company and served as a director of publicly held companies BSquare Corporation, from January 2003 to June 2016 when he resigned; McCormick & Schmick's Seafood Restaurants, Inc., from July 2004 until December 2011 when it was acquired; and Isilon Systems, Inc., from April 2006 to December 2010 when it was acquired by EMC Corporation (now Dell EMC). Mr. Jurgensen holds a B.S. from California State University, San Jose. Mr. Jurgensen was chosen to serve on our Board due to his substantial financial expertise that includes extensive knowledge of the complex financial and operational issues facing publicly-traded companies and a deep understanding of accounting principles and financial reporting rules and regulations. He also brings professional service expertise, technology industry experience, experience as a public company board member, and sales and marketing experience at KPMG.

Hilarie Koplow-McAdams has served as a member of our Board since December 2016. Ms. Koplow-McAdams has been a Venture Partner with New Enterprise Associates (NEA), a venture capital firm, since December 2017. Prior to joining NEA, Ms. Koplow-McAdams served as the President of New Relic, Inc., a digital intelligence company, from October 2015 until April 2017 and, prior to that, served as New Relic's Chief Revenue Officer from December 2013 to September 2015. Prior to New Relic, Ms. Koplow-McAdams served as President of Global Sales at salesforce.com, inc., a software company, from April 2013 to November 2013. Ms. Koplow-McAdams also held a variety of other positions at salesforce.com, including President of the Commercial and SMB unit from February 2012 to April 2013, Executive Vice President of Worldwide Sales from May 2010 to February 2012, and Executive Vice President of Global Corporate Sales from May 2008 to May 2010. From 2006 to 2008, Ms. Koplow-McAdams served as Vice President of Direct Sales at Intuit Inc., a software company. In addition, Ms. Koplow-McAdams previously served in various senior sales roles at Oracle Corporation, a computer technology company, where her most recent role was Senior Vice President of Oracle Direct. Ms. Koplow-McAdams has also served on the board of Zendesk, Inc., a global customer service software company, since September 2017 and on the board of a privately held technology company. Ms. Koplow-McAdams also served on the board of directors of Informatica Corp., a data integration software company, from March 2014 until August 2015 when it was acquired. Ms. Koplow-McAdams holds an M.A. in Public Policy from the University of Chicago and a B.A. in Sociology from Mills College. Ms. Koplow-McAdams was chosen to

serve on our Board due to her leadership and global expertise as an executive, with particular expertise in sales, of publicly-traded international technology companies and her experience in senior management of high growth technology companies, including software and emerging technology companies.

John McAdam has served as a member of our Board since December 2012 and as our lead independent director since May 2015. Mr. McAdam served as President and Chief Executive Officer of F5 Networks, Inc., a provider of application delivery networking technology, from 2000 until his retirement in April 2017 (with the exception of the period of July 2015 to December 2015, when he served as F5's non-executive Board Chairman) and has served on F5's board of directors since 2000. Prior to joining F5 Networks, Mr. McAdam served as General Manager of the Web server sales business at IBM from September 1999 to July 2000. From January 1995 until August 1999, Mr. McAdam served as the President and Chief Operating Officer of Sequent Computer Systems, Inc., a manufacturer of high-end open systems, which was sold to IBM in September 1999. Mr. McAdam also currently serves on the boards of publicly-held technology companies Nutanix, Inc., provider of converged infrastructure solutions and Apptio, Inc., a publicly-held company that provides technology business management. Mr. McAdam holds a B.S. from the University of Glasgow, Scotland. Mr. McAdam was chosen to serve on our Board due to his experience in the technology industry, and in particular, his experience managing F5 Networks through a period of high growth.

Gerri Martin-Flickinger has served as a member of our Board since January 2018. Ms. Martin-Flickinger has served as Executive Vice President and Chief Technology Officer of Starbucks Corporation since October 2015. Prior to joining Starbucks, Ms. Martin-Flickinger served as Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer at Adobe Systems, Inc., from April 2007 to October 2015. From November 2005 to April 2007, Ms. Martin-Flickinger was Chief Information Officer at VeriSign. From May 1997 to October 2001, Ms. Martin-Flickinger served as Senior Vice President and Chief Information Officer at McAfee Associates, Inc. Ms. Martin-Flickinger began her career at Chevron Corporation, where she held several senior leadership roles. Ms. Martin-Flickinger holds a B.S. in Computer Science from Washington State University. Ms. Martin-Flickinger was chosen to serve on our Board due to her expertise in enterprise technology requirements and leadership experience at innovative technology companies.

Brooke Seawell has served as a member of our Board since November 2011. Mr. Seawell has been a Venture Partner with NEA, since January 2005. Prior to joining NEA, Mr. Seawell was a Partner with Technology Crossover Ventures, a venture capital firm, from February 2000 to December 2004. Mr. Seawell also served as Executive Vice President of NetDynamics Inc., an application server software company that was acquired by Sun Microsystems, Inc., from 1997 to 1998, and Senior Vice President, Finance and Operations and Chief Financial Officer of Synopsys, an electronic design automation software company, from 1991 to 1997. Mr. Seawell previously served as Vice President, Finance and Production, and Chief Financial Officer of Weitek Corporation, a fabless semiconductor company, from 1983 to 1991, and co-founder and Chief Financial Officer of Southwall Technologies, Inc., a complex thin film coatings company, from 1979 to 1983. Mr. Seawell currently serves on the board of directors of NVIDIA Corporation, a visual computing company, and of various private technology companies. Mr. Seawell also previously served on the board of directors of Glu Mobile, Inc., a publisher of mobile games, from June 2006 until February 2014, and of Informatica Corp., a data integration software company, from 1997 to August 2015 when it was acquired. Mr. Seawell holds an M.B.A. from Stanford University and a B.A. from Stanford University. Mr. Seawell was chosen to serve on our Board due to his more than 30 years of experience in technology finance and operations, including having served as the chief financial officer of two public companies, his experience in the venture capital industry, and his experience as a director of technology companies.

Damon Fletcher has served as our Interim Chief Financial Officer since February 2018 and, prior to that, served in various finance and accounting roles since joining Tableau in January 2014. Most recently, Mr. Fletcher served as our Senior Vice President of Finance since July 2016 and, prior to that, served in roles as vice president in finance and technical accounting from April 2014 to July 2016. Prior to joining us, Mr. Fletcher served as a certified public accountant at PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, an international public accounting firm, from September 2002 to January 2014. Mr. Fletcher holds an MAcc from the University of Florida and a B.A. from University of North Florida.

Dan Miller has served as our Executive Vice President, Worldwide Field Sales, Services and Support since February 2017. Prior to joining us, Mr. Miller served as Senior Vice President, ISV/OEM and Java Businesses at Oracle Corporation, from April 2015 until January 2017. Mr. Miller previously served as Senior Vice President, Worldwide Enterprise Sector at Juniper Networks, Inc., a developer of networking products, from November 2010 to March 2015. From 2009 to 2010, Mr. Miller served as VP and GM Global Technology Services Sales, Alliances and Operations at Hewlett Packard (now HP Inc.) and, prior to that, Vice President, Global Accounts and Industries, from 2008 to 2009. Prior to Hewlett Packard, Mr. Miller held a variety of positions at Sun Microsystems (acquired by Oracle in 2010), from 1988 until 2008, including Senior Vice President, Global Systems and Storage Practice and President, Sun Microsystems Japan. Mr. Miller holds a B.S. from the University of Colorado.

Andrew Beers has served as our Chief Development Officer since September 2016, and prior to that, served in various roles since joining Tableau in October 2004, most recently, as our Vice President of Product Development. Prior to joining us, Mr. Beers served in various engineering roles at Align Technology, Inc., makers of the Invisalign system, where his most recent role was Director, Applied Technology. Mr. Beers holds an M.S. in Computer Science from Stanford University and a B.S. in Computer Science from the State University of New York (SUNY) at Buffalo.

Elissa Fink has served as our Chief Marketing Officer since August 2011 and, prior to that, served as our Vice President of Marketing. Prior to joining us, Ms. Fink served as Executive Vice President at IXI Corporation, which was subsequently acquired by Equifax, Inc. She holds an M.B.A. in Marketing and Decision Systems from the University of Southern California and a B.A. from Santa Clara University.

Keenan Conder has served as our Executive Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since May 2016 and, prior to that, as our Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary since joining Tableau in January 2012. Previously, Mr. Conder served as Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Isilon Systems, Inc., a data storage company, which was subsequently acquired by EMC Corporation (now Dell EMC), from 2007 to 2012. Mr. Conder previously served as Senior Vice President, General Counsel and Corporate Secretary of Expedia, Inc. Mr. Conder received his J.D. from Wake Forest University and holds a B.A. from the University of North Carolina at Chapel Hill.

Board Composition and Leadership

Our Board is divided into three classes. Each class consists, as nearly as possible, of one-third of the total number of directors, and each class has a three-year term. Our Board presently has ten authorized directors. Vacancies on the Board may be filled only by persons elected by a majority of the remaining directors. A director elected by the Board to fill a vacancy in a class, including vacancies created by an increase in the number of directors, shall serve for the remainder of the full term of that class and until the director's successor is duly elected and qualified.

Since September 2016, Mr. Selipsky has served as our Chief Executive Officer and Mr. Chabot as our Chairman of the Board. Historically, these positions had both been held by Mr. Chabot, one of our co-founders, as our Board believed that in the early stages of the Company's development, including its first several years as a public company, combining the positions of Chief Executive Officer and Chairman of the Board helped to ensure that the Board and management acted with a common purpose. With the appointment of Mr. Selipsky and the Company's continued growth as a public company, our Board believes that the separation of the positions of Chairman of the Board and Chief Executive Officer serves to reinforce the independence of the Board in its oversight of the business and affairs of the Company. Moreover, this separation creates an environment conducive to objective evaluation and oversight of management's performance, increasing management accountability, and improving the ability of the Board to monitor whether management's actions are in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders. The Company further believes that it is advantageous to have a Chairman of the Board with an extensive history with, and knowledge of, the Company and, accordingly, Mr. Chabot has retained that role.

We also have a lead independent director position, held by Mr. McAdam since May 2015. As lead independent director, Mr. McAdam presides over periodic meetings of our independent directors, serves as a liaison between our Chairman and the independent directors, and performs such additional duties as our Board may otherwise determine and delegate.

The below table sets forth each of our directors, including our nominees for election as a director at the Annual Meeting (those with terms expiring at the Annual Meeting), and each of our continuing members (those with terms expiring at our annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2019 or 2020). Further information, including their ages and biographies, are included in the “Directors and Executive Officers” section above.

Name	Director Since	Class	Current Term Expires
Nominees for Director:			
Mr. Bosworth	2015	II	2018
Dr. Hanrahan	2003	II	2018
Ms. Koplou-McAdams	2016	II	2018
Directors Continuing In Office:			
Mr. Chabot	2003	III	2019
Mr. Selipsky	2016	III	2019
Dr. Stolte	2003	III	2019
Mr. Jurgensen	2012	I	2020
Mr. McAdam	2012	I	2020
Ms. Martin-Flickinger	2018	I	2020
Mr. Seawell	2011	I	2020

We encourage, but do not require, our directors and nominees for director to attend the Annual Meeting. Two of our directors attended our annual meeting held last year.

Board Meetings and Committees

The Board met five times during fiscal year 2017. Each Board member attended 75% or more of the aggregate number of all Board and applicable committee meetings held during the portion of the last fiscal year for which he or she served as a director or committee member.

The Board has three standing committees: an Audit Committee, a Compensation Committee, and a Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee. Each of our committees operates under a written charter adopted by our Board. All of the current committee charters are available to stockholders on the Investor Relations section of our website at investors.tableau.com/corporate-governance.

The below table provides membership information as of December 31, 2017, for each of our standing Board committees.

Name	Audit	Compensation	Nominating and Corporate Governance
Mr. Bosworth		Member	Member
Mr. Jurgensen	Member	Chair	
Ms. Koplou-McAdams		Member	
Mr. McAdam	Member		Chair
Mr. Seawell	Chair		

Our Board may establish other committees to facilitate the management of our business. The composition and functions of each of our current standing committees are described below. Members serve on these committees until their resignation or until otherwise determined by our Board.

Audit Committee

The Audit Committee reviews and provides oversight of our accounting and financial reporting processes and financial statement audits, reviews our financial controls, and consults with and reviews the services provided by our independent registered public accounting firm. During 2017, the Audit Committee held seven meetings.

Our Audit Committee currently comprises Mr. Seawell (as chairperson), Mr. Jurgensen and Mr. McAdam. Our Board has determined each member of the Audit Committee to be independent under the NYSE listing standards and Rule 10A-3(b)(1) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the Exchange Act). Our Board has determined that each of Mr. Seawell and Mr. Jurgensen is an “audit committee financial expert” within the meaning of SEC regulations. Our Board has also determined that each of the directors serving on our Audit Committee has the requisite financial expertise required under the applicable requirements of the NYSE. In arriving at this determination, our Board has examined each Audit Committee member’s scope of experience and the nature of their employment in the corporate finance sector.

Compensation Committee

The Compensation Committee establishes, reviews, and approves the compensation and benefits to be provided to our executive officers; reviews and recommends to our Board the compensation for our directors; evaluates and administers the equity plans; and establishes policies with respect to equity compensation arrangements. During 2017, the Compensation Committee held four meetings.

Our Compensation Committee currently comprises Mr. Jurgensen (as chairperson), Mr. Bosworth and Ms. Koplou-McAdams. Dr. Forest Baskett also served on our Compensation Committee during fiscal year 2017 until his resignation on February 24, 2017. Our Board has determined each member of the Compensation Committee to be independent under the NYSE listing standards and the rules and regulations of the SEC, a “non-employee director” as defined in Rule 16b-3 promulgated under the Exchange Act, and an “outside director” as that term is defined in Section 162(m) of the Code. The Compensation Committee has the authority to retain compensation consultants to assist in the evaluation of executive compensation and has the authority to approve any consultants’ fees and retention terms. Additional information regarding the Compensation Committee’s processes and procedures, including the role of compensation consultants in evaluating the amount or form of executive and director compensation, can be found in the “Compensation Discussion and Analysis” section of this proxy statement.

Compensation Committee Interlocks and Insider Participation

As noted above, the Company’s Compensation Committee consists of Mr. Jurgensen (as chairperson), Mr. Bosworth, and Ms. Koplou-McAdams. Dr. Forest Baskett also served on our Compensation Committee

during fiscal year 2017 until his resignation on February 24, 2017. None of the members of the Compensation Committee is currently, or has been at any time, one of our officers or employees. None of our executive officers currently serves, or has served during the last year, as a member of the Board of Directors or Compensation Committee of any entity that has one or more executive officers serving as a member of our Board or Compensation Committee.

Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee

The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (also referred to as the Nominating Committee) searches for, and recommends to the Board, potential nominees for Board positions, makes recommendations to the Board regarding size and composition of the Board, periodically reviews and evaluates director performance and recommends to the Board and management areas for improvement, and develops and recommends to the Board the governance principles applicable to Tableau. During 2017, the Nominating Committee held two meetings.

Our Nominating Committee currently comprises Mr. McAdam (as chairperson) and Mr. Bosworth. Our Board has determined each member of the Nominating Committee to be independent under the NYSE listing standards.

Director Selection and Nominations

Director Qualifications

As outlined in our Corporate Governance Guidelines, the Board and Nominating Committee believe that each of our directors should have the highest personal integrity and ethics. The Board and Nominating Committee also intend to consider such factors as possessing relevant expertise upon which to be able to offer advice and guidance to management, having sufficient time to devote to the affairs of the Company, demonstrated excellence in his or her field, having the ability to exercise sound business judgment, and having the commitment to rigorously represent the long-term interests of the Company's stockholders. The Board and Nominating Committee retain the right to modify these qualifications from time to time. Candidates for director nominees are reviewed in the context of the current composition of the Board, the operating requirements of the Company, and the long-term interests of stockholders. In conducting this assessment, the Board and Nominating Committee typically consider diversity, age, skills, and such other factors as it deems appropriate, given the current needs of the Board and the Company and to maintain a balance of knowledge, experience, and capability.

Process for Nominating Directors

In the case of incumbent directors whose terms of office are set to expire, the Nominating Committee reviews these directors' overall service to the Company during their terms, including the number of meetings attended, level of participation, quality of performance, and any other relationships and transactions that might impair the directors' independence. In the case of new director candidates, the Nominating Committee also determines whether the nominee is independent for NYSE purposes, which determination is based upon applicable NYSE listing standards, applicable SEC rules and regulations, and the advice of counsel, if necessary. The Nominating Committee may use its network of contacts to compile a list of potential candidates, but may also engage, if it deems appropriate, a professional search firm. The Nominating Committee conducts any appropriate and necessary inquiries into the backgrounds and qualifications of possible candidates after considering the function and needs of the Board. The Nominating Committee meets to discuss and consider the candidates' qualifications and then selects a nominee for recommendation to the Board.

Candidates may come to the attention of the Nominating Committee from a variety of sources, including current Board members, stockholders, management, and third-party search firms retained by us. When used,

the third-party search firm assists the Nominating Committee with the screening and interview process. All candidates are reviewed in the same manner, regardless of whether or not the candidate was recommended internally or by a third-party search firm or a stockholder. Stockholders who wish to recommend individuals for consideration by the Nominating Committee to become nominees for election to the Board may do so by delivering a written recommendation to our Corporate Secretary on a timely basis as set forth in the section below entitled “Stockholder Proposals.” Submissions must include the full name of the proposed nominee, a description of the proposed nominee’s business experience for at least the previous five years, complete biographical information, a description of the proposed nominee’s qualifications as a director, and a representation that the nominating stockholder is a beneficial or record holder of the Company’s stock and has been a holder for at least one year. Any such submission must be accompanied by the written consent of the proposed nominee to be named as a nominee and willingness to serve as a director if elected.

Corporate Governance and Board Matters

Role of the Board in Risk Oversight

One of the Board’s key functions is informed oversight of the Company’s risk management process. The Board does not have a standing risk management committee, but rather, administers this oversight function directly through the Board as a whole, as well as through various Board standing committees that address risks inherent in their respective areas of oversight. In particular, our Board is responsible for monitoring and assessing strategic risk exposure, including a determination of the nature and level of risk appropriate for the Company. Our Audit Committee has the responsibility to consider and discuss our major financial risk exposures and the steps our management has taken to monitor and control these exposures, including guidelines and policies to govern the process by which risk assessment and management is undertaken. The Audit Committee also monitors compliance with legal and regulatory requirements, in addition to oversight of the performance of our internal audit function. Our Nominating Committee monitors the effectiveness of our Corporate Governance Guidelines, including whether they are successful in preventing illegal or improper liability-creating conduct. Our Compensation Committee assesses and monitors whether any of our compensation policies and programs has the potential to encourage excessive risk-taking. Typically, the entire Board meets periodically with senior management responsible for the Company’s risk management, and the applicable Board committees meet periodically with the employees responsible for risk management in the committees’ respective areas of oversight. The Board as a whole and the various standing committees receive periodic reports from the head of the Company’s legal and operations groups, as well as incidental reports as matters may arise. It is the responsibility of the committee chairs to report findings regarding material risk exposures to the Board as quickly as possible.

Stockholder Communications with the Board of Directors

Our Board has adopted a formal process by which stockholders may communicate with the Board or any of its directors. The Stockholder Communication Policy is available on the Company’s website at investors.tableau.com/corporate-governance. In addition, any interested person may communicate directly with the lead independent director or the independent or non-management directors by following the procedures for such communications in the Stockholder Communication Policy.

Code of Business Conduct and Ethics

Our Board has adopted a Code of Business Conduct and Ethics that applies to all officers, directors and employees. The Code of Business Conduct and Ethics is available on the Company’s website at investors.tableau.com/corporate-governance. We intend to disclose any amendments to this code, or any waivers of its requirements, on our website, to the extent required by the applicable rules and exchange requirements.

Corporate Governance Guidelines

The Board has adopted Corporate Governance Guidelines to assure that the Board will have the necessary authority and practices in place to review and evaluate the Company's business operations as needed and to make decisions that are independent of the Company's management. The guidelines are also intended to align the interests of directors and management with those of the Company's stockholders. The Corporate Governance Guidelines set forth the practices the Board intends to follow with respect to board composition and selection, board meetings and involvement of senior management, Chief Executive Officer performance evaluation and succession planning, and board committees and compensation. The Corporate Governance Guidelines are available on the Company's website at investors.tableau.com/corporate-governance.

Other Policies

Our insider trading policy prohibits our employees, consultants, and directors from engaging in transactions in publicly-traded options, such as puts and calls, and other derivative securities with respect to the Company's securities. This prohibition extends to any hedging, inherently speculative transaction, or similar transaction designed to decrease the risks associated with holding Company securities. In addition, all individuals covered by the insider trading policy, including our directors and executive officers, are prohibited from pledging Company securities as collateral for loans and may not hold Company securities in margin accounts.

Director Independence and Related Person Transactions

Director Independence

Our Board has undertaken a review of its composition, the composition of its committees, and the independence of each director and nominee for director. Our Board has determined that, other than Mr. Selipsky, Mr. Chabot, Dr. Stolte, and Dr. Hanrahan, by virtue of their positions as Chief Executive Officer, Co-Founder and Chairman of the Board, Co-Founder and Technical Advisor, and Co-Founder and Chief Scientist, respectively, none of our directors has a relationship that would interfere with the exercise of independent judgment in carrying out the responsibilities of a director and that each is "independent" as that term is defined under the applicable rules and regulations of the SEC and the listing requirements and rules of the NYSE. Accordingly, a majority of our directors are independent, as required under applicable NYSE rules. In making this determination, our Board considered the current and prior relationships that each non-employee director has with us and all other facts and circumstances our Board deemed relevant in determining their independence, including the beneficial ownership of our capital stock by each non-employee director.

Transactions with Related Persons

We have adopted a policy that our executive officers, directors, nominees for election as a director, beneficial owners of more than 5% of any class of our common stock, and any members of the immediate family of any of the foregoing persons, are not permitted to enter into a related person transaction with us without the prior consent of our Audit Committee. Any request for us to enter into a transaction with an executive officer, director, nominee for election as a director, beneficial owner of more than 5% of any class of our common stock, or any member of the immediate family of any of the foregoing persons, in which the amount involved exceeds \$100,000 and such person would have a direct or indirect interest, must first be presented to our Audit Committee for review, consideration, and approval. In approving or rejecting any such proposal, our Audit Committee is to consider the material facts of the transaction, including, but not limited to, whether the transaction is on terms no less favorable to us than terms generally available to an unaffiliated third party under the same or similar circumstances and the extent of the related person's interest in the transaction.

Please see the "Executive Compensation" and "Director Compensation" sections of this proxy for a description of compensation arrangements with our named executive officers and directors.

Conversion Agreements – We have entered into conversion agreements with each of our founders, Christian Chabot, Christopher Stolte and Patrick Hanrahan, pursuant to which each founder has agreed to effect conversion of his shares of Class B common stock into Class A common stock, effective automatically upon the termination of such founder’s continuous service for any reason whatsoever, subject only to approval of our Board. In the conversion agreements, continuous service is defined to mean that the founder’s service with us, whether as an employee, a member of our Board or a consultant, is not interrupted or terminated. A mere change in capacity from employee and member of our Board to that of a consultant would not result in a termination of continuous service as long as there is no interruption in service to us.

Indemnification Agreements – Our amended and restated certificate of incorporation and amended and restated bylaws provide that we will indemnify our directors and officers, and may indemnify our employees and other agents, to the fullest extent permitted by the Delaware General Corporation Law. In addition, we have entered into indemnification agreements with each of our current directors, officers, and some of our employees. These agreements provide for the indemnification of such persons for all reasonable expenses and liabilities incurred in connection with any action or proceeding brought against them by reason of the fact that they are or were serving in such capacity. We have obtained director and officer liability insurance to cover liabilities our directors and officers may incur in connection with their services to us.

SECURITIES OWNERSHIP

Security Ownership of Certain Beneficial Owners and Management

The following table sets forth, as of March 12, 2018, information regarding beneficial ownership of our capital stock by:

- each person, or group of affiliated persons, known by us to beneficially own more than 5% of our Class A common stock or Class B common stock;
- each of our named executive officers;
- each of our directors and nominees for director; and
- all of our current executive officers and directors as a group.

We have determined beneficial ownership in accordance with the rules of the SEC. Except as indicated by the footnotes below, we believe, based on the information furnished to us, that the persons and entities named in the table below have sole voting and investment power with respect to all shares of Class A and Class B common stock that they beneficially own, subject to applicable community property laws.

Our calculation of the percentage of beneficial ownership is based on 67,902,718 shares of our Class A common stock and 13,631,046 shares of our Class B common stock outstanding as of March 12, 2018. Class A and Class B common stock subject to stock options currently exercisable or exercisable within 60 days of March 12, 2018, is deemed to be outstanding for computing the percentage ownership of the person holding the stock options, and the percentage ownership of any group of which the holder is a member, but is not deemed outstanding for computing the percentage of any other person. As of March 12, 2018, none of our directors or executive officers held restricted stock units (RSUs) that would vest within 60 days of March 12, 2018.

The table is based upon information supplied by officers, directors, principal stockholders, and Schedules 13D and 13G filed with the SEC. The address of each executive officer and director, unless otherwise indicated by footnote, is c/o Tableau Software, Inc., 1621 North 34th Street, Seattle, Washington 98103.

Name of Beneficial Owner	Class A Common Stock		Class B Common Stock		% of Total Voting Power †
	Number of Shares	% of Total	Number of Shares	% of Total	
Executive Officers, Directors and Nominees for Director:					
Adam Selipsky ⁽¹⁾	46,765	*	—	—	*
Christian Chabot ⁽²⁾	3,536	*	4,530,812	31.7%	21.5%
Christopher Stolte ⁽²⁾	3,536	*	3,300,437	23.1%	15.7%
Patrick Hanrahan ⁽³⁾	—	—	6,954,835	51.0%	34.1%
Billy Bosworth ⁽⁴⁾	6,350	*	1,000	*	*
Elliott Jurgensen, Jr. ⁽⁵⁾	776	*	38,000	*	*
Hilarie Koplow-McAdams	1,820	*	—	—	*
John McAdam ⁽⁶⁾	13,236	*	60,000	*	*
Gerri Martin-Flickinger ⁽⁷⁾	—	—	—	—	—
Brooke Seawell ⁽⁸⁾	10,000	*	20,000	*	*
Thomas Walker ⁽⁹⁾	18,605	*	—	—	*
Dan Miller	17,220	*	—	—	*
Andrew Beers ⁽¹⁰⁾	206,406	*	160,500	1.2%	*
Elissa Fink ⁽¹¹⁾	29,788	*	196,534	1.4%	*
All executive officers and directors as a group (15 persons) ⁽¹²⁾	410,207	*	15,262,118	99.8%	69.3%
Other 5% Stockholders:					
T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. ⁽¹³⁾	11,912,280	17.5%	—	—	5.8%
The Vanguard Group, Inc. ⁽¹⁴⁾	5,387,515	7.9%	—	—	2.6%
Artisan Partners ⁽¹⁵⁾	4,346,051	6.4%	—	—	2.1%

* Represents beneficial ownership of less than 1% of the outstanding common stock or total voting power, as applicable.

† Represents the voting power with respect to all shares of our Class A common stock and Class B common stock, voting as a single class. Each share of Class A common stock is entitled to one vote per share and each share of Class B common stock is entitled to ten votes per share. The Class A common stock and Class B common stock vote together on all matters (including the election of directors) submitted to a vote of stockholders, except under limited circumstances.

- (1) Includes 28,125 shares of Class A common stock issuable pursuant to stock options exercisable within 60 days of March 12, 2018.
- (2) Each of Mr. Chabot's and Dr. Stolte's ownership holdings include 639,812 shares of Class B common stock issuable pursuant to stock options exercisable within 60 days of March 12, 2018.
- (3) Represents shares of Class B common stock held by the Patrick M. Hanrahan & Delle R. Maxwell Trust Dated 3/24/2008, for which Dr. Hanrahan holds voting and dispositive power.
- (4) Includes 1,000 shares of Class B common stock issuable pursuant to stock options exercisable within 60 days of March 12, 2018.
- (5) Includes 38,000 shares of Class B common stock issuable pursuant to stock options exercisable within 60 days of March 12, 2018.
- (6) Includes 60,000 shares of Class B common stock issuable pursuant to stock options exercisable within 60 days of March 12, 2018.
- (7) Ms. Martin-Flickinger joined our Board in January 2018.
- (8) Includes (i) 10,000 shares of Class A common stock held by the Rosemary & A. Brooke Seawell Revocable Trust dated 12/20/09, restated 6/29/10, for which Mr. Seawell holds voting and dispositive power (ii) 10,000 shares of Class B common stock held by the Rosemary & A. Brooke Seawell Revocable Trust dated 12/20/09, restated 6/29/10, for which Mr. Seawell holds voting and dispositive power and (iii) 10,000 shares of Class B common stock issuable pursuant to stock options exercisable within 60 days of March 12, 2018.

- (9) As of February 1, 2018, Mr. Walker was no longer an executive of Tableau, but remains an employee of Tableau as of the table date.
- (10) Includes (i) 92,041 shares of Class A common stock held by the Andrew C Beers Living Trust U/A dated 01/31/2008, for which Mr. Beers holds voting and dispositive power, and (ii) 160,500 shares of Class B common stock issuable pursuant to stock options exercisable within 60 days of March 12, 2018.
- (11) Includes 114,614 shares of Class B common stock issuable pursuant to stock options exercisable within 60 days of March 12, 2018.
- (12) Includes (i) 28,125 shares of Class A common stock issuable pursuant to stock options exercisable within 60 days of March 12, 2018 and (ii) 1,663,738 shares of Class B common stock issuable pursuant to stock options exercisable within 60 days of March 12, 2018.
- (13) Based solely on information provided in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on behalf of T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. and T. Rowe Price New Horizons Fund, Inc. on February 14, 2018, reflecting beneficial ownership of Class A common stock as of December 31, 2017. According to this filing, (i) T. Rowe Price Associates, Inc. has sole voting power with regard to 2,883,574 shares of Class A common stock and sole dispositive power with regard to all reported shares of Class A common stock and (ii) T. Rowe Price New Horizons Fund, Inc. has sole voting power with regard to 3,238,306 shares of Class A common stock. The principal business address of these entities is 100 E. Pratt Street, Baltimore, Maryland 21202.
- (14) Based solely on information provided in a Schedule 13G/A filed with the SEC on February 9, 2018, by The Vanguard Group, Inc. (“VGI”), reflecting beneficial ownership of Class A common stock as of December 31, 2017. According to this filing, VGI has sole voting power with regard to 35,611 shares of Class A common stock, shared voting power with regard to 11,525 shares of Class A common stock, sole dispositive power with regard to 5,345,715 shares of Class A common stock and shared dispositive power with regard to 41,800 shares of Class A common stock. The reported shares of Class A common stock include 30,275 shares of Class A common stock beneficially owned by Vanguard Fiduciary Trust Company, a wholly owned subsidiary of VGI, as a result of its serving as investment manager of collective trust accounts, and 16,861 shares of Class A common stock beneficially owned by Vanguard Investments Australia, Ltd., a wholly owned subsidiary of VGI, as a result of its serving as an investment manager of Australian investment offerings. The principal business address of these entities is 100 Vanguard Blvd., Malvern, Pennsylvania 19355.
- (15) Based solely on information provided in a Schedule 13G filed with the SEC by Artisan Partners Limited Partnership on February 7, 2018, reflecting beneficial ownership of Class A common stock as of December 31, 2017. According to this filing, each of Artisan Partners Limited Partnership, Artisan Investments GP LLC, Artisan Partners Holdings LP, and Artisan Partners Asset Management Inc. have shared voting power with regard to 4,001,258 shares of Class A common stock and shared dispositive power with regard to all reported shares of Class A common stock. The principal business address of these entities is 875 East Wisconsin Avenue, Suite 800, Milwaukee, Wisconsin 53202.

Section 16(a) Beneficial Ownership Reporting Compliance

Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act requires the Company’s directors and executive officers, and holders of more than ten percent of our Class A and Class B common stock, to file reports with the SEC regarding their ownership and changes in ownership of our securities.

Based upon a review of the filings with the SEC and written representations by our directors and executive officers that no other reports were required, we believe that all of our directors, executive officers, and all persons known to us to be ten percent stockholders, complied during fiscal year 2017 with the applicable reporting requirements of Section 16(a) of the Exchange Act, except for the Form 4 filed on behalf of Mr. Miller on February 21, 2017, reporting his new hire RSU grant, which was promptly filed upon receiving EDGAR codes from the SEC (Mr. Miller’s Form 3 reporting no prior beneficial holdings of Company common stock was timely filed on the same day as the Form 4).

DIRECTOR COMPENSATION

Board Compensation for Non-Employee Directors

Our directors play a critical role in guiding our strategic direction and overseeing our management. In order to compensate them for their substantial time commitment, we provide a mix of cash and equity-based compensation to our non-employee directors. None of our employee directors receives additional compensation for his service on our Board. In addition, given the value of the investments made by certain of our non-employee directors or their affiliated funds, as well as the internal policies of certain of those funds, we did not provide Dr. Baskett with compensation for his service on our Board, due to his role as General Partner of NEA. Dr. Baskett resigned from the Board and Compensation Committee effective February 24, 2017.

The Compensation Committee of the Board reviews and assesses non-employee director pay levels every two years with the assistance of its independent compensation consultant Radford, a consulting unit of Aon plc (Radford). This process involves a review of market data, including an assessment against the director compensation programs of companies in our executive compensation peer group, an update on recent trends in director compensation, and a review of best practices relating to the governance surrounding director compensation programs. Following the last review and assessment process, in January 2017 the Compensation Committee approved an increase in cash compensation for our Audit Committee chair to \$25,000 (from \$20,000), but maintained all other levels of cash and equity compensation for our director pay levels that were approved in January 2015.

Cash Compensation

During fiscal year 2017, our non-employee directors, other than Dr. Baskett as noted above, received the following cash compensation for Board and Board committee services, as applicable, paid on a quarterly basis in arrears:

Annual Retainer	2017
Service on the Board of Directors	\$40,000
Lead Independent Director	\$20,000
Audit Committee Chair	\$25,000
Audit Committee Member	\$10,000
Compensation Committee Chair	\$15,000
Compensation Committee Member	\$7,500
Nominating & Governance Committee Chair	\$10,000
Nominating & Governance Committee Member	\$5,000

In addition, we have a policy of reimbursing our directors for their reasonable out-of-pocket expenses incurred in attending Board and Board committee meetings.

Equity Compensation

Initial Award – Each new non-employee director appointed or elected to the Board will receive an initial RSU grant for shares of our Class A common stock valued at \$350,000 as of the date the person is appointed or elected to the Board (Initial RSU), unless otherwise determined by the Board. The number of shares awarded under an Initial RSU is determined by dividing the dollar amount of the award by the 45-day trailing average closing sales price of our Class A common stock (through the last trading day preceding the grant date) and rounding up to the nearest whole share. Each Initial RSU vests at the rate of 25% on the one-year anniversary of

the date of grant and quarterly thereafter over the remaining three years, subject to the director's continued service with us, provided that upon a change in control, as defined in our 2013 Equity Incentive Plan, as amended (Amended 2013 Plan), vesting will accelerate in full.

Annual Award – Each non-employee director, other than any new non-employee director who has been appointed and received an Initial Award within the prior 12 month period, is entitled to receive an annual RSU grant for shares of our Class A common stock valued at \$225,000, granted on the date of our annual meeting of stockholders (Annual RSUs). The number of shares awarded under an Annual RSU is determined by dividing the dollar amount of the award by the 45-day trailing average closing sales price of our Class A common stock (through the last trading day preceding the grant date) and rounding up to the nearest whole share. Each Annual RSU vests quarterly over one year following the date of grant, subject to the director's continued service with us through such anniversary, provided that upon a change in control, as defined in our Amended 2013 Plan, vesting will accelerate in full.

Director Compensation Limits

Our Amended 2013 Plan provides that in a given fiscal year, a non-employee director may not receive equity awards, taken together with any cash fees paid to such non-employee director during such calendar for services on the Board, in excess of \$915,000 in total value (calculating the value of any such awards based on the grant date fair value of such awards for financial reporting purposes). The amount and terms of the limit were set in consultation with Radford and approved by our stockholders at our 2017 annual meeting. Tableau believes that having a stockholder-approved limit on director compensation is consistent with best corporate governance practices.

Director Compensation for 2017

The below table shows compensation information for our non-employee directors, other than Dr. Baskett as noted above, that received compensation for their Tableau Board service during fiscal year 2017.

Name ⁽¹⁾	Fees Earned or Paid in Cash (\$) ⁽²⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾⁽⁴⁾	Option Awards (\$) ⁽⁵⁾	Total (\$)
Mr. Bosworth	52,500	242,084	—	294,584
Mr. Jurgensen	65,000	242,084	—	307,084
Ms. Koplou-McAdams ⁽⁶⁾	44,562	400,473	—	445,035
Mr. McAdam	80,000	242,084	—	322,084
Mr. Seawell	65,000	242,084	—	307,084

- (1) Ms. Martin-Flickinger was appointed to our Board in January 2018 and became eligible to receive our standard compensation for non-employee directors upon the effective date of her appointment. Our three co-founders, Mr. Chabot, Dr. Hanrahan, and Dr. Stolte, did not receive any compensation in 2017 for their service as directors. For fiscal year 2017, Mr. Chabot and Dr. Stolte were executive officers, although were not determined to be named executive officers, and Dr. Hanrahan was an employee of the Company; each received an annual cash salary in connection with his service to the Company as an employee (such cash salary for 2017 was equal to \$134,375 for each of Mr. Chabot and Dr. Stolte and \$67,846 for Dr. Hanrahan). Compensation paid to Mr. Selipsky, as our Chief Executive Officer, is disclosed in the Summary Compensation Table and related tables found later in this report; Mr. Selipsky receives no compensation for his service as a director.
- (2) This column reports the amount of cash compensation earned in 2017 for Board and committee service.
- (3) The amounts in the Stock Awards column reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of RSUs granted to directors in 2017 calculated in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 using the closing price of Tableau Class A common stock on the date of grant and may not correspond to the actual value that will be realized by the directors.
- (4) The aggregate number of shares subject to outstanding RSU awards for shares of our Class A common stock held by our non-employee directors listed in the table above as of December 31, 2017, was as follows: 3,365 RSUs for Mr. Bosworth; 2,010 RSUs for each of Mr. Jurgensen, McAdam, and Seawell; and 7,280 RSUs for Ms. Koplou-McAdams.

- (5) No option awards were granted during fiscal year 2017. The aggregate number of shares of our Class B common stock subject to outstanding option awards held by our non-employee directors listed in the table above as of December 31, 2017, was as follows: 1,000 shares for Mr. Bosworth (granted in 2012 in connection with consulting advisory services provided to us), 40,000 shares for Mr. Jurgensen, 0 shares for Ms. Koplou-McAdams, 60,000 shares for Mr. McAdam, and 10,000 shares for Mr. Seawell.
- (6) Ms. Koplou-McAdams was appointed to our Board in December 2016 and as a member of our Compensation Committee, effective May 24, 2017. Pursuant to the terms of her offer letter, an Initial RSU was granted, effective February 15, 2017, to be consistent with the timing of our annual company-wide grants (which includes grants made to our named executive officers).

EXECUTIVE COMPENSATION

Compensation Discussion and Analysis

Overview

Our Compensation Discussion and Analysis (CD&A) provides an overview of the material components of our executive compensation program during the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, for:

Adam Selipsky	President and Chief Executive Officer (CEO)
Thomas Walker ⁽¹⁾	Chief Financial Officer (CFO)
Dan Miller ⁽²⁾	Executive Vice President, Worldwide Field Sales, Services and Support (EVP Sales and Support)
Andrew Beers	Chief Development Officer
Elissa Fink	Chief Marketing Officer

⁽¹⁾ As previously announced, effective February 1, 2018, Mr. Walker resigned from the CFO position and, on April 1, 2018, commenced a six-month sabbatical from the Company. Our Board appointed Damon Fletcher as our Interim Chief Financial Officer, effective February 1, 2018.

⁽²⁾ Mr. Miller was appointed as our EVP Sales and Support on February 13, 2017.

We refer to these executive officers collectively in this proxy statement as our named executive officers. The compensation provided to our named executive officers for 2017 is set forth in detail in the Summary Compensation Table and other tables in the “Compensation Tables” section below, as well as the accompanying footnotes and narratives relating to those tables. The CD&A also discusses our executive compensation philosophy, objectives and design, and how and why the Compensation Committee of our Board arrived at the specific compensation policies and decisions involving our executive team, including our named executive officers, during 2017.

Executive Summary

2017 Business Highlights

For the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, highlights include the following:

- Total revenue of \$877 million, representing a 6% increase over fiscal year 2016, with total annual recurring revenue of \$596 million, up 45% year over year, and subscription annual recurring revenue of \$195.5 million, up 235% year over year;
- Launch of subscription licensing on all Tableau products, with 41% of 2017 license bookings recognized ratably, compared to 17% in fiscal year 2016;
- Continued to drive innovation in our products, with the development of Hyper, our new in-memory data engine technology that provides customers up to five times faster query speed and up to three times faster extract creation speed, the acquisition of ClearGraph to further our development of natural language processing, and continued enhancement of our enterprise capabilities around security, governance, and compliance;
- Appointment of Dan Miller as our Executive Vice President, Worldwide Field Sales, Services and Support in February 2017; and
- The addition of more than 16,000 new customer accounts, bringing our total to more than 70,000 worldwide as of December 31, 2017.

Executive Compensation Highlights

Consistent with our compensation philosophy throughout the Company, we strive to provide a compensation package to each executive officer that is competitive, rewards achievement of our business objectives, drives the development of a successful and growing business, and aligns the interests of our executive officers with our stockholders through equity ownership in the Company. Our 2017 compensation actions and decisions reflect our financial results and business performance, as well as the accomplishments of our executive officers that helped to achieve these results and performance.

The Compensation Committee took the following actions with regard to its review and analysis of 2017 compensation for our named executive officers:

- Reviewed, assessed, and updated the prior peer group of comparable public companies, selected with the assistance of an independent compensation consultant, to inform its decision making process and assist in ensuring that our executive compensation program is positioned to be competitive and aligned with our business objectives at the current stage of the Company's growth.
- As a result of its overall review, including comparisons against our peer group, the Compensation Committee assessed base salaries for our named executive officers, making upward adjustments for two of the named executive officers. Further, the Compensation Committee established target cash incentive compensation opportunities, payable upon achievement of pre-established performance goals, and approved equity awards to our named executive officers, at levels consistent with our philosophy of more heavily weighting equity ownership, to support our performance goals as a company, address our retention objectives, and reward individual performance.
- The Compensation Committee also established the new hire compensatory arrangement for our new EVP of Sales and Support, Dan Miller, who joined the Company in February 2017, which included base salary, target incentive compensation opportunity, and a new-hire equity award.

We endeavor to maintain good governance standards in our executive compensation policies and practices. The Compensation Committee evaluates our executive compensation program annually to ensure that it is consistent with our short-term and long-term goals given the dynamic nature of our business and the market in which we compete for executive talent. The following policies and practices were either adopted or in effect during 2017:

- *Independent Compensation Committee.* The Compensation Committee consists solely of independent directors and is responsible for making all executive compensation decisions.
- *Annual Executive Compensation Review.* The Compensation Committee conducts an annual review and approval of our compensation strategy, including a review of our compensation peer group and a review of our compensation-related risk profile.
- *Compensation At-Risk.* Our executive compensation program is designed so that a significant portion of compensation is "at risk" based on corporate and individual performance and is equity-based to align the interests of our named executive officers and stockholders. Equity awards granted to our executive officers vest, or are earned, over four-year periods, consistent with current market practice and our retention objectives.
- *No "Single-Trigger" Change in Control Arrangements for Executive Officers.* Our change in control payments and benefits for executive officers are reasonable and are based on a "double trigger" — that is, each named executive officer is eligible to receive payments and benefits in connection with a change in control of the Company only if the employment of such named executive officer terminates without cause or for good reason on or within a specified period after the change in control.
- *No Retirement Benefits.* We do not provide or promise post-termination retirement or pension-type non-cash benefits for our executive officers that are not available to our employees generally.

- *Restrictions on Transactions in Our Securities.* Our insider trading policy prohibits our employees from conducting, among other things, short sales, hedging of stock ownership positions, pledging Company securities as collateral for loans, and transactions in derivative securities relating to our capital stock.

Executive Compensation Philosophy, Objectives and Design

The Company participates in the highly competitive data analytics sector and we expect competition from existing companies and new entrants to continue to intensify. To continue to grow and effectively manage our business in this dynamic environment, we must relentlessly focus on customers, accelerate our pace of innovation, and act with urgency in our business execution. Our ability to compete and succeed is directly correlated to our ability to recruit, motivate, and retain skilled executives, leaders, and personnel throughout our Company. We not only face intense competition for key talent within the data analytics sector, but also from a wider range of technology companies. Our compensation philosophy is designed to establish and maintain a compensation program that attracts, retains, and rewards talented individuals who possess the leadership and skills necessary to expand and effectively manage our business, contribute to the achievement of our strategic goals, and to create long-term value for our stockholders.

The key elements of our philosophy include the following:

- *Company Ownership.* We believe that annual equity compensation grants to employees, including our executive officers, is a critical retention tool and equity awards also emphasize long-term results and align the interests of our employees, executive officers and stockholders.
- *Pay for Performance.* Our executive compensation program is weighted towards at risk, performance-based compensation. A significant portion of our named executive officers' compensation is at risk and dependent upon our performance, the individual executive's performance, and an increase in the market price of Tableau's Class A common stock.
- *Fair, Flexible and Results-Oriented.* We design our compensation structure to reward results and to drive relentless customer focus, innovation, and operational excellence throughout our Company and across our departmental organizations — research and development, sales, marketing and operations.

Since inception, our executive compensation program has been more heavily weighted towards equity as compared to annual base salary and bonus opportunities. Our Compensation Committee views equity as a key compensatory tool to further align our executives with the long-term interests of our stockholders and drive achievement of our strategic and financial goals. Since our initial public offering in 2013, we have utilized RSUs with a multi-year vesting schedule as the primary equity vehicle for all employees, including our named executive officers. This practice is consistent with our peers and broader set of technology companies where we compete for talent, and provides an important measure of visibility and predictability to employees on year to year overall compensation. We believe RSUs, appropriately managed for dilution to existing investors, align the interests of executives and employees with stockholders and provide a longer-term focus through a multi-year vesting schedule, subject to those individuals continuing to provide service to the Company. Going forward, we continue to assess for potential broader use, other forms of equity compensation, such as stock options (which we utilized in the September 2016 hiring of our CEO, Mr. Selipsky) and performance based RSUs (which we have utilized in the context of technology acquisitions).

To maintain a competitive executive compensation program, we also provide market-based cash compensation in the form of base salaries and annual performance-based cash bonuses. In reviewing and assessing base salaries and bonus opportunities, our Committee annually reviews market-based assessments prepared by our outside, independent consultants. All of our executives are eligible to receive cash incentive bonuses, expressed as a percentage of their base salary, upon the achievement of certain corporate, departmental, and individual goals. The performance objectives established in connection with each of these bonus plans are intended to motivate our executives to drive collaboratively toward our larger strategic and operational goals as a Company. Historically, and in 2017, our executives (other than our CEO and our EVP Sales and Support) are also eligible to receive a target cash bonus under our company-wide annual incentive bonus plan (available to all

non-sales, non-commissioned employees) equal to an amount up to 10% of their base salary based on achievement of pre-established corporate financial goals. Going forward for fiscal year 2018, we have determined that our executives will no longer participate in the company-wide 10% bonus plan, but participate solely in the prior referenced executive bonus opportunity — based on the achievement of corporate, departmental, and individual goals — and such bonus opportunity will be expressed as a percentage of their base salary.

Compensation Decision Process

Compensation decisions for our named executive officers are determined by our Compensation Committee, with input from our independent compensation consultants and, as appropriate, management (including our CEO, except in regard to his compensation). Our Compensation Committee reviews the compensation of our executive officers, including our named executive officers, on an annual basis to ensure the executives are appropriately compensated and motivated, and makes adjustments as necessary.

For fiscal year 2017, the Compensation Committee again engaged Radford as an independent compensation consultant, after taking into account the six factors prescribed by the SEC and NYSE regarding independence assessments of compensation advisors.

The Compensation Committee requested that Radford:

- evaluate the efficacy of our existing executive compensation strategy and practices in supporting and reinforcing our long-term strategic goals;
- review and assess our peer group of companies to understand competitive market executive compensation practices;
- review and assess our current executive officer compensation practices and equity profile relative to our peers;
- review broader equity metrics and strategy for the Company; and
- review and assess our policy regarding the compensation for our non-employee directors appropriate for a publicly-held company.

The Chairman of the Compensation Committee and its members also met in executive session with Mr. Selipsky, our CEO, and Mr. Walker, our CFO during 2017, periodically to discuss our compensation history, philosophy, key performance metrics, and strategic goals. Radford ultimately developed recommendations that were submitted to the Compensation Committee for its consideration. In February 2017, following an active dialogue with Radford and discussions with management, the Compensation Committee made adjustments to the cash compensation for certain of our executive officers and granted RSUs to certain of our executive officers to promote executive retention and align ourselves with our peer companies in a competitive technology employment market.

Role of Compensation Committee

Pursuant to its charter, the Compensation Committee is primarily responsible for establishing, approving, and adjusting compensation arrangements for our named executive officers and for reviewing and approving corporate goals and objectives relevant to these compensation arrangements, evaluating executive performance and considering factors related to the performance of the Company. Under its charter, the Compensation Committee may form and delegate authority to subcommittees, as appropriate. For additional information about our Compensation Committee, see the section entitled “Board Meetings and Committees.”

The Compensation Committee has the authority to engage its own advisors to assist it in carrying out its responsibilities. The Compensation Committee has retained Radford to review and assess our executive employee compensation practices relative to market compensation practices and to provide market compensation data. For additional information on these engagements, see the heading below entitled “Role of Compensation Consultants.”

Role of Management

In carrying out its responsibilities, our Compensation Committee works with members of our management, including our CEO, CFO, and General Counsel, and our human resources, finance, equity and legal professionals. Going forward in fiscal 2018, we will also work with the Company's recently hired Executive Vice President of Human Resources. Typically, our management assists the Compensation Committee by providing information on corporate and individual performance and management's perspective and recommendations on compensation matters. Our CEO, CFO, General Counsel, and EVP of Human Resources may attend meetings of the Compensation Committee to present information and answer questions. Our CEO may also make recommendations to the Compensation Committee regarding compensation for our executive officers, other than for himself, because of his daily involvement with our executive officers. Our Compensation Committee solicits and reviews our CEO's recommendations as one of several factors in making compensation decisions, along with recommendations and market data provided by compensation consultants, and the Compensation Committee's own independent judgment. No executive officer participates directly in the final deliberations or determinations regarding his or her own compensation package.

Role of Compensation Consultants

Our Compensation Committee has the authority to retain the services and obtain the advice of external advisors, including compensation consultants, legal counsel or other advisors to assist in the evaluation of executive officer compensation. Our Compensation Committee initially engaged Radford in September 2014 to review our executive compensation policies and practices and to conduct a compensation market analysis for executives and boards of directors. In subsequent years, our Compensation Committee continues to engage Radford to assist with our executive compensation planning, including providing data for our overall equity and incentive plan targets and analyses of overall equity usage. Based on the consideration of the various factors as set forth in SEC rules, the Compensation Committee does not believe that its relationship with Radford, and the work of Radford on behalf of the Compensation Committee and management, have raised any conflict of interest. The Compensation Committee reviews these factors on an annual basis and receives written confirmation from its independent compensation consultants stating their belief that they remain independent compensation consultants to the Compensation Committee.

Competitive Positioning

In October 2016, our Compensation Committee determined to use the following peer group of companies that operate in the applications software, systems software, or adjacent Internet software and services markets, with similar revenues, revenue growth, business stage, and market capitalization, to inform its decisions related to executive compensation.

ANSYS, Inc.	Fortinet, Inc.	Splunk Inc.
Arista Networks, Inc.	Guidewire Software, Inc.	The Ultimate Software Group, Inc.
Aspen Technology, Inc.	Medidata Solutions, Inc.	Tyler Technologies, Inc.
athenahealth, Inc.	MicroStrategy Incorporated	Veeva Systems, Inc.
Cornerstone OnDemand, Inc.	Palo Alto Networks, Inc.	VeriSign, Inc.
CoStar Group, Inc.	Proofpoint, Inc.	Workday, Inc.
FireEye, Inc.	ServiceNow, Inc.	Zillow Group, Inc.

Changes from the prior peer group established in September 2015 included removing three companies due to acquisition (Netsuite Inc., Qlik Technologies Inc., and SolarWinds, Inc.) and adding two additional companies (Proofpoint, Inc. and VeriSign, Inc.) that met the peer group criteria of the Compensation Committee. Our Compensation Committee believes that peer group comparisons are useful guidelines to measure the competitiveness of our compensation practices. However, the Compensation Committee has not adopted any formal benchmarking guidelines and maintains discretion to set levels of executive compensation above or below peer levels based upon distinguishing factors such as our internal pay equity and compensation budget,

individual performance and contribution to the Company, an executive’s level of experience and responsibilities, and comparability of roles within other peer companies and adjacent technology companies where we compete for executive talent.

Components of Named Executive Officer Compensation

The compensation program for our named executive officers consists of:

- base salary;
- performance-based cash incentive compensation;
- long-term equity compensation;
- severance and change in control-related benefits; and
- employee benefits and perquisites.

Each named executive officer’s compensation has been designed to provide compensation that is tied to achievement of our annual and long-term objectives as a company. As our needs evolve, we intend to continue to evaluate our philosophy and compensation programs as circumstances require, and at a minimum, we expect to review our executive compensation program at least annually.

In February 2017, we hired Dan Miller as our EVP Sales and Support. The Compensation Committee designed a compensation package for Mr. Miller, which was in line with our standard executive compensation program and which was deemed to be competitive with similar executive sales leader positions in our peer group.

Base Salary

We provide base salaries to our named executive officers to compensate them for services rendered day-to-day during the year and provide a level of stable fixed compensation. Each named executive officer’s initial base salary was established as the result of arm’s-length negotiation with the individual at the time of hiring, review and assessment of current market conditions, and later pursuant to the Company’s and Compensation Committee’s annual review processes. We generally do not apply specific formulas to determine changes in base salary, and our Compensation Committee considers data compiled by its independent compensation consultant regarding similar roles at approved peer companies as a relevant but not determinative measure. Our Compensation Committee oversees the review of base salaries of our named executive officers on an annual basis and makes adjustments as it determines to be reasonable and necessary to reflect the scope of a named executive officer’s responsibilities, experience and performance, prior salary level and position (in the case of a promotion), and market conditions and overall Company performance.

In February 2017, in connection with its review of our executive compensation program, our Compensation Committee approved adjustments to the base salaries of our executive officers that it deemed appropriate relative to market data at the 50th percentile and based upon individual performance and other role-related factors. These adjustments, effective as of January 1, 2017, are set forth in the table below.

Named Executive Officer	2016 Base Salary	2017 Base Salary	Percentage Increase
Mr. Selipsky ⁽¹⁾	\$500,000	\$500,000	—
Mr. Walker	\$350,000	\$400,000	14%
Mr. Miller ⁽²⁾	—	\$450,000	n/a
Mr. Beers	\$325,000	\$350,000	8%
Ms. Fink	\$325,000	\$325,000	—

(1) Mr. Selipsky was hired as our President and CEO on September 16, 2016, and therefore received a prorated annual salary in 2016.

(2) Mr. Miller was hired as our EVP Sales and Support on February 13, 2017, and therefore did not receive any Tableau salary in 2016 and received a prorated annual salary in 2017.

Annual Performance-Based Cash Incentive Compensation

One of our compensation objectives is to have a significant portion of each named executive officer's compensation tied to performance-based cash incentives based on corporate, departmental, and individual performance. For 2017, (with the exception of our CEO and EVP Sales and Support) our named executive officers were also eligible for the non-sales company-wide bonus opportunity of up to 10% of their base salary.

- **Executive Bonus Opportunity.** For 2017, the Compensation Committee applied a bonus opportunity for each named executive based on the achievement of quantitative and qualitative corporate, departmental, and individual performance objectives, with the assessment against such objectives being made by the Compensation Committee in consultation with the CEO for all named executive officers other than himself. The executive bonus opportunity for each executive was a percentage of his or her annual base salary, set at 100% for each of Mr. Selipsky and Mr. Miller, 90% for Mr. Walker, and 40% for each of Mr. Beers and Ms. Fink. We believe this performance-based bonus opportunity is an important part of the compensation structure for our executive officers and rewards collective efforts across shared corporate goals as well as departmental and individual performance.
- **Company-Wide Bonus Opportunity.** As in prior years, in 2017, all non-sales employees, including our named executive officers (other than Mr. Selipsky and Mr. Miller), were eligible to receive the non-sales company-wide bonus of up to 10% of their base salary, based on the achievement of corporate financial goals established in the Board-approved operating plan. Historically, the target financial goals for this broad-based bonus plan have been related to bookings targets as this objective is appropriately aligned with our growth strategy. Going forward for fiscal year 2018, we have determined that our executives will no longer participate in the company-wide 10% bonus plan, but participate solely in the prior referenced executive bonus opportunity – based on the achievement of a broader range of corporate, departmental, and individual goals – and such bonus opportunity will be expressed as a percentage of their base salary.

2017 Target Performance-Based Cash Incentive Compensation

At the end of fiscal 2016, our Board approved an operating plan for the 2017 fiscal year, which included corporate financial performance objectives for the executive bonus opportunity and the company-wide bonus opportunity. Bonuses under our company-wide bonus opportunity were only earned if we achieved at least 92% of the applicable corporate financial goal, with payments calculated based on a sliding scale. On the low end of the scale, if the minimum threshold of 92% of the corporate financial goal was achieved, then a bonus was earned equal to 1% of the eligible employee's base salary. On the high end of the scale, if 100% or more of the goal was achieved, then a bonus was earned equal to 10% of the eligible employee's base salary. The corporate financial goal was based on a confidential bookings target, which is a key measure of our company's sales efforts, and was set at a sufficiently high level to be aggressive yet achievable. We believe that basing our company-wide bonus opportunity on this metric provides strong incentive to all employees and executives to work collaboratively to drive sales.

For our executive bonus opportunity, the Compensation Committee reviewed quantitative and qualitative corporate, departmental, and individual objectives for each of our executive officers and focused on overall strategic and leadership related objectives for our CEO. These objectives, including the corporate bookings target, were set to be aggressive, yet achievable.

The total 2017 annual cash incentive compensation opportunity for each of our named executive officers are set out below and were determined based on comparable market data, additional input from our compensation consultants, as well as input from our CEO, with the goal of closely tying compensation to performance. The amounts reflected below are the maximum bonus opportunities that could be achieved:

Named Executive Officer	Company-Wide Incentive Bonus Opportunity	Executive Bonus Opportunity	Total 2017 Bonus Opportunity	Total 2017 Bonus Opportunity (as a % of base salary)
Mr. Selipsky	—	\$500,000	\$500,000	100%
Mr. Walker	\$40,000	\$360,000	\$400,000	100%
Mr. Miller ⁽¹⁾	—	\$398,942	\$398,942	100%
Mr. Beers	\$35,000	\$140,000	\$175,000	50%
Ms. Fink	\$32,500	\$130,000	\$162,500	50%

(1) Mr. Miller was hired as our EVP Sales and Support on February 13, 2017, and therefore was eligible to receive a prorated total bonus for fiscal 2017, based on an annualized opportunity of \$450,000.

2017 Performance-Based Cash Incentive Bonus Payout

For the company-wide non-sales incentive portion of the bonus plan, the Compensation Committee determined that the pre-established corporate financial goal had been achieved, which triggered a full payout under this portion of the plan, representing 10% of an eligible employee's base salary, including for each of Mr. Walker, Mr. Beers, and Ms. Fink.

For the executive bonus opportunity, the Compensation Committee considered the recommendations of our CEO, for all executives except himself, and assessed actual performance for each executive against the corporate, departmental (except for Mr. Selipsky), and individual goals. After such consideration and assessment, the Compensation Committee determined that our named executive officers qualified for payout of cash incentive bonuses pursuant to the executive portion of the bonus plan at 100% for each of Mr. Selipsky, Mr. Walker, and Mr. Miller; at 70% for Mr. Beers; and at 90% for Ms. Fink.

Named Executive Officer	Total 2017 Bonus Opportunity	Company-Wide Incentive Bonus Payout	Executive Incentive Bonus Payout	Total 2017 Incentive Bonus Payout	Total 2017 Cash Incentive Bonus Payout (as a % of base salary)
Mr. Selipsky	\$500,000	—	\$500,000	\$500,000	100%
Mr. Walker	\$400,000	\$40,000	\$360,000	\$400,000	100%
Mr. Miller	\$398,942	—	\$398,942	\$398,942	100%
Mr. Beers	\$175,000	\$35,000	\$98,000	\$133,000	38%
Ms. Fink	\$162,500	\$32,500	\$117,000	\$149,500	46%

The annual cash incentive awards earned by our named executive officers for fiscal year 2017 are also set forth in the Summary Compensation Table that follows this Compensation Discussion & Analysis.

Long-Term Equity Compensation

We believe that strong, long-term corporate performance is achieved with a corporate culture that encourages a long-term focus by our named executive officers through the use of stock-based awards, the value of which depends on our stock performance. Prior to our initial public offering, our equity incentives were granted in the form of stock options that were subject to vesting over an extended period of time subject to continued service with us. Since our IPO in 2013, we have primarily used RSUs as our primary form of equity incentive in our employee and executive compensation programs. This is based on our assessment of market conditions in hiring and retaining talented employees and executives in our competitive and growing technology sector and

supported by peer group data provided by our independent compensation consultants. Our current perspective is that RSUs, that vest over four years subject to continued service with us, promote further alignment of the interests of our executive officers with the long-term interests of our stockholders and offer an important measure of visible value delivery to our named executive officers. RSUs, appropriately managed for dilution, provide an important tool for us to hire and retain our highly sought after named executive officers. Going forward, we will continue to assess other forms of equity compensation, such as stock options (which we utilized in the September 2016 hiring of our CEO, Mr. Selipsky) and performance based RSUs (which we have utilized in the context of technology acquisitions).

Our Compensation Committee, in consultation with our CEO (except in regard to his equity awards), determines the size and material terms of equity awards granted to our named executive officers, taking into account the role and responsibility of the named executive officer, our philosophy of more heavily weighting equity compensation over cash compensation, individual performance, competitive factors including competition for technology executives, peer group data, the size and value of long-term equity compensation already held by each executive officer and the vested percentage, the proportion between full value awards (i.e., RSUs) and stock options held by each named executive officer, the total annual target cash compensation opportunity for each named executive officer, and retention objectives.

In February 2017, our Compensation Committee, in consultation with Radford and our CEO, reviewed the equity compensation for our executive officers to assess the goals of such long-term equity compensation. As a result of that process and with those inputs, our Compensation Committee approved the RSU grants set forth in the table below, subject to a four-year vesting schedule. For more information relating to the vesting schedules of these RSU grants, see the “Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2017” table that follows this CD&A.

In connection with the recruitment and hiring of Mr. Miller as our new EVP Sales and Support in February 2017, we approved the RSU grant set forth in the table below, also with a four-year vesting schedule. In Mr. Selipsky’s offer letter to join the Company as CEO in September 2016, the Company agreed to review and consider an annual long-term equity award to Mr. Selipsky upon his one-year anniversary in the role. In September 2017, the Compensation Committee and Board, in recognition of Mr. Selipsky’s performance, approved an RSU grant for Mr. Selipsky as noted below and scheduled to vest quarterly over four years, commencing August 15, 2017.

We believe that, at this stage in our growth, as the Company continues its transition to subscription-based licensing and continues to accelerate our product innovation, service-vested RSUs continue to align the interests of our named executive officers with the long-term interests of our stockholders and provides incentive to our named executive officers to continue to build and grow the Company. As noted above, our Compensation Committee continues to review and assess the adoption and use of other forms of equity awards, including performance-based awards, in the future.

The chart below summarizes the equity incentive grant awards made to our named executive officers in 2017:

Named Executive Officer	RSUs (# Units Underlying Award)
Mr. Selipsky	33,434
Mr. Walker	43,509
Mr. Miller	100,063
Mr. Beers	41,925
Ms. Fink	24,224

Severance and Change in Control-Related Benefits

We have entered into change in control severance agreements with each of our named executive officers to provide certain protections in the event of their termination of employment following a change in control of the

Company. We believe that these protections serve our retention objectives by helping our named executive officers maintain continued focus and dedication to their responsibilities to maximize stockholder value, including in the event of a transaction that could result in a change in control of the Company. The terms of these agreements were considered and approved by our Board prior to our initial public offering. For a summary of the material terms and conditions of these severance and change in control arrangements, see the headings “Executive Offer Letters and Arrangements” and “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control” in the “Compensation Tables” section that follows this Compensation Discussion & Analysis.

Employee Benefits and Perquisites

Our named executive officers are eligible to participate in the same group insurance and employee benefit plans generally available to our other salaried employees in the United States. We provide employee benefits to all eligible employees in the United States, including our named executive officers, which the Compensation Committee believes are reasonable and consistent with our overall compensation objective to better enable us to attract and retain employees. These benefits include medical, dental and vision insurance, a 401(k) plan, life and disability insurance, flexible spending accounts, and other plans and programs. In addition, under the terms of our 2013 Employee Stock Purchase Plan (also referred to as the 2013 ESPP), all of our named executive officers have the opportunity to purchase shares under such plan on the same basis as other U.S.-based employees. We also pay for our named executive officers’ and their spouses’ travel-related expenses and tax gross-ups associated with attendance at our annual sales achievement event. At this time, we do not provide any other special plans or programs for our named executive officers. Employee benefits and perquisites are reviewed from time to time to ensure that benefit levels remain competitive for the Company as a whole, including for our named executive officers. Other than as described above, we do not generally offer special or extraordinary perquisites.

Other Compensation Policies

Stock Trading Practices

We maintain an insider trading policy that, among other things, prohibits all of our employees and consultants, including our named executive officers and directors, from engaging in short sales, hedging of stock ownership positions and transactions involving derivative securities relating to our capital stock. Our insider trading policy also requires that all directors and employees that make up our senior management team, including our named executive officers, pre-clear with our legal department any proposed open market transactions. Further, all individuals subject to the insider trading policy are prohibited from trading during certain quarterly and certain special blackout periods. We have adopted Rule 10b5-1 trading plan guidelines that permit our directors and certain employees, including our named executive officers, to adopt Rule 10b5-1 trading plans. Under our 10b5-1 trading plan guidelines, 10b5-1 trading plans may only be adopted or modified during an open trading window under our insider trading policy and only when such individual does not otherwise possess material nonpublic information about the Company.

Stock Ownership and Compensation Recovery Policies

At this time, we have not adopted stock ownership guidelines with respect to the named executive officers or otherwise, in part due to the significant existing equity holdings of our named executive officers. We do not presently have a compensation recovery policy for our named executive officers or otherwise, but we will comply with the requirements of the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act and adopt a compensation recovery policy to the extent required by law once the SEC adopts final regulations on the subject.

Tax and Accounting Treatment of Compensation

Deductibility of Executive Compensation

Generally, Section 162(m) of the Code disallows a tax deduction to any publicly-held corporation for any remuneration in excess of \$1 million paid in any taxable year to its chief executive officer and to certain other highly compensated officers, who are referred to as “covered employees.” Under tax laws in effect prior to January 1, 2018, remuneration in excess of \$1 million paid to covered employees may be deducted if, among other things, it qualifies as “performance-based compensation” within the meaning of the Code. This exception from the deduction limit under Section 162(m) of the Code for performance-based compensation was repealed in the tax reform legislation signed into law on December 22, 2017. In addition, the definition of covered employee has been expanded to include the chief financial officer, and provide that once an individual becomes a covered employee, that individual will remain a covered employee for all future years for purposes of applying the limit to compensation paid to such individual or his or her beneficiaries.

Although our Compensation Committee may have considered the deductibility limit imposed by Section 162(m) when setting compensation for our named executive officers, they reserved the flexibility to design compensation arrangements which did not rely on the (now-repealed) performance-based exemption.

Taxation of “Parachute” Payments and Deferred Compensation

We did not provide any executive officer, including any named executive officer, with a “gross-up” or other reimbursement payment for any tax liability that he or she might owe as a result of the application of Sections 280G, 4999 or 409A of the Code during fiscal year 2017, and we have not agreed and are not otherwise obligated to provide any named executive officer with such a “gross-up” or other reimbursement. Sections 280G and 4999 of the Code provide that executive officers and directors who hold significant equity interests and certain other service providers may be subject to an excise tax if they receive payments or benefits in connection with a change in control that exceeds certain prescribed limits, and that the Company, or a successor, may forfeit a deduction on the amounts subject to this additional tax. Section 409A also imposes additional significant taxes on the individual in the event that an executive officer, director or other service provider receives “deferred compensation” that does not meet the requirements of Section 409A of the Code.

Accounting for Stock-Based Compensation

We follow Financial Accounting Standards Board Accounting Standards Codification Topic 718 (FASB ASC Topic 718) to account for the expense of our stock-based awards. FASB ASC Topic 718 requires companies to measure the compensation expense for all share-based payment awards made to employees and directors, including stock options and restricted stock awards, based on the grant date “fair value” of these awards. This calculation is performed for accounting purposes and reported in the section below entitled “Compensation Tables,” even though our named executive officers may never realize any value from their awards. FASB ASC Topic 718 also requires companies to recognize the compensation cost of their stock-based compensation awards in their income statements over the period that a named executive officer is required to render service in exchange for the option or other award.

Compensation Risk Assessment

Our Compensation Committee has reviewed our compensation policies and believes that our policies do not encourage excessive or inappropriate risk taking and that the level of risk that they do encourage is not reasonably likely to have a material adverse effect on the Company. As part of its assessment, the Compensation Committee considered, among other factors, the allocation of compensation among base salary and short- and long-term compensation, our approach to establishing company-wide and individual financial, departmental and other performance targets, our bonus structure of payouts and the nature of our key performance metrics. We believe these practices encourage our employees to focus on sustained long-term Company growth, which we believe will ultimately contribute to the creation of stockholder value.

Compensation Committee Report⁽¹⁾

The Compensation Committee of the Board of Directors has reviewed and discussed the Compensation Discussion and Analysis required by Item 402(b) of Regulation S-K with management and, based on such review and discussions, the Compensation Committee recommended to the Board of Directors that the Compensation Discussion and Analysis be included in this proxy statement.

COMPENSATION COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Mr. Elliott Jurgensen, Jr., Chair

Mr. Billy Bosworth

Ms. Hilarie Koplou-McAdams

- (1) _____
The material in this report is not “soliciting material,” is not deemed “filed” with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

Compensation Tables

2017 Summary Compensation Table

The below table sets forth information about compensation earned by our named executive officers during fiscal years 2017, 2016 and 2015. Our named executive officers consist of our Chief Executive Officer, our Chief Financial Officer, and each of our three other most highly compensated executive officers serving at the end of fiscal year 2017.

For more information about our executive officers' compensation, see the heading "Components of Named Executive Officer Compensation—" in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section above.

Name and Principal Position	Year	Salary (\$)	Bonus (\$) ⁽¹⁾	Stock Awards (\$) ⁽²⁾	Option Awards (\$)	Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation (\$) ⁽³⁾	All Other Compensation (\$) ⁽⁴⁾	Total (\$)
Adam Selipsky <i>President and CEO</i>	2017	500,000	—	2,508,219	—	500,000	1,500	3,509,719
	2016	145,833	1,145,833	13,415,660	1,779,750	—	1,250	16,488,326
Thomas Walker <i>CFO</i>	2017	400,000	—	2,393,430	—	400,000	9,031	3,202,461
	2016	350,000	124,500	2,468,799	—	29,050	1,500	2,973,849
	2015	300,000	—	3,428,568	—	150,000	5,550	3,884,118
Dan Miller ⁽⁵⁾ <i>EVP Sales and Support</i>	2017	398,942	350,000	5,504,466	—	398,942	105,558	6,757,908
Andrew Beers <i>Chief Development Officer</i>	2017	350,000	—	2,306,294	—	133,000	1,500	2,790,794
	2016	325,000	62,250	924,301	—	26,975	1,500	1,340,026
Elissa Fink <i>Chief Marketing Officer</i>	2017	325,000	—	1,332,562	—	149,500	6,079	1,813,141
	2016	325,000	41,500	1,276,875	—	26,975	1,500	1,671,850
	2015	300,000	—	1,469,429	—	65,000	5,366	1,839,795

- (1) The amount shown in the Bonus column for fiscal year 2017 reflects a one-time \$350,000 signing bonus paid to Mr. Miller as part of his employment offer.
- (2) Stock Awards consist solely of RSU awards and the amounts reported reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of stock awards computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718 and are not necessarily an indication of the actual economic value that will be realized by our named executive officers upon the vesting of the RSU awards or the sale of the Class A common stock underlying such awards. The grant date fair value of each RSU award is measured based on the closing price of our Class A common stock on the date of grant, unless there is no closing price on the date of grant, in which case it is based on the closing price on the trading day last preceding the date of grant.
- (3) Amounts reported reflect fiscal year 2017 cash bonuses. See "Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Components of Named Executive Officer Compensation—Annual Performance-Based Cash Incentive Compensation" above for more information.
- (4) Amounts reported for fiscal year 2017 reflect (i) for each named executive officer, Tableau's 401(k) match of up to \$1,500, (ii) for Mr. Walker and Ms. Fink, spousal expenses related to the annual sales performance retreat, and (iii) for Mr. Miller, taxable and non-taxable relocation reimbursements as well as a \$100,000 relocation bonus.
- (5) Mr. Miller was appointed EVP Sales and Support, effective as of February 13, 2017. The amount shown in the Salary and Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation columns reflect his prorated salary and bonus for fiscal year 2017.

CEO Pay Ratio

For 2017:

- The annual total compensation of the employee identified at the median of our company (other than our CEO), was \$199,864; and
- The annual total compensation of our CEO was \$3,509,719.

Based on this information, for 2017, the ratio of the annual total compensation of Mr. Selipsky, our CEO, to the median of the annual total compensation of all employees was estimated to be 18 to 1.

This pay ratio is a reasonable estimate calculated in a manner consistent with SEC rules based on our payroll and employment records and the methodology described below. The SEC rules for identifying the median compensated employee and calculating the pay ratio based on that employee's annual total compensation allow companies to adopt a variety of methodologies, to apply certain exclusions, and to make reasonable estimates and assumptions that reflect their compensation practices. As such, the pay ratio reported by other

companies may not be comparable to the pay ratio reported above, as other companies may have different employment and compensation practices and may utilize different methodologies, exclusions, estimates and assumptions in calculating their own pay ratios.

To identify the median of the annual total compensation of all our employees, as well as to determine the annual total compensation of the “median employee,” the methodology and the material assumptions, adjustments, and estimates that we used were as follows.

- We determined that, as of October 1, 2017, our employee population consisted of approximately 3,450 individuals globally. We selected October 1, 2017, which is within the last three months of 2017, as the date upon which we would identify the “median employee,” because it allowed us to make such identification in a reasonably efficient and economical manner given the global scope of our operations.
- We included all of our full-time, part-time, and temporary employees globally.
- The compensation of all newly hired permanent employees was annualized based on the compensation received during this period.
- Earnings of our employees outside the U.S. were converted to U.S. dollars using the currency exchange rates used for organizational planning purposes, which consider historic and forecasted rates as well as other factors. We did not make any cost of living adjustments.
- To identify the “median employee” from our employee population, we collected overall target cash compensation for each employee, including annual base salary and target bonus and commission, as applicable, for 2017.

Grants of Plan-Based Awards for 2017

The following table sets forth information relating to plan-based incentive awards granted to our named executive officers in 2017.

Name	Grant Date	Estimated Future Payouts Under Non-Equity Incentive Plan Awards ⁽¹⁾		All Other Stock Awards: Number of Shares of Stock (#) ⁽²⁾	Grant Date Fair Value of Stock Awards (\$) ⁽³⁾
		Target (\$)	Maximum (\$)		
Mr. Selipsky	N/A		500,000		
	9/15/2017			33,434	2,508,219
Mr. Walker	N/A	40,000	400,000		
	2/15/2017			43,509	2,393,430
Mr. Miller	N/A		398,942		
	2/15/2017			100,063	5,504,466
Mr. Beers	N/A	35,000	175,000		
	2/15/2017			41,925	2,306,294
Ms. Fink	N/A	32,500	162,500		
	2/15/2017			24,224	1,332,562

(1) These amounts represent the target and maximum payments for each named executive officer under our 2017 bonus plan. There was no minimum guaranteed threshold amount under this program. The actual payments for these awards are included in the “Non-Equity Incentive Plan Compensation” column of the “2017 Summary Compensation Table” above. For more information about our incentive bonus plan, see “Compensation Discussion and Analysis—Components of Named Executive Officer Compensation—Annual Performance-Based Cash Incentive Compensation” above.

(2) Stock awards consist only of RSUs. The shares subject to RSUs granted on February 15, 2017, vest at the rate of 25% on the one-year anniversary of the grant date and the balance vest in equal quarterly installments over the remaining three years. The shares subject to RSUs granted on September 15, 2017, vest quarterly over four years based on a vesting commencement date of August 15, 2017. The vesting of all RSUs is subject to the individual’s continued service with us through each vesting date.

(3) These amounts reflect the aggregate grant date fair value of the RSU awards, computed in accordance with FASB ASC Topic 718. The grant date fair value of each RSU award is measured based on the closing price of our Class A common stock on the date of grant, unless there is no closing price on the date of grant, in which case it is based on the closing price on the trading day last preceding the date of grant.

Outstanding Equity Awards at December 31, 2017

The following table presents for each of our named executive officers information regarding outstanding options and RSUs held as of December 31, 2017. All outstanding options granted prior to our May 2013 initial public offering are exercisable for Class B common stock; all outstanding options granted after our initial public offering and all unvested RSUs are exercisable for Class A common stock.

Name	Vesting Commencement Date	Option Awards				Stock Awards	
		Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Exercisable	Number of Securities Underlying Unexercised Options (#) Unexercisable	Option Exercise Price (\$)	Option Expiration Date	Number of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (#)	Market Value of Shares or Units of Stock That Have Not Vested (\$) ⁽¹⁾
Mr. Selipsky	9/16/2016	23,437	51,563 ⁽²⁾	54.87	9/16/2026		
	9/16/2016					168,094 ⁽²⁾	11,632,105
	8/15/2017					31,345 ⁽³⁾	2,169,074
Mr. Walker	2/15/2014					2,529 ⁽⁵⁾	175,007
	2/15/2015					10,725 ⁽⁴⁾	742,170
	5/15/2016					28,722 ⁽⁶⁾	1,987,562
	2/15/2017					43,509 ⁽⁴⁾	3,010,823
Mr. Miller	2/15/2017					100,063 ⁽⁴⁾	6,924,360
Mr. Beers	7/11/2008	20,000	—	0.42	7/11/2018		
	1/1/2009	75,000	—	1.31	2/25/2019		
	1/1/2010	55,500	—	1.50	2/24/2020		
	8/4/2010	10,000	—	1.75	8/4/2020		
	2/15/2014					1,085 ⁽⁵⁾	75,082
	2/15/2015					6,129 ⁽⁴⁾	424,127
	11/15/2015					1,500 ⁽⁴⁾	103,800
	2/15/2016					12,918 ⁽⁴⁾	893,925
	2/15/2017					41,925 ⁽⁴⁾	2,901,210
Ms. Fink	1/1/2010	8,875	—	1.50	2/24/2020		
	1/1/2011	13,750	—	5.92	3/30/2021		
	1/1/2012	29,489	—	7.17	2/28/2022		
	12/7/2012	62,500	—	9.30	12/10/2022		
	2/15/2014					1,277 ⁽⁵⁾	88,368
	2/15/2015					4,597 ⁽⁴⁾	318,112
	5/15/2016					14,856 ⁽⁶⁾	1,028,035
	2/15/2017					24,224 ⁽⁴⁾	1,676,301

(1) Represents the market value of the unvested shares subject to the RSU based on the closing price of our Class A common stock on December 29, 2017 (the last trading day in fiscal year 2017), which was \$69.20 per share.

(2) The awards vest over four years, with 25% of the shares underlying the award vesting on August 15, 2017, and the balance vesting in equal quarterly installments over the remaining three years, subject to the individual's continued service with us through each vesting date.

(3) The RSUs vest in equal quarterly installments over four years beginning on the quarterly anniversary of the vesting commencement date and subject to the individual's continued service with us through each vesting date.

(4) The RSUs vest over four years, with 25% of the RSUs vesting on the one-year anniversary of the vesting commencement date and the balance vesting in equal quarterly installments over the remaining three years, subject to the individual's continued service with us through each vesting date.

(5) The RSUs vest over four years, with 12.5% of the RSUs vesting the quarter following the two-year anniversary of the vesting commencement date and the balance vesting in equal quarterly installments over the remaining seven quarters, subject to the individual's continued service with us through each vesting date.

(6) The RSUs vest at the rate of 25% on February 15, 2017, and the balance vesting in equal quarterly installments over the following three years, subject to the individual's continued service with us through each vesting date.

Option Exercises and Stock Vested in 2017

The following table provides information for each of the named executive officers on the number of shares of our common stock acquired upon the exercise of stock options and the vesting of RSUs, including the aggregate value realized upon exercise and vesting, before payment of any applicable withholding tax and broker commissions.

Name	Option Awards		Stock Awards	
	Number of Shares Acquired on Exercise (#)	Value Realized on Exercise (\$) ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾	Number of Shares Acquired on Vesting (#)	Value Realized on Vesting (\$) ⁽³⁾
Mr. Selipsky	—	—	78,494	5,518,997
Mr. Walker	90,500	5,195,522	42,284	2,633,112
Mr. Miller	—	—	—	—
Mr. Beers	150,625	7,236,656	20,039	1,250,045
Ms. Fink	—	—	20,336	1,263,109

- (1) These values assume that the fair market value of the Class B common stock underlying the options, which is not listed or approved for trading on or with any securities exchange or association, is equal to the fair market value of our Class A common stock. Each share of Class B common stock is convertible into one share of Class A common stock at any time at the option of the holder or upon certain transfers of such shares.
- (2) The value realized upon the exercise of an option is calculated as the product of (a) the number of shares for which the options were exercised and (b) the excess of the market price of our Class B common stock, assumed to be equal to our Class A common stock as described in footnote (1) above, on the date of exercise over the applicable exercise price per share of the options.
- (3) The value realized upon the vesting of an RSU is calculated as the product of (a) the number of shares of Class A common stock underlying the RSUs that vested and (b) the closing price of our Class A common stock on the vesting date (or the prior trading day, if the vest date does not fall on a trading day).

Executive Offer Letters and Arrangements

Offer Letters

Each of our named executive officers is an at-will employee. Offer letters with our named executive officers provide for one or more of the following: salary, annual cash incentive bonus based on Company and individual performance, equity-based awards, participation in our company-wide employee benefit plans, and in certain cases, additional perquisites. Each of our named executive officers has also executed our standard form of confidential information and invention assignment agreement.

In addition to these standard provisions, Mr. Selipsky's offer letter provides for a signing bonus of \$1 million, which will not be deemed earned until his completion of two years of employment with Tableau, and a portion of which Mr. Selipsky may be required to repay if he is terminated for Cause (as defined below) or resigns without Good Reason (as defined below) within the first 24 months of his employment with the Company. Mr. Selipsky's offer letter also provides that if his employment is terminated by the Company without Cause or he resigns for Good Reason (not in the context of a Change in Control) or as a result of his death or disability within the four-year period following his commencement of employment, then, subject to his obligations described below, he will be entitled to the continuation of his base salary for a period of 18 months, a lump sum cash payment equal to his target bonus for the calendar year in which the separation occurs prorated based on such separation date, COBRA coverage for up to 18 months, and acceleration of vesting by 12 months of his then-outstanding unvested equity awards. These severance benefits are conditioned upon Mr. Selipsky's compliance with certain standard contractual obligations, his execution of a release of claims in favor of the Company, and his resignation as a director on the termination date.

Mr. Miller is also eligible for the standard provisions described above, plus his offer letter includes a signing bonus of \$350,000, which will not be deemed earned until his completion of one year of employment with Tableau, and a portion of which Mr. Miller may be required to repay if he is terminated for Cause (as defined below) or resigns without Good Reason (as defined below) within the first 12 months of his employment with the Company. Mr. Miller's offer letter also provides that if his employment is terminated by the Company

without Cause or he resigns for Good Reason (not in the context of a Change in Control) or as a result of his death or disability within the twelve-month period following his commencement of employment, then, subject to his obligations described below, he will be entitled to the continuation of his base salary for a period of 12 months, a lump sum cash payment equal to his target bonus for the calendar year in which the separation occurs prorated based on such separation date, and COBRA coverage for up to 12 months. These severance benefits are conditioned upon Mr. Miller's compliance with certain standard contractual obligations and his execution of a release of claims in favor of the Company.

For purposes of Mr. Selipsky's and Mr. Miller's offer letters:

- "Cause" means (i) willful failure to substantially perform his duties to the Company or deliberate and material violation of a Company policy; (ii) commission of any act of fraud, embezzlement, dishonesty or any other willful misconduct that has caused or is reasonably expected to result in material injury to the Company; (iii) unauthorized use or disclosure of any proprietary information or trade secrets of the Company or any other party to whom he owes an obligation of nondisclosure as a result of his relationship with the Company; or (iv) the willful and material breach of any of his obligations under any written agreement or covenants with the Company.
- "Good Reason" has the same meaning as indicated under the Change in Control Agreements described below.

Change in Control Agreements

In order to ensure retention of key personnel and continuity of the business in the event of a change in control, we have also entered into change in control severance agreements with key personnel, including each of our named executive officers, the terms of which were approved by our Compensation Committee ("Change in Control Agreements").

The Change in Control Agreements provide for the following benefits upon a "Covered Termination" (as described below):

- a lump sum payment equal to 12 months of such executive's then-current base salary;
- reimbursement of COBRA premiums for such executive and his or her eligible dependents, if any, at the level in effect immediately prior to such officer's termination of employment, for a period of up to 12 months; and
- 100% acceleration of vesting of all then-unvested equity awards held by such executive.

For purposes of the Change in Control Agreements:

- "Covered Termination" means dismissal or discharge for reasons other than Cause (and other than as a result of death or disability), or termination of employment for Good Reason, either of which occurs within 12 months following the effective date of a Change in Control.
- "Cause" means the executive's (i) willful failure to substantially perform his or her duties to the Company or deliberate and material violation of a Company policy; (ii) commission of any act of fraud, embezzlement, dishonesty or any other willful misconduct that has caused or is reasonably expected to result in material injury to the Company; (iii) unauthorized use or disclosure by executive of any proprietary information or trade secrets of the Company or any other party to whom the named executive officer owes an obligation of nondisclosure as a result of his or her relationship with the Company; or (iv) willful breach of any obligations under any written agreement or covenant with the Company, in each case in the reasonable determination of the Board. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Cause shall not exist based on conduct described in clause (i) unless the conduct has not been cured within 15 days following the executive's receipt of written notice from the Company specifying the particulars of the conduct constituting Cause.

- “*Good Reason*” means the occurrence of one or more of the following, without an executive’s express written consent (i) a material reduction in executive’s responsibilities, provided that neither a mere change in title alone nor reassignment following a Change in Control to a position that is substantially similar to the position held prior to the Change in Control shall constitute a material reduction in job responsibilities; (ii) relocation by the Company or a subsidiary, parent, affiliate or successor thereto, as appropriate, of executive’s Employee’s primary business location that increases one way commute by more than 35 miles; or (iii) a reduction in then-current base salary by at least 10%, provided that an across-the-board reduction in the salary level of all other employees or consultants in positions similar to executive’s by the same percentage amount as part of a general salary level reduction shall not constitute such a salary reduction; *provided, however*, that in order for an event to qualify as Good Reason, the executive must (1) provide the Company with written notice of the acts or omissions constituting the grounds for Good Reason within 90 days of the initial existence of the grounds for Good Reason, (2) allow the Company at least 30 days from receipt of such written notice to cure such event, and (3) if such event is not reasonably cured within such period, executive’s resignation from all positions then held with the Company must be effective not later than 30 days after the expiration of the cure period.

Payment of any severance benefits described above is conditioned on the executive’s timely execution of a general release of claims in our favor.

Any potential payments and benefits due upon a termination of employment or a change in control of us are further described in this section under the heading “Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control” below.

Pension Benefits and Nonqualified Deferred Compensation Plans

We do not have any plans with any of our named executive officers that provide for payments or other benefits at, following, or in connection with retirement. We also do not have any defined contribution or other plan with any of our named executive officers that provides for the deferral of compensation on a basis that is not tax-qualified.

Potential Payments Upon Termination or Change in Control

Tableau has entered into agreements that entitle our named executive officers to certain benefits in the event of a “Covered Termination” and, additionally, in the case of Mr. Selipsky and Mr. Miller, certain benefits in the event of a qualifying termination within four years, or within one year, respectively, of his start date.

These agreements, including the circumstances that would trigger payments or the provision of other benefits, and material conditions and obligations applicable to the recipient of payments and benefits, are described in this section under the heading “Executive Offer Letters and Arrangements” above.

The below tables provide information concerning the estimated payments and benefits that would be provided in the circumstances described above for each of the named executive officers. Payments and benefits are estimated assuming that the triggering event took place on December 31, 2017. There can be no assurance that a triggering event would produce the same or similar results as those estimated below if such event occurs on any other date or at any other price, or if any other assumption used to estimate potential payments and benefits is not correct. Due to the number of factors that affect the nature and amount of any potential payments or benefits, any actual payments and benefits may be different. Payment of any severance benefits is conditioned on the executive’s timely execution of a general release of claims in our favor.

For purposes of valuing accelerated vesting, the values indicated in the tables below are calculated, with respect to stock options, as the aggregate difference between the fair market value of a share of our common stock underlying the option on December 29, 2017 (the last trading day of 2017), and the exercise price of the applicable option, multiplied by the number of unvested shares accelerated, and, with respect to RSUs, as the

fair market value of a share of our Class A common stock on December 29, 2017 (the last trading day of 2017), multiplied by the number of unvested RSUs accelerated. The closing price of our Class A common stock on December 29, 2017 (the last trading day of 2017), was \$69.20 per share.

Potential Payments to Named Executive Officers, Other than Mr. Selipsky and Mr. Miller, Upon Termination Under Change in Control Agreements

Name	Cash Severance (\$)	COBRA Benefits (\$)	Acceleration of Vesting of Equity Awards (\$)	Total (\$)
Mr. Walker	400,000	27,844	5,915,562	6,343,406
Mr. Beers	350,000	27,844	4,398,144	4,775,989
Ms. Fink	325,000	27,844	3,110,817	3,463,661

Potential Payments to Mr. Selipsky and Mr. Miller Upon Termination or Change in Control

	Cash Severance (\$)	Bonus (\$)	COBRA Benefits (\$)	Acceleration of Vesting of Equity Awards (\$)	Total (\$)
Mr. Selipsky					
Offer Letter ⁽¹⁾	750,000	500,000	41,766	5,076,980	6,368,747
Change in Control Agreement	500,000	—	27,844	14,540,077	15,067,921
Mr. Miller					
Offer Letter ⁽²⁾	450,000	450,000	27,844	—	927,844
Change in Control Agreement	450,000	—	27,844	6,924,360	7,402,204

(1) Severance amounts are payable if Mr. Selipsky is terminated by us without Cause or he resigns for Good Reason on or prior to September 16, 2020.

(2) Severance amounts are payable if Mr. Miller is terminated by us without Cause or he resigns for Good Reason on or prior to February 13, 2018.

Equity Compensation Plan Information

The following table provides certain information with respect to all of the Company's equity compensation plans in effect as of December 31, 2017.

Plan Category	(a) Number of Securities to be Issued upon Exercise of Outstanding Options and Rights ⁽²⁾ (#)	(b) Weighted Average Exercise Price of Outstanding Options and Rights ⁽³⁾ (\$/Share)	(c) Number of Securities Remaining Available for Future Issuance Under Equity Compensation Plans (Excluding Securities Reflected in Column (a)) ⁽⁴⁾ (#)
Equity Compensation Plans Approved By Stockholders ⁽¹⁾	10,195,128	10.13	10,873,683
Equity Compensation Plans Not Approved By Stockholders	—	—	—
Total	10,195,128	10.13	10,873,683

(1) Includes securities issuable under our 2004 Equity Incentive Plan (2004 Plan), Amended 2013 Plan and 2013 ESPP.

(2) Consists of (i) options to purchase 159,705 shares of Class A common stock under our Amended 2013 Plan and 2,857,408 shares of Class B common stock under our 2004 Plan, and (ii) 7,178,015 shares of our Class A common stock subject to RSUs under our Amended 2013 Plan.

(3) The weighted average exercise price is calculated based solely on the outstanding stock options. It does not take into account the shares issuable upon vesting of RSUs, which have no exercise price.

(4) Includes 7,027,291 and 3,666,392 shares of Class A common stock available for issuance under the Amended 2013 Plan and 2013 ESPP, respectively. As of the date of our initial public offering, no further shares were available for issuance under the 2004 Plan. The number of shares reserved for issuance under the Amended 2013 Plan automatically increases on January 1st each year, starting on January 1, 2014 and continuing through January 1, 2023, by the lesser of (a) 5% of the total number of shares of our capital stock outstanding on December 31st of the immediately preceding calendar year and (b) a number determined by our Board. The number of shares reserved for issuance under the 2013 ESPP automatically increases on January 1st each year, starting on January 1, 2014 and continuing through January 1, 2023, by the lesser of (a) 1% of the total number of shares of our capital stock outstanding on December 31st of the preceding calendar year, (b) 4,000,000 shares of Class A common stock or (c) a number determined by our Board. Pursuant to these provisions, an additional 4,023,117 and 804,623 shares of Class A common stock were added to the Amended 2013 Plan and 2013 ESPP, respectively, effective January 1, 2018.

AUDIT

Audit and Non-Audit Fees

Principal Accountant Fees and Services

The following table represents aggregate fees billed to the Company for the fiscal years ended December 31, 2017 and December 31, 2016, by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP, the Company's principal accountant.

	Fiscal Year Ended December 31,	
	2017	2016
	(in thousands)	
Audit Fees ⁽¹⁾	\$1,726	\$1,576
Audit-related Fees	—	—
Tax Fees ⁽²⁾	185	202
All Other Fees ⁽³⁾	9	8
Total Fees	\$1,920	\$1,786

(1) Audit fees consist of fees for professional services provided in connection with the audit of our annual consolidated financial statements, the review of our quarterly consolidated financial statements, and audit services that are normally provided by independent registered public accounting firm in connection with statutory and regulatory filings or engagements for those fiscal years, such as statutory audits.

(2) Tax fees for fiscal years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 consist of fees for services related to global tax compliance.

(3) All other fees billed for fiscal years ended December 31, 2017 and 2016 were related to XBRL review services and licensing fees for accounting research tools.

All fees described above were pre-approved by the Audit Committee.

In connection with the audit of the 2017 financial statements, the Company entered into an engagement agreement with PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP that sets forth the terms by which PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP will perform audit services for the Company. That agreement is subject to alternative dispute resolution procedures and an exclusion of punitive damages.

Pre-Approval Policies and Procedures

The Audit Committee has adopted a policy and procedures for the pre-approval of audit and non-audit services rendered by the Company's independent registered public accounting firm, PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP. The policy generally pre-approves specified services in the defined categories of audit services, audit-related services and tax services up to specified amounts. Pre-approval may also be given as part of the Audit Committee's approval of the scope of the engagement of the independent auditor or on an individual, explicit, case-by-case basis before the independent auditor is engaged to provide each service. The pre-approval of services has been delegated to our Audit Committee Chairman up to \$100,000, and any such pre-approval is reported to the full Audit Committee at its next scheduled meeting.

The Audit Committee has determined that the rendering of services other than audit services by PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP is compatible with maintaining the principal accountant's independence.

Audit Committee Report⁽¹⁾

The Audit Committee has reviewed and discussed the audited financial statements for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, with management of the Company. The Audit Committee has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the matters required to be discussed by Auditing Standard No. 1301, Communications with Audit Committees, as adopted by the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board. The Audit Committee has also received the written disclosures and the letter from the independent registered public accounting firm required by applicable requirements of the Public Company Accounting Oversight Board regarding the independent accountants' communications with the Audit Committee concerning independence and has discussed with the independent registered public accounting firm the accounting firm's independence. Based on the foregoing, the Audit Committee of the Board of Directors has recommended to the Board of Directors that the audited financial statements be included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017.

AUDIT COMMITTEE OF THE BOARD OF DIRECTORS

Mr. Brooke Seawell, Chair
Mr. Elliott Jurgensen, Jr.
Mr. John McAdam

(1) The material in this report is not "soliciting material," is not deemed "filed" with the SEC and is not to be incorporated by reference in any filing of the Company under the Securities Act of 1933, as amended, or the Exchange Act, whether made before or after the date hereof and irrespective of any general incorporation language in any such filing.

ITEMS TO BE VOTED ON

Proposal 1 — Election of Directors

The Nominating Committee has recommended, and our Board has approved, the following nominees for election as Class II directors at the Annual Meeting:

- Billy Bosworth
- Patrick Hanrahan
- Hilarie Koplou-McAdams

The term of office of each person elected as a Class II director will continue for a three-year term until our annual meeting of stockholders to be held in 2021, or until a successor has been elected and qualified.

The sections titled “Directors and Executive Officers,” “Board Composition and Leadership,” and “Director Selection and Nominations” under the “Directors, Executive Officers, and Corporate Governance” section of this proxy statement contain detailed biographical information for each nominee, including more information about the leadership skills and other experiences that caused the Nominating Committee and the Board to determine that these nominees should serve as directors of Tableau.

Except as set forth below, unless otherwise instructed, the persons appointed in the accompanying form of proxy will vote the proxies received by them for these nominees, who are all presently directors of Tableau. Dr. Hanrahan was originally elected to the Board prior to our initial public offering pursuant to the provisions of a voting agreement entered into by certain of our stockholders, including our founders, Mr. Chabot, Dr. Stolte and Dr. Hanrahan, that terminated upon the completion of our initial public offering in 2013.

If any nominee becomes unavailable for election as a result of an unexpected occurrence, shares that would have been voted for that nominee instead will be voted for the election of a substitute nominee proposed by Tableau. Each person nominated for election has agreed to serve if elected. The Company’s management has no reason to believe that any nominee will be unable to serve.

Directors are elected by a plurality of the votes of the holders of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote on the election of directors. Accordingly, the three nominees receiving the highest number of affirmative “FOR” votes will be elected as directors. Shares represented by executed proxies will be voted, if authority to do so is not withheld, “FOR” the election of the three nominees.

Our Board recommends a vote FOR the election to the Board of each of the above-mentioned nominees.

Proposal 2 — Advisory Vote on Executive Compensation

The Board recognizes the interests our investors have in the compensation of our named executive officers. In recognition of that interest and as required by the Dodd-Frank Wall Street Reform and Consumer Protection Act of 2010 and Section 14A of the Exchange Act, we are providing our stockholders with the opportunity to vote to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement in accordance with the rules of the SEC.

At our annual meeting held last year, the stockholders overwhelmingly approved the advisory vote regarding the compensation of our named executive officers, with over 92% of the total votes cast voting in favor of the proposal. Based on these results, the Compensation Committee continued many of the executive compensation philosophies, programs, and practices that help us achieve the objectives of our executive compensation programs and earn stockholder support by providing compensation packages to our executive officers that are:

- competitive;
- reward achievement;
- drive development of a successful and growing business; and
- align the interests of our executive officers with our stockholders through equity ownership in Tableau.

In addition, at our 2015 annual meeting of stockholders, the stockholders indicated their preference that we solicit a non-binding advisory vote on the compensation of our named executive officers every year. After consideration of the voting results, the Board has adopted a policy that is consistent with that preference. In accordance with that policy, this year we are again asking the stockholders to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation of our named executive officers as disclosed in this proxy statement.

The Compensation Committee of the Board periodically reviews the compensation programs for our named executive officers to ensure they achieve the desired goals of aligning our executive compensation structure with our stockholders' interests and current market practices. As described in detail in the "Compensation Discussion and Analysis" section of this proxy statement, our compensation programs are designed to motivate our executives to create a successful company. We believe that our compensation programs, with its balance of short-term incentives (including cash bonus awards) and long-term incentives (including equity awards that vest over four years) reward sustained performance that is aligned with long-term stockholder interests.

This proposal, commonly known as a "say-on-pay" proposal, gives our stockholders the opportunity to express their views on our named executive officers' compensation as a whole. This vote is not intended to address any specific item of compensation or any specific named executive officer, but rather the overall compensation of all of our named executive officers and the philosophy, policies and practices described in this proxy statement. Accordingly, we ask our stockholders to approve, on an advisory basis, the compensation paid to the named executive officers, as disclosed in this proxy statement for the 2018 Annual Meeting pursuant to the compensation disclosure rules of the SEC, including the compensation tables and narrative discussion, and other related disclosure by casting a non-binding advisory vote "FOR" the following resolution:

"RESOLVED, that the compensation paid to the Company's named executive officers, as disclosed pursuant to Item 402 of Regulation S-K, including the compensation discussion and analysis, compensation tables and narrative discussion, is hereby APPROVED by the stockholders of Tableau Software, Inc."

As an advisory vote, the result will not be binding on the Board or Compensation Committee. The say-on-pay vote will, however, provide information to us regarding investor sentiment about our executive compensation philosophy, policies and practices, which the Compensation Committee will be able to consider when determining executive compensation for the remainder of the current fiscal year and beyond. The Board and the Compensation Committee value the opinions of our stockholders and expect to take into account the outcome of the vote when considering future executive compensation decisions to the extent they can determine the cause or causes of any significant negative voting results. Unless the Board decides to modify its

policy regarding the frequency of soliciting advisory votes on the compensation of the Company's named executive officers, the next scheduled say-on-pay vote will be at the 2019 annual meeting of stockholders.

Advisory approval of this proposal requires the vote of the holders of a majority of shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting.

Our Board recommends a vote FOR the advisory vote on executive compensation.

Proposal 3 — Ratification of Independent Registered Public Accounting Firm for 2018 Fiscal Year

The Audit Committee of the Board has appointed PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018, and has further directed that management submit the appointment of its independent registered public accounting firm for ratification by the stockholders at the Annual Meeting. PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP has audited the Company's financial statements since the fiscal year ended December 31, 2003. Representatives of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP are expected to be present at the Annual Meeting. They will have an opportunity to make a statement if they so desire and will be available to respond to appropriate questions.

Neither the Company's Bylaws nor other governing documents or law require stockholder ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as the Company's independent registered public accounting firm. However, the Audit Committee is submitting the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP to the stockholders for ratification as a matter of good corporate practice. If the stockholders fail to ratify the appointment, the Audit Committee will reconsider whether or not to retain that firm. Even if the appointment is ratified, the Audit Committee in its discretion may direct the appointment of different independent auditors at any time during the year if they determine that such a change would be in the best interests of the Company and its stockholders.

The affirmative vote of the holders of shares representing a majority of the voting power of the shares present in person or represented by proxy and entitled to vote at the Annual Meeting will be required to ratify the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP.

Our Board recommends a vote FOR the ratification of the appointment of PricewaterhouseCoopers LLP as our independent registered public accounting firm for the fiscal year ending December 31, 2018.

OTHER INFORMATION

Householding of Proxy Materials

The SEC has adopted rules that permit companies and intermediaries (e.g., brokers) to satisfy the delivery requirements for Notices of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or other annual meeting materials with respect to two or more stockholders sharing the same address by delivering a single Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials or other annual meeting materials addressed to those stockholders. This process, which is commonly referred to as “householding,” potentially means extra convenience for stockholders and cost savings for companies.

This year, a number of brokers with account holders who are Tableau stockholders will be “householding” the Company’s proxy materials. A single Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials will be delivered to multiple stockholders sharing an address unless contrary instructions have been received from the affected stockholders. Once you have received the Notice from us (if you are a stockholder of record) or from your broker (if you are a beneficial owner) that we or they will be “householding” communications to your address, “householding” will continue until you are notified otherwise or until you revoke your consent. If, at any time, you no longer wish to participate in “householding” and would prefer to receive a separate Notice of Internet Availability of Proxy Materials, or if you currently receive multiple copies and would like to request “householding” of your communications, please notify your broker or the Company. Direct your written request to the Company to our Corporate Secretary at Tableau Software, Inc., 1621 North 34th Street, Seattle, Washington 98103, or contact our Corporate Secretary at (206) 633-3400.

Stockholder Proposals

To be considered for inclusion in next year’s proxy materials your proposal must be submitted in writing by December 11, 2018, to our Corporate Secretary at 1621 North 34th Street, Seattle, Washington 98103, and must comply with all applicable requirements of Rule 14a-8 promulgated under the Exchange Act; provided, however, that if the next year’s annual meeting of stockholders is held before April 22, 2019, or after June 21, 2019, then the deadline is a reasonable amount of time prior to the date we begin to print and mail our proxy statement for next year’s annual meeting of stockholders.

If you wish to submit a proposal (including a director nomination) at next year’s annual meeting of stockholders that is not to be included in next year’s proxy materials, the proposal must be received by our Corporate Secretary not later than the close of business on February 21, 2019, nor earlier than the close of business on January 22, 2019; provided, however, that if our next year’s annual meeting of stockholders is held before April 22, 2019, or after June 21, 2019, then the proposal must be received not earlier than the close of business on the 120th day prior to such meeting and not later than the close of business on the later of the 90th day prior to such meeting or the 10th day following the day on which public announcement of the date of such meeting is first made.

You are also advised to review our bylaws, which contain additional requirements about advance notice of stockholder proposals and director nominations.

A copy of the Company’s Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2017, filed with the SEC is available without charge upon written request to: Tableau Software, Inc., Attn: Corporate Secretary, 1621 North 34th Street, Seattle, Washington 98103.